# Space-Based Laser Guide Stars for Astronomical Observatories

by

James R. Clark

S.B., Massachusetts Institute of Technology (2014) S.M., Massachusetts Institute of Technology (2016)

Submitted to the Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy in Aerospace Engineering

at the

### MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

September 2020

© Massachusetts Institute of Technology 2020. All rights reserved.

August 18, 2020

Certified by.....

Kerri Cahoy Associate Professor Thesis Supervisor

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

# Space-Based Laser Guide Stars for Astronomical Observatories

by

James R. Clark

Submitted to the Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics on August 18, 2020, in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Aerospace Engineering

#### Abstract

The Laser Guide Star (LGS) concept is proposed to enable reductions in cost of nextgeneration space telescopes, by providing reference targets (as bright as apparent magnitude -7) to enable wavefront stability and control (WFSC) to compensate for high-rate motions of mirror segments. This will relax the requirements on the stability of the telescope and flow down to metrology, construction, and control.

In this work, we present the detailed design of an LGS small satellite (and constellation of LGSs) that would fly in formation with a large space observatory that uses adaptive optics (AO) for wavefront sensing and control, or orbit around the Earth to support ground-based telescopes. We find that an LGS small satellite using the 12U CubeSat standard can accommodate a propulsion system sufficient to enable the LGS satellite to formation fly near the targets in the telescope boresight and to meet exoplanet direct imaging mission requirements on number of targets and duration. We simulate the formation flight for an LGS/telescope system at L2 to assess the precision required to enable the wavefront sensing and control during observation, and find that commercial off-the-shelf attitude control hardware can easily satisfy the pointing needs (error  $< 14^{\circ}$ ) and that the telescope needs to update the LGS no more than once every five minutes. We compare and recommend commercial off-theshelf (COTS) propulsion and attitude determination and control systems (ADCS) for controlling the LGS spacecraft. We develop a constellation design tool for assessing the number of LGS spacecraft required to support a desired rate and quantity of observations at L2, and for trading that quantity against the parameters of the LGS spacecraft and the telescope(s) they support. We present a design reference mission (DRM) for deploying up to 19 LGS spacecraft to L2 to assist the Large Ultraviolet Optical Infrared Surveyor (LUVOIR). The L2 LGS DRM covers 259 exoplanet target systems with 5 or more revisits to each system over a 5-year mission. We also identify a series of technology demonstration missions for deploying an LGS satellite to geostationary orbit and other Earth orbits for use with 6.5 + meter ground telescopes with AO to observe Wolf 1061, 40 Eridani, and other near-equatorial targets.

Thesis Supervisor: Kerri Cahoy Title: Associate Professor

## Acknowledgments

The author wishes to acknowledge and thank Kerri Cahoy for her mentorship in engineering and education, and for her patience in coaching the author's flights of fancy into real research.

The author also wishes to acknowledge and thank Richard Binzel, John Conklin, Ewan Douglas, John Mather, and Rhonda Morgan for their valued technical and applied perspectives.

The author also wishes to acknowledge and thank his colleagues in STAR Lab and the Laser Guide Star team: Weston, Ashley, Akshata, Greg, Yinzi, Jared, Jhen, Will, Ezinne, Rachel, Ondrej, Amelia, Cadence, and Christian.

Finally, the author wishes to acknowledge and thank his family for their support, during all times, precedented and otherwise.

This research was funded in part by the Wide-Field Infrared Survey Telescope/Roman Space Telescope program (award number NNG16PJ24C/61238711-122362) and by the JPL Strategic University Research Partnership program (RSA No. 1646345).

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

# Contents

1	Intr	oducti	on	19
	1.1	Motiva	ation	19
		1.1.1	Space telescope capabilities and costs	20
		1.1.2	Telescope companions	22
		1.1.3	Small satellites in deep space	25
	1.2	Laser	Guide Star roadmap	26
	1.3	Contri	butions	28
	1.4	Organ	ization of sections	29
<b>2</b>	App	oroach		31
	2.1	Conce	pt of operations	31
	2.2	LUVO	PIR requirements	33
		2.2.1	LUVOIR modifications	36
	2.3	Laser	Payload	38
		2.3.1	Wavelength selection	38
		2.3.2	Beam pointing	39
	2.4	12U Sj	pace-Space LGS/LUVOIR L2 point design	40
		2.4.1	Propulsion needs	42
		2.4.2	Propulsion system trade	46
		2.4.3	Communication	47
		2.4.4	Power	47
		2.4.5	Attitude Determination and Control	48
		2.4.6	12U LGS Space-Space L2/LUVOIR point design assumptions	50

3	For	matior	h Flight of a LGS with Space Telescope at L2	51
	3.1	Mitiga	ating LGS impacts on observation	53
		3.1.1	Plumes	53
		3.1.2	Reflected sunlight	53
		3.1.3	Thermal emission	54
	3.2	Thrus	t requirements for formation flight	54
	3.3	Thrus	ter and sensor uncertainty requirements	58
4	LGS	S Cons	stellation Design	63
	4.1	Const	ellation sizing	63
		4.1.1	Deployment and disposal	64
		4.1.2	Constellation size sensitivity	66
		4.1.3	Mission enhancement	73
	4.2	Valida	ting the constellation sizer	73
		4.2.1	Scheduling by scientific priority	73
		4.2.2	Scheduling by geographic segmentation	74
		4.2.3	"Compromise" scheduling	77
<b>5</b>	LGS	S Path	finder Missions	81
	5.1	Techn	ology demonstration goals	81
	5.2	Orbit	selection	82
	5.3	Pathfi	nder Mission "Zero": GEO Commsat	87
	5.4	Pathfi	nder Mission One: LGS in Inclined GEO	87
		5.4.1	Observations from the ground through inclined GEO $\ldots$ .	89
	5.5	Pathfi	nder Mission Two: LGS in Super-GEO	91
	5.6	Pathfi	nder Mission Three: LGS in HEO	93
6	Cor	nclusio	ns	97
	6.1	Contra	ibutions	97
	6.2	Future	e Work	98

Α	Alte	ernate	LGS architectures	101
	A.1	Differe	nt LGS form factors	101
	A.2	Single	laser to distributed reflectors	102
в	LGS	5 Desig	n Code	105
	B.1	LGSmai	in	106
	B.2	linkbu	udgetG	110
	B.3	L2 orb	it calculations	112
		B.3.1	OrbitCalcs2dome3	112
		B.3.2	OrbitCalcs2dome2	118
		B.3.3	OrbitCalcs2dome	121
		B.3.4	OrbitCalcs3	125
		B.3.5	OrbitCalcs3CL3	126
		B.3.6	cr3bpse	131
		B.3.7	cr3bpsepropCLazel3	131
		B.3.8	cr3bpsepropCLazel3rpt	135
	B.4	LGS p	erformance	137
		B.4.1	NoiseCalcsPropSens	137
		B.4.2	NoiseCalcs	138
		B.4.3	PlancksLaw	139
		B.4.4	PowerCalcs	139
		B.4.5	LGSretro	140
	B.5	Design	Reference Mission (DRM)	142
		B.5.1	StarkSkymap	142
		B.5.2	DRM_prop_options	144
		B.5.3	DRM_sensitivity	146
		B.5.4	DRMfunc	149
		B.5.5	StarkSkymap_TSP	153
		B.5.6	ham_StarkSkymap	157
		B.5.7	StarkSchedule	157

		B.5.8	StarkScheduleAltB		161
		B.5.9	StarkScheduleAltD	• •	165
		B.5.10	eed_tsp_stars	• •	169
	B.6	Pathfi	nder		171
		B.6.1	SkyCalcs		171
		B.6.2	SkyCalcsOffGEO		173
		B.6.3	SkyCalcsOffGEO2		175
		B.6.4	SkyCalcsHEO		179
		B.6.5	SkyCalcsHEO2		184
		B.6.6	ecc_from_mean		188
		B.6.7	HEO_LGS		188
	B.7	hamilt	tonian	• •	194
С	LGS	5 Data	Files		199
	C.1	Target	$\mathrm{ts}$		199
	C.2	Bright	Stars		202
	C.3	Observ	vations		203
B	ibliog	graphy			215

# List of Figures

1-1	A simulated view of the Solar System through a LUVOIR-like space	
	telescope. Figure by Pueyo and N'Diaye. [47, 49]	21
1-2	To-scale drawing of the Sun-Earth L1 and L2 Lagrange points. The	
	light grey circle surrounding the dark blue dot at the top of the image	
	is the Moon's orbit	23
1-3	A comparison of the angular widths of natural stars and laser guide	
	stars, as seen by the telescope (the laser beams will spread around	
	the telescope). Ground-based guide stars (far left) produce spots that	
	are much broader than actual stars, but a space-based laser guide star	
	would produce light that is much more star-like (middle and right). $% \left( \left( {{{\rm{A}}_{{\rm{B}}}}_{{\rm{B}}}} \right), \left( {{{\rm{A}}_{{\rm{B}}}}_{{\rm{B}}}} \right), \left( {{{\rm{B}}_{{\rm{B}}}}_{{\rm{B}}}} \right), \left( {{{\rm{B}}_{{\rm{B}}}}_{{\rm{B}}}} \right), \left( {{{\rm{B}}_{{\rm{B}}}} \right), \left( {{{\rm{B}}_{{\rm{B}}}}} \right), \left( {{{\rm{B}}_{{\rm{B}}}} \right), \left( {{{\rm{B}}}} \right), \left( {{{\rm{B}}_{{\rm{B}}}} \right), \left( {{{\rm{B}}}} \right), \left( {{{\rm{B}}_{{\rm{B}}}} \right), \left( {{{\rm{B}}_{{\rm{B}}}} \right), \left( {{{\rm{B}}_{{\rm{B}}}} $	27
1-4	An illustration of focal anisoplanatism experienced by sodium laser	
	guide stars. Figure by Tyson and Frasier. [63, p. 41]	28
2-1	Simulation of LUVOIR's six-month halo orbit, shown in the heliocen-	
	tric reference frame co-rotating with the Earth. Elapsed time $t = 0$	
	corresponds to the midpoint of the straight segment of the orbit, when	
	LUVOIR is closest to Earth	32
2-2	A schematic diagram of the satellite laser guide star concept of opera-	
	tions with a space telescope at Sun-Earth L2. (Earth off-panel to the	
	left; see Figures 1-2 and 2-1 for scale.)	34
2-3	A sky map of LUVOIR's targets (blue circles [57]), stars of magnitude	
	$2 \ {\rm or} \ {\rm brighter}$ (green crosses), and Hubble and Chandra deep fields (red	
	triangles). $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$	35

2-4	An illustration of the operation of LUVOIR's coronagraph. LUVOIR	
	(left) will look at a solar system (right) and use its coronagraph to pre-	
	vent the star's light from reaching the science sensor (purple triangle),	
	which will allow it to see the planets around that star. However, if the	
	LGS spacecraft flies outside of that IWA, even if the laser is filtered	
	out, it would be about as bright as a planet, which would disrupt the	
	observation (orange "planet", top right)	37
2-5	A schematic representation of how the LGS could work with telescope	
	optics. A laser wavelength outside of the science band can be used	
	and diverted upstream of the science camera to a fast WFSC system.	
	RBM: Rigid Body Motion (of primary mirror segments). RTC: Real-	
	Time Controller. Figure by Jared Males	39
2-6	CAD rendering of conceptual LGS spacecraft design, in a 12U CubeSat	
	bus. Not shown: body panels or deployable solar panels. Design by	
	W. Kammerer, rev 1, 2019 Mar 21	41
2-7	An illustration of a transit maneuver, with the LGS moving from in	
	front of one target star to another.	43
2-8	Comparison of actual LUVOIR target population versus simplified uni-	
	form distribution. Note that the actual star population has clusters	
	that allow fuel savings, while in the Fibonacci grid, all points are	
	roughly equally distributed.	45
3-1	The frame of reference used in discussing the telescope-LGS formation	
	flight. Origin at the telescope, z-axis towards the target star, x-axis	
	aligned with the difference in gravitational acceleration between the	
	telescope and the LGS	52
3-2	As long as the telescope-LGS line of sight is not facing directly towards	
	or away from the Sun, there will be no direct reflections from the Sun	
	into the telescope from any of the LGS's faces	55

3-3	Thrust required to sustain the telescope-LGS line of sight in any di-	
	rection, at time $t = 0$ (LUVOIR closest to Earth). The center of the	
	diagram corresponds to looking directly away from the Sun; the left	
	and right edges of the map are looking towards the Sun. $\ldots$ . $\ldots$ .	56
3-4	Thrust required to sustain the telescope-LGS line of sight at any az-	
	imuth within the ecliptic plane, over the course of the six-month halo	
	orbit period. Inertial frame of reference, zero degrees azimuth corre-	
	sponds to the Sun-Earth(-LUVOIR) vector at $t = 0$	57
3-5	Maximum distance that can be transited for no additional cost over	
	steady-state formation flight, versus the update interval. $\ldots$ . $\ldots$	60
4-1	Number of LGS spacecraft estimated by the constellation sizing tool	
	as necessary to support the LUVOIR campaign (259 stars, 1,539 ob-	
	servations) [57] for a selection of propulsion system options and 2 LGS $$	
	mass values.	65
4-2	Number of LGS spacecraft required to support LUVOIR's observation	
	campaign vs. the range to the telescope	68
4-3	Number of LGS spacecraft required to support LUVOIR's observation	
	campaign vs. the total mass of each LGS spacecraft	69
4-4	Number of LGS spacecraft required to support LUVOIR's observation	
	campaign vs. the propulsion system's fuel mass	70
4-5	Number of LGS spacecraft required to support LUVOIR's observation	
	campaign vs. the number of simultaneously-active LGS spacecraft	71
4-6	Time required to support LUVOIR's observation campaign vs. the	
	number of simultaneously-active LGS spacecraft	72
4-7	Number of observations supported by each LGS spacecraft in the scientific	-
	priority schedule. [57] $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$	75
4-8	Number of observations supported by each LGS spacecraft in the geograph	ic-
	segmentation schedule.	76

4-9	Segments traversed by each LGS spacecraft in the geographic-segmentation	n
	schedule. There are 13 spacecraft, although some wrap around the the	
	edges of the map and so appear to be two separate tracks. $\ldots$ .	77
4-10	Number of observations supported by each LGS spacecraft in a hybrid	
	schedule	78
4-11	Segments traversed by a few LGS spacecraft in a hybrid schedule. The	
	single maroon segment nestled amongst the blue track corresponds to	
	a poorly-scheduled, under-utilized LGS spacecraft	79
5-1	A to-scale comparison of the Earth (blue circle), GTO (dotted ellipse),	
	GEO (dashed circle), the van Allen belts (shaded rings), the Moon's or-	
	bit (grey circle), and two "sidereal orbits" (black ellipses) whose apogee	
	velocities are the same as the rotation speed of Earth's surface at the	
	Equator	84
5-2	Declinations accessible by various ground-based telescopes looking through	1
	a laser guide star in GEO, with elevations greater than 10 degrees	88
5-3	Line-of-sight from Keck through an orbiting LGS (inc. $15^\circ,$ RAAN $32.1^\circ,$	
	true anomaly at epoch $68.5^\circ)$ plotted over the sky map of LUVOIR tar-	
	gets from Stark 2015 [57]	90
5-4	Sky map of LUVOIR targets from Stark 2015 [57], with delta-V cost	
	(m/s) to incline a satellite in GEO to be on the line of sight in front	
	of those stars (horizontal contours). Red curve: Keck horizon. All	
	points North of this curve have elevation of at least ten degrees at this	
	particular time (beginning of the sidereal day)	90
5-5	The separation between the telescope-LGS line of sight and the telescope-	
	target line of sight during an observation pass, compared to distance	
	limits of other Keck AO systems. The telescope would probably con-	
	duct this observation by locking on to the LGS spacecraft much earlier	
	than this window, and then wait for the target star to pass through	92
5-6	Pathfinder mission for demonstrating LGS with a space telescope	94

5-7	One potential orbit for long-duration ground-based observations sup-	
	ported by Earth-orbiting laser guide stars	95
5-8	Trace of Keck-LGS line of sight, with the LGS in a highly elliptical	
	orbit. Each 'tooth' in the southern part of the orbit track represents	
	an observation opportunity.	96

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

# List of Tables

1.1	A matrix of possible telescope-LGS architectures. LEO: Low Earth	
	Orbit. GEO: Geostationary Earth Orbit. HEO: High Elliptical Orbit.	
	Sodium AO: state of the art [18] Lasercom-like LGS: cf. OCSD [17]	
	and CLICK [14] in LEO, LCRD [21] in GEO Pathfinder 1: LGS in	
	GEO, cf. Marlow et al. 2017 [38] but for astronomy, Ch. 5 Pathfinder	
	2: LGS and telescope in GEO, then LGS boosts up to higher orbit.	
	Ch. 5 Long dwell: proposed by Pharos [26], ORCAS [45], Pathfinder	
	3. Ch. 5 LUVOIR-LGS: discussed in Ch. 2, 3, 4	26

2.1	Parameters used for the circular restricted three-body problem simu-	
	lation.	32

2.2	Table of propulsion system options considered for a 12U LGS space-	
	craft. Mass is shown as propulsion dry mass $+$ propellant mass. Delta-	
	V capacity is calculated with a spacecraft mass of 11.5 kg plus propul-	
	sion system dry mass	41

- 2.3 Table of a subset of propulsion system capabilities for a 12U LGS spacecraft. The left entry in the maneuver count column is the number of maneuvers each propulsion system can sustain at maximum thrust, corresponding to the minimum transit time in the column to the far left. The right entry corresponds to the number of maneuvers achievable at reduced thrust, such that all systems complete maneuvers in the same amount of time as the slowest electric propulsion system (*i.e.*, the Enpulsion IFM Nano's maximum specific impulse). The VACCO propulsion systems cannot perform the maneuver in 11 days even at full thrust, and so their entries in the second column are empty. . . . 45
- 3.1 Top-level formation flight requirements for LGS in front of LUVOIR. 52

# Chapter 1

# Introduction

The concept of a space-based laser guide star (LGS) was first articulated by Greenaway and Clark (no particular relation to the author) in 1994 as "Pharos" [26]. They envisioned a satellite in a highly-elliptical orbit to illuminate ground-based telescopes, which would serve as a reference source for wavefront stabilization and control (WFSC) and photometric calibration, to allow astronomers to correct for the effects of atmospheric turbulence and fading. In practice, ground-based telescopes have instead used lasers shining up from the ground that excite and illuminate the upper atmosphere for their adaptive optics systems. As space-based telescope diameters grow larger and larger, from Hubble (2.4 m, monolithic) to James Webb Space Telescope (6.5 m, segmented) and beyond (*e.g.* LUVOIR, 9.2 m or 16 m, segmented), we make the case for deploying companion spacecraft to augment their capabilities and relax the expensive and technically challenging construction stability requirements.

### 1.1 Motivation

The brightness of a celestial object is described by its apparent magnitude. The brightest stars are assigned to be "first magnitude" or magnitude 1, and the scale continues logarithmically, with a difference in five magnitudes corresponding to a factor of 100 in absolute brightness. The human eye can see stars as dim as magnitude 6 (under ideal sky conditions), and telescopes have seen individual stars and asteroids

as dim as magnitude 28. The Hubble Space Telescope conducted Extreme Deep Field observations with over twenty days of integration time, and imaged galaxies as dim as magnitude 31. However, imaging Earth-like planets will require new classes of telescopes; Earth is one ten-billionth as bright as the Sun  $(10^{-10})$ , or a difference of twenty-five magnitudes, so a star between magnitudes 5 and 10 will have Earth-like planets between magnitudes 30 and 35, and planets will not obligingly remain still for a twenty-day observation.<sup>1</sup>

Advancements in adaptive optics and coronagraph technology offer the capability to suppress a star's light and see its surrounding planets, including those like Earth. [42] Pueyo and N'Diaye [47, 49] simulated what our Solar System might look like through such a telescope, reproduced here in Figure 1-1; the goal of LUVOIR, the Large Ultraviolet, Optical, and Infrared Surveyor telescope, is to achieve that level of performance and produce similar images of other star systems. A list of 259 stars that are key candidates for exoplanet direct imaging has been distributed by Chris Stark *et al.* [57]

This dissertation, a detailed assessment and development of tools for the spacebased laser guide star concept has three factors: enhancing the capabilities and reducing the costs of space telescopes, advances in formation-flying small satellite capabilities at costs low enough to consider as an alternative to the pricetag of new technology on a large space telescope capability, and the recent demonstration of small-satellite technology beyond low Earth orbit by the MarCO spacecraft..

#### 1.1.1 Space telescope capabilities and costs

To achieve contrast high enough for direct imaging of exoplanets on its own, the 9 or 16 m diameter LUVOIR would have to achieve wavefront stability on the order of picometers, smaller than the radius of a hydrogen atom. [44] This is not to say that the spacecraft must be still on the scale of atomic lengths, but that, statistically, the RMS uncertainty of the telescope's optical measurements is below 10 picometers. The thermal cycling, atmospheric drag, and perturbations of low Earth orbit would

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Even if they did, devoting a telescope to a single star for so long may be difficult to justify.



Figure 1-1: A simulated view of the Solar System through a LUVOIR-like space telescope. Figure by Pueyo and N'Diaye. [47, 49]

be impossible obstacles for such a large telescope to overcome, and so LUVOIR will be deployed to the Sun-Earth L2 Lagrange point. [28] This is close enough to communicate relatively easily with Earth, but far enough away so that it will not be disturbed by the Earth's thermal, atmospheric, and gravitational disturbances.

Devices with picometer stability have been built and demonstrated in the laboratory [62, 51] and in space [35], but not at the scale of tens of meters, nor are these devices capable of surviving launch and then unfolding in space *and then* stabilizing. Of all of LUVOIR's technical systems, it is the ultra-stable optical platform that is least mature. [10] L2 is nearly 1.5 million kilometers away from Earth, or four times the distance of the Moon, and so LUVOIR will be far more challenging to repair than Hubble was, assuming robotic servicing is possible. A scale drawing of the Sun-Earth L1 and L2 points, as well as the Moon's orbit and an L2 halo orbit, is shown in Figure 1-2, and a close-up view of the Earth/Moon system and Sun-Earth L2 halo orbit is shown in Figure 2-1.

The cost of building such a large telescope to have picometer stability would be significant and possibly cost-prohibitive; the James Webb Space Telescope (JWST) is "only" 6.5 meters across, "only" observes the red and infrared spectrum, and must have "only" 51 nm RMS of wavefront stability [34], but is experiencing chronic delays, and the final mission budget is expected to reach nearly 10 billion dollars. [43] LUVOIR is expected to have a similar architecture, but to be 1.5 to 2.5 times the size and with a minimum wavelength one-sixth of Webb's. Empirically, space telescope costs are estimated to scale with diameter raised to the 1.12th power, which would put LUVOIR's cost in the ballpark of 16 to 28 billion dollars. [56]

#### 1.1.2 Telescope companions

To relax the stability requirements and reduce complexity on the space telescope, multiple mission concepts have proposed flying external companions in formation with the space telescope. The architecture which has received considerable investigation and support is the Starshade concept, where the coronagraph element is taken outside of the telescope and instead becomes a separate formation-flying spacecraft. [60, 25]



Figure 1-2: To-scale drawing of the Sun-Earth L1 and L2 Lagrange points. The light grey circle surrounding the dark blue dot at the top of the image is the Moon's orbit.

This spacecraft will block light from a target star achieve the contrast of  $10^{-10}$  and remove the technical complexity from the space telescope, which can then be built more conventionally. However, the Starshade will also require being built to optical tolerances, and its size (at least 16 meters, with 30-, 70-, and 100-meter options considered for larger telescopes) means that it is impractically costly to field more than one or two. Even a single Starshade prototype operating for one year (rather than the five years desired by LUVOIR) would cost approximately 400 million dollars, and a three-year mission paired with a commercial telescope (1-meter class or smaller) would cost approximately a billion dollars. [52] Two Starshades operational limits the pace of observations to one every thirty days or so [25] while LUVOIR's desired pace of observations is to conduct one nearly every day. [57]

Another possible companion spacecraft, which is studied in detail in this work, is the laser guide star (LGS). Like Starshade, LGS aims to reduce the technical complexity of the telescope structure. While Starshade works by "disassembling" the mission into an occulter plus a (simpler) telescope, LGS works by providing enough photons to the telescope's wavefront stability and control system (WFSC) to control enough actuators at a high-enough update rate to correct for the high-frequency flutter of large space telescope segments due to onboard vibration sources (pumps, reaction wheels, *etc.*) and other phenomena.

Douglas *et al.* 2019 [19] developed the optical requirements for such a paired LGS mission: a laser at a range of tens of thousands of kilometers, as bright as magnitude -7, would allow the telescope's stability requirement to be relaxed by two orders of magnitude (*i.e.* the WFSC can produce wavefront stability of 10 pm at the focal plane even if the telescope's mirror is only stable to 1 nm). Marlow *et al.* 2017 [38] developed some small-satellite bus options that could support such a laser in geostationary orbit. However, that LGS spacecraft was designed to support space situational awareness of other satellites in the GEO belt, which differs in many ways from the astronomical observations studied here. First, the observation durations are short, measured in minutes at most, when the shortest of LUVOIR's scheduled observations is over five hours long. Second, the SSA LGS would use propulsion to

place itself on an orbit that would pass in front of or behind the target of observation, but would not use its thruster during observation, as will be required to support astronomy at L2. Finally, the mission operation will be more challenging, five years at L2 (over a million km from Earth) vs. two years in GEO ("only" 36,000 km away).

In this work, the systems engineering for a small-satellite to serve at GEO and beyond is developed in more detail, and tools are made to compute just how many LGS spacecraft are require to service a mission.

#### 1.1.3 Small satellites in deep space

The goal adopted in this work of the LGS concept is to relax telescope requirements and support a high pace of observations without modifying the proposed space telescope<sup>2</sup>, and our proposed way to do this (as will be discussed in Chapter 4: Constellation Design) is with a constellation of CubeSats.<sup>3</sup> This is achievable because CubeSat technology has matured to allow complex operations, including multi-satellite operation and propulsion, even in deep space. This has been demonstrated with the Mars Cube One ("MarCO") mission, a pair of CubeSats with cold-gas propulsion systems which were deployed along with the InSight mission, to serve (successfully) as radio relays for InSight during its entry, descent, and landing (EDL) on Mars. [31, 59] In the coming years, The Lunar Polar Hydrogen Mapper (LunaH-Map) and Lunar IceCube missions, among others, will be deployed from the first launch of the Space Launch System to fly to the Moon; [24, 27] they will use electric propulsion systems and fly as far as (approximately) one million kilometers from Earth before arriving in Lunar orbit from a weak-stability boundary (WSB) orbit – not quite as far as Sun-Earth L2, but experiencing the same environment. [12]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>In Section 2.2.1, we will consider what new options are available if LUVOIR is modified.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The mission could also be completed by a handful of larger satellites with monopropellant propulsion systems, but that would be a much more expensive course of action. Even granting the monopropellant thruster a generous 230 seconds of specific impulse [2], a monopropellant-powered LGS spacecraft would have to be over two-thirds fuel by mass to match the delta-V capability of a CubeSat with electric propulsion (2480 m/s, see Table 2.2). This is not impossible to construct, but by virtue of its larger size and greater complexity than the CubeSat option, it will be much more expensive, when the whole point of this concept is to reduce the cost of the overall mission.

LGS location	Telescope location			
	Ground	GEO	Sun-Earth L2	
Ground	Sodium AO	Lasercom-like LGS	-	
LEO	Lasercom-like LGS	Lasercom-like LGS	-	
GEO	Pathfinder 1	Pathfinder 2	-	
HEO	Long dwell, <b>PF3</b>	Pathfinder 2 (con't)	-	
Sun-Earth L2	-	-	LUVOIR-LGS	

Table 1.1: A matrix of possible telescope-LGS architectures. LEO: Low Earth Orbit. GEO: Geostationary Earth Orbit. HEO: High Elliptical Orbit. Sodium AO: state of the art [18]

Lasercom-like LGS: cf. OCSD [17] and CLICK [14] in LEO, LCRD [21] in GEO Pathfinder 1: LGS in GEO, cf. Marlow *et al.* 2017 [38] but for astronomy, Ch. 5 Pathfinder 2: LGS and telescope in GEO, then LGS boosts up to higher orbit. Ch. 5 Long dwell: proposed by Pharos [26], ORCAS [45], Pathfinder 3. Ch. 5 LUVOIR-LGS: discussed in Ch. 2, 3, 4

### 1.2 Laser Guide Star roadmap

There are many possible architectures for using laser guide stars, depending on the location of the telescope and LGS. Table 1.1 shows a "matrix" of some possible LGS architectures, including those which will be discussed in this work (space-based LGS for ground- and space-based telescopes). In the state of the art, ground-based lasers are used by ground-based telescopes, and the goal for the future is to use laser guide stars at L2 to support space telescopes orbiting at L2. It's a long way from here to there, and so while most of the work in this dissertation is focused on the L2 case, three pathfinder missions are studied in Chapter 5, placing the laser guide star first at GEO, and then at higher orbits to validate the operation of the LGS-telescope system.

The concept of deploying a laser guide star satellite to geostationary orbit (GEO) was previously studied in Marlow *et al.* 2017 [38], but the goal of that project was to fly close in front or behind other satellites in geostationary orbit, to support space surveillance efforts of the GEO belt. In this work, the GEO-LGS architecture is studied for its application to astronomy, in particular, exoplanet direct imaging. Most of the exoplanet mission application discussion is in Chapter 5. To briefly motivate the study of deploying LGS spacecraft to geostationary and higher orbits, we can consider



Figure 1-3: A comparison of the angular widths of natural stars and laser guide stars, as seen by the telescope (the laser beams will spread around the telescope). Ground-based guide stars (far left) produce spots that are much broader than actual stars, but a space-based laser guide star would produce light that is much more star-like (middle and right).

the sketch of different LGS placement options shown in Figure 1-3. Conventional ground-based *e.g.* sodium laser guide stars produce spots that are diffuse objects of much greater angular width than natural stars, which means that information gained from the sodium LGS is not perfectly representative of the corrections required to improve the image of the target star. Additionally, sodium laser guide stars are subject to a phenomenon called focal anisoplanatism or the "cone effect"; essentially, because they are produced within the atmosphere at such close focal distance (compared to the distant object being sensed), the air above and surrounding the laser's path is not sensed, which limits the modes that the WFSC system can compensate for. This is illustrated in Figure 1-4.

Finally, because the laser light is passing both up and down through the atmosphere before reaching the telescope, it carries no information on atmospheric tip/tilt



Figure 1-4: An illustration of focal anisoplanatism experienced by sodium laser guide stars. Figure by Tyson and Frasier. [63, p. 41]

error. Telescopes can use natural guide stars to perform tip/tilt correction, but this is only possible if there is a bright enough star close enough to the target (within 25" at Keck, for example), and that limits the sky coverage of such instruments – 60% when looking within the Milky Way galaxy, but less than 10% when looking outside of it. [37] Placing the LGS at geostationary orbit or higher will result in a spot that appears much more star-like, samples the entire atmospheric column, and will provide tip/tilt information at any point in the sky.

## **1.3** Contributions

The four contributions developed in this dissertation are:

1. Constellation planner for space-space LGS and ground-space LGS

Develop a scheduling tool that considers LGS capability, dynamics of Earth orbit and L2, and target stars and observatories. The scheduling tool enables performance trades based on metrics such as: number and propulsion capability of LGS spacecraft, number and duration of target observations supported, and the pace of observations.

2. Telescope-LGS formation flight

Develop a model of the formation flight of a LGS and a ground or space telescope during target observation. This model supports trades on metrics such as: sensor, thruster, and pointing uncertainty, telescope-LGS vector stability, and considers some of the possible negative impact(s) by the LGS on observations (glint, etc.).

3. LGS architecture tool

Develop LGS configuration(s) that satisfy performance goals: a factor of 2 improvement in accessible star targets (from 259 to 518) without impacting pace of observation (1 observation per 1.2 days, even when adding external companions).

Technology development and demonstration plan
Develop pathfinder missions for LGS and telescope not necessarily at L2. Com-

pare options for different LGS orbits (LEO, GTO, GEO, HEO) based on operational and systems engineering cost and scientific utility.

## **1.4** Organization of sections

Each of the above contributions are addressed by the following chapters:

- 1. Constellation planner: Chapter 4
- 2. Formation flight: Chapter 3
- 3. LGS architecture: Chapter 2
- 4. Technology demonstration plan: Chapter 5

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

# Chapter 2

# Approach

### 2.1 Concept of operations

As discussed in Table 1.1, the LGS concept of operations studied for most of this work is for one or more LGS spacecraft to fly in formation with a large space telescope at L2, nominally represented by the LUVOIR mission. [9] LUVOIR, like many past and planned space observatories<sup>1</sup>, will fly a halo orbit at the Sun-Earth L2 Lagrange point, which orbits the Sun with a period of one year while remaining approximately 1.5 million km (0.01 AU) away from Earth. This keeps the telescope close enough to Earth for monitoring and control, without subjecting the telescope to the challenges of the varying thermal environment of low Earth orbit. LUVOIR is being designed for launch in the late 2030s, with several potential architectures under trade; in this work, we will focus on the 9.2-meter "Architecture B" option. [23]

The non-dimensionalized circular restricted three-body problem is used to simulate the orbits of the telescope and laser guide star at L2. The calculations were performed in MATLAB, with code available in Appendix B.3.6. The starting parameters of the simulation are presented in Table 2.1, and the resulting halo orbit is plotted in Figure 2-1.

When the telescope is making an observation, a laser guide star satellite will fly

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>For example: the Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) and Gaia, and the upcoming James Webb Space Telescope (JWST) and Nancy Grace Roman Space Telescope (RST, previously known as the Wide Field Infrared Survey Telescope or WFIRST)

Parameter	Value (non-dim.)	Notes
$\mu$	$3.036 \times 10^{-6}$	Sun-Earth system
$x_0$	1.00717285919175	Initial position of LUVOIR, AU
		$(1.07 \times 10^6 \text{ km from Earth})$
$\dot{y}_0$	0.0163636	Initial velocity of LUVOIR, $2\pi \times AU/yr$
		(487  m/s relative to Earth)

Table 2.1: Parameters used for the circular restricted three-body problem simulation.



Figure 2-1: Simulation of LUVOIR's six-month halo orbit, shown in the heliocentric reference frame co-rotating with the Earth. Elapsed time t = 0 corresponds to the midpoint of the straight segment of the orbit, when LUVOIR is closest to Earth.

on the line of sight between the telescope and the star it is observing, and shine its laser at the telescope to provide a reference source for the telescope's adaptive optics system. This is illustrated in Figure 2-2.

LUVOIR is currently planned to execute a five-year mission of observing a list of 259 stars enumerated by Stark *et al.* [57], with each star being observed five or six times for 1,539 total observations. The stars on the target list are plotted in Figure 2-3.<sup>2</sup> The stars with apparent magnitude of 2 or brighter are also plotted on Figure 2-3, to illustrate why a satellite-based laser guide star is useful; even though some of the target stars appear on the map to be close to bright stars, the smallest separation is over 0.3 degrees (> 1000 arcsec, vs. 25 arcsec for Keck NGS AO [37]), and the majority of bright stars are more than two degrees away from the nearest target star.

### 2.2 LUVOIR requirements

Many of the key parameters of the LGS spacecraft, such as laser power and pointing, propulsion, and navigation precision, are set by the architecture of the telescope it is supporting. Because the goal of LGS is to reduce the cost of its host mission, we will spend the majority of our analysis considering a LGS architecture that minimizes the changes asked of LUVOIR.

LUVOIR's main instrument for observing exoplanets is ECLIPS, the Extreme Coronagraph for Living Planetary Systems. [28] The wavefront sensor for ECLIPS is currently baselined to be a Zernike wavefront sensor (ZWFS), similar to that baselined for the Roman Space Telescope. [9, 68] The ZWFS works by focusing incoming light through a  $\pi/2$  phase mask; this converts changes in the phase of light into changes in intensity at the instrument image plane. However, while the ZWFS is sensitive to small changes in phase, its use can also impose a tight requirement on the alignment

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>All full-sky maps in this work use the Robinson projection, a compromise with some area distortion at the poles but which preserves shapes in an aesthetically pleasing way. [69] The National Geographic Society now uses the Winkel III projection [41], but the Robinson projection is still an excellent projection [15], and MATLAB's Mapping Toolbox doesn't have Winkel III as a built-in option.



Figure 2-2: A schematic diagram of the satellite laser guide star concept of operations with a space telescope at Sun-Earth L2. (Earth off-panel to the left; see Figures 1-2 and 2-1 for scale.)



Figure 2-3: A sky map of LUVOIR's targets (blue circles [57]), stars of magnitude 2 or brighter (green crosses), and Hubble and Chandra deep fields (red triangles).

between light from the LGS and from the target star. If the LGS spacecraft is too close, the difference in curvature between the laser wavefronts and the target star's light will make the LGS useless for improving the telescope's image of the target star. It would be like trying to use reading glasses as binoculars.

As calculated by Douglas *et al.* 2019, given a space telescope with aperture radius  $R_T$  and a laser of wavelength  $\lambda$ , the range  $R_C$  between the laser guide star and telescope that will keep the laser's wavefronts flat to within  $\pm \pi/4$  is given in Equation 2.1. For the 9.2 meter diameter LUVOIR Architecture B, and the 980 nm near-infrared laser (to stay out of the visible band during observations, see Section 2.3.1), this imposes a minimum separation of 43,184 km (sometimes referred to in this work as "43,000 km") between the telescope and LGS spacecraft.<sup>3</sup>

$$R_C = 2R_T^2/\lambda \tag{2.1}$$

Having assessed the required separation between the LGS spacecraft and the telescope, we can calculate the limits on how far off-axis the LGS spacecraft may fly. To prevent ambiguity, the angle error across each mirror segment (each with diameter

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$ This is comparable to the altitude of geostationary orbit above the Equator, 35,786 km.

 $D_S$ ) should be less than one wavelength, so the maximum permitted off-axis error  $\Delta x_{max}$  is given in Equation 2.2. Given that LUVOIR-B's mirror segments are 1.15 m across [47], and the range and laser wavelengths used above, the maximum off-axis error is 37 meters.

$$\Delta x_{max} = R_C \frac{\lambda}{D_S} \tag{2.2}$$

However, there are other reasons to keep the LGS spacecraft on-center, which will impose tighter requirements. The LGS spacecraft will of course be emitting a bright laser beam, which must be kept away from the science instruments. The way accomplish this with the least modifications to LUVOIR (i.e. no modifications at all) is to require the LGS spacecraft to fly inside the inner working angle (IWA) of LUVOIR's coronagraph, that is, the central region of its field-of-view which is masked from the science sensor. ECLIPS's coronagraph will suppress the light coming from the central star so that the surrounding planets can be seen, and the LGS can hide its laser beam there as well, as shown in Figure 2-4. The angular width of the inner working angle of a coronagraph (and also the outer working angle (OWA), the size of its field of view) is often measured by units of  $\lambda/D$ : the wavelength in which the observation is made, divided by the diameter of the telescope. The IWA of ECLIPS is presented as  $4\lambda/D$  [47], which results in an off-axis error of 9 meters when multiplied by the telescope-LGS separation, but that is the region in which magnitude-25 planets are suppressed from view. To suppress a bright laser of magnitude -7, the required angle is  $0.25\lambda/D$  [50], or a distance of 0.59 meters off-center.

#### 2.2.1 LUVOIR modifications

If modifying the LUVOIR design is an option, there are several minor modifications that could be made to LUVOIR to reduce the number of LGS spacecraft required or otherwise relax some of the formation-flight requirements.

As discussed in Section 4.1.2, the LGS constellation design is very sensitive to the required separation between the telescope and LGS spacecraft. From a propellant-


Figure 2-4: An illustration of the operation of LUVOIR's coronagraph. LUVOIR (left) will look at a solar system (right) and use its coronagraph to prevent the star's light from reaching the science sensor (purple triangle), which will allow it to see the planets around that star. However, if the LGS spacecraft flies outside of that IWA, even if the laser is filtered out, it would be about as bright as a planet, which would disrupt the observation (orange "planet", top right).

management perspective, it is desirable to fly closer, but this would result in additional wavefront curvature. LUVOIR would have to use a different wavefront sensor, or have an additional focus-correction stage in front of it, in order to tolerate greater than  $\lambda/4$  curvature.

That change would be fairly invasive (the ZWFS was chosen *specifically because* it is so sensitive), but a change that would be more impactful in relaxing the constraints on LGS without impacting LUVOIR's observations would be to add some line filters to LUVOIR's optical paths to reject the wavelengths used by the laser. As will be discussed in Section 2.3.1, these can be chosen to lie outside of the science band being observed, so that the observation's quality is not affected. Commercial notch filters can be purchased with with optical density (OD) 8 [4], that is, passing only 1 part in 10<sup>8</sup> of light whose wavelength falls within its filter bandwidth. That is equivalent to a reduction in brightness by 20 magnitudes, which would take the laser from magnitude -7 to magnitude 13, comparable in brightness to a star, which can be suppressed as far out as  $1\lambda/D$ . Both limits  $(0.25\lambda/D \text{ and } 1\lambda/D)$  will be considered in later calculations.

# 2.3 Laser Payload

The main payload of the LGS spacecraft is its laser. Douglas *et al.* 2019 [19] simulated the closed-loop wavefront control over a range of guide star brightness, and found that, if the guide star is of magnitude -3, the wavefront control system could hold wavefront error to 10 pm or less, even if the telescope's mirror segments are moving up to 100 pm RMS, or a factor of 10 relaxation from optical stability to mechanical stability requirement. If the laser guide star is as bright as magnitude -7, that relaxation factor can be between 100 and 1,000 (depending on the power law of the segment-motion power spectral density). Therefore, the required magnitude for an effective LGS in this analysis shall be -3, with a goal of -7.

To calculate the brightness of the laser as seen by LUVOIR, a Gaussian link budget is used. The link budget code is printed in Appendix B.2, but the key assumptions used in the calculations are that the Gaussian beam waist is 1/3 of the physical aperture radius, to minimize diffraction losses, and that there is a -3 dB pointing loss for the sake of margin. Under these assumptions, a 5 W laser at 980 nm (see below for the wavelength selection) transmitted through a 3.5 cm diameter aperture will have a FWHM beamwidth of 63  $\mu$ rad (13 arcsec), and will be received at LUVOIR at magnitude -7. At the expected distance between the LGS spacecraft and host telescope calculated in Section 2.2, 43,000 km, that corresponds to a laser spot size of diameter 2700 meters, or nearly 300 times the diameter of LUVOIR itself.

#### 2.3.1 Wavelength selection

The LGS payload will have at least two wavelengths available, tentatively selected to be 532 nm (green) and 980 nm (infrared). This is done so that there is always at least one wavelength available outside of whatever band LUVOIR is using to observe. The bright laser light can then be diverted to a fast WFSC system upstream of the science detector, so that it does not affect the observation. This is illustrated in Figure 2-5.

For some applications, it may be desirable to use a laser wavelength that lies within the science band, such as for measuring atmospheric disturbances over a ground-based



a fast segmented DM

Figure 2-5: A schematic representation of how the LGS could work with telescope optics. A laser wavelength outside of the science band can be used and diverted upstream of the science camera to a fast WFSC system. RBM: Rigid Body Motion (of primary mirror segments). RTC: Real-Time Controller. Figure by Jared Males.

telescope or for co-phasing an interferometer. However, that would require the science payload(s) to "sacrifice" that wavelength plus some filter bandwidth to either side; further analysis of such configurations are application-specific and left for future work.

# 2.3.2 Beam pointing

The laser payload for the LGS spacecraft is based on that developed for CLICK, the CubeSat Lasercom Infrared CrosslinK. [14] CLICK is intended to enable CubeSats to share data at 20 Mbps at a range over 500 km. Like the proposed LGS, CLICK carries two lasers, including a beacon laser with a role analogous to the LGS primary function at 980 nm. CLICK's communications laser is in the 1550 nm band, not visible, but the beam pointing mechanism has been tested to have a 3-sigma pointing error of 1.6 arcsec, much narrower than the desired beamwidth of 13 arcsec.

# 2.4 12U Space-Space LGS/LUVOIR L2 point design

The LGS spacecraft studied in this work is built using the 12U CubeSat standard, based on previous MIT efforts designing a flexible high-power (>60 W) smallsatellite bus. The ADCS and electrical power systems nominally use the GOMspace Nanopower P60 and BCT XACT, respectively, while the laser subsystem is based on MIT's Cubesat Laser Infrared CrosslinK (CLICK) mission. [72] The current best estimate masses of all of the parts of the satellite, excluding the propulsion system, add up to 11.5 kg (limit: 24 kg). A preliminary arrangement of the parts of the satellite is shown in Figure 2-6.

The parts are arranged such that a thruster up to 3U in volume  $(1.5 \ge 2 \ge 1)^4$  can be mounted with its thrust axis perpendicular to the axis of the laser beam. This will allow the LGS spacecraft to resist the cross-track acceleration at L2 during a formation flight, which is discussed more thoroughly in Chapter 3. Propulsion systems that are offered for sale or advertised as in development were considered for this study. Their properties are summarized in Table 2.2.

The "parent" bus design developed by the STAR team from which the LGS design is derived was estimated to cost \$11 million for one unit to complete the design, construction and testing process, and \$5 million for subsequent identical units. Even if the LGS spacecraft cost \$10 million each, to account for the additional development needed to assure reliability at L2, then twenty LGS spacecraft<sup>5</sup> would cost \$200 million in total. That is still less than the cost of a 1-year Starshade technology

 $<sup>^4\</sup>mathrm{If}$  the spacecraft bus is enlarged to a 16U, then propulsion systems up to 5U in volume, 2.5 x 2 x 1, can be accommodated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>The exact number of LGS spacecraft required to support LUVOIR's mission or others is discussed in Chapter 4: LGS Constellation Design, but twenty is a close round estimate.



Figure 2-6: CAD rendering of conceptual LGS spacecraft design, in a 12U CubeSat bus. Not shown: body panels or deployable solar panels. Design by W. Kammerer, rev 1, 2019 Mar 21.

Prop system	Mass (kg)	$I_{sp}$ (sec)	Thrust (mN)	dV (m/s)	<b>Vol.</b> (U)
Accion TILE 5000 x2 $[1]$	2.2 + 0.64	1500	3	672	2.5
Apollo Constellation [5]	4.5 + 1	1500	33	892	4
Busek BIT-3 [13]	1.4+1.5	2300	1.25	2480	2
Enpulsion IFM Nano x2 [22]	1.44 + 0.46	3000	0.7	1030	2
IFM Nano (max $I_{sp}$ ) x2 [22]	1.44 + 0.46	6000	0.5	2060	2
Phase Four x2 [46]	3+1	900	2	589	2
VACCO Green MiPS [67]	3+2	170	0.4	215	3
VACCO/JPL MarCO [66]	2.5 + 1	80	0.1	52	3

Table 2.2: Table of propulsion system options considered for a 12U LGS spacecraft. Mass is shown as propulsion dry mass + propellant mass. Delta-V capacity is calculated with a spacecraft mass of 11.5 kg plus propulsion system dry mass.

demonstrator mission (est. \$400 million [52]), and considerably smaller than the nearly \$10 billion total cost of the James Webb Space Telescope. [43] LUVOIR is intended to be over 50% larger than JWST, which, if all else were equal, would raise the mission price tag to nearly \$15 billion (per Smart's parametric cost model of space telescope missions [56]), but JWST is at Technology Readiness Level  $6^6$  at same time that LUVOIR's optical system is TRL  $2^7$  [10], which would put the cost to develop LUVOIR at nearly \$39 billion dollars. Both Starshade and LGS could more than pay for themselves by relaxing the requirements levied upon LUVOIR, but LGS can do so even at constellation scales.

## 2.4.1 Propulsion needs

With five years to complete 1,539 observations for LUVOIR's exoplanet detection and characterization mission, and with the average observation lasting 9 hours, the telescope-LGS formation will only have 19 hours to re-align from one target to another. We will see in Chapter 4 that multiple LGS spacecraft will be required to sustain this pace of observations.

The LGS spacecraft will spend the majority of its propellant in transiting between targets. If two stars are a separated by a small angle  $\theta < 14^{\circ}$ , and the LGS spacecraft is at a range R from the telescope, then we can approximate the distance that the LGS spacecraft must travel as  $R\theta$  with error less than one percent. If the spacecraft has mass m and a thruster with thrust T (thus acceleration a = T/m), the way to cover that distance in the least time possible is to accelerate toward the new target point until it reaches the midpoint, and then turn over and decelerate to a stop at the new target point. This maneuver is illustrated in Figure 2-7, and the total time t required for this maneuver is given by Equation 2.3.

$$t = 2\sqrt{R\theta/a} = 2\sqrt{R\theta m/T} \tag{2.3}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>TRL 6: system/subsystem model or prototype demonstration in a relevant environment (ground or space). [54]

 $<sup>^7\</sup>mathrm{TRL}$  2: Technology concept and/or application formulated, research underway to prove feasibility. [54]



Figure 2-7: An illustration of a transit maneuver, with the LGS moving from in front of one target star to another.

The delta-V cost is that time multiplied by the acceleration, as shown in Equation  $2.4.^8$ 

$$\Delta V = at = 2\sqrt{R\theta a} = 2\sqrt{R\theta T/m} \tag{2.4}$$

From this, we can see that we can complete any number of maneuvers with an arbitrarily small expenditure of delta-V, but only at the cost of decreasing thrust and increasing maneuver time.<sup>9</sup>

Equations 2.3 and 2.4 could be used as cost functions in a traveling-salesmanproblem calculation on the LUVOIR target database (which was done to produce the single-salesman path in Figure 2-8a), but to simplify calculations and allow rapid trade studies of observation campaigns with different numbers of targets, we will first assume that all targets are approximately uniformly distributed over the sky by a Fibonacci grid. A Fibonacci grid is a set of points on a sphere constructed based on the golden ratio, which produces a more isotropic distribution of points compared to points which are evenly-spaced in latitude and longitude (or hour angle and declination). [61] To validate this simplification, MATLAB's intlinprog solver was applied to the traveling salesman problem for the LUVOIR target database (259) stars), and the average angle to transit between stars was found to be 8.8 degrees. For comparison, the average angle to transit in the Fibonacci grid, also with 259 points, is 11 degrees. The two courses are plotted in Figure 2-8. We can therefore proceed with the uniform-distribution assumption (*i.e.*, constant  $\theta$  for all maneuvers) and know that any constellation designed on that basis will have margin when investigated in greater detail with the actual target population.



(a) Traveling-salesman path for LUVOIR target population. [57]



(b) Traveling-salesman path for Fibonacci grid with 259 stars.

Figure 2-8: Comparison of actual LUVOIR target population versus simplified uniform distribution. Note that the actual star population has clusters that allow fuel savings, while in the Fibonacci grid, all points are roughly equally distributed.

<b>D</b> rop gystom	Min. transit	Maneuver count		
F rop system	time (days)	Max thrust	Equal time	
Accion TILE 5000 x2	4.8	7	18	
Apollo Constellation	1.6	3	24	
Busek BIT-3	7.5	44	67	
Enpulsion IFM Nano x2	9.6	23	28	
IFM Nano (max $I_{sp}$ ) x2	11	56	56	
Phase Four x2	6.1	8	16	
VACCO Green MiPS	14	7	-	
VACCO/JPL MarCO	27	3	-	

Table 2.3: Table of a subset of propulsion system capabilities for a 12U LGS spacecraft. The left entry in the maneuver count column is the number of maneuvers each propulsion system can sustain at maximum thrust, corresponding to the minimum transit time in the column to the far left. The right entry corresponds to the number of maneuvers achievable at reduced thrust, such that all systems complete maneuvers in the same amount of time as the slowest electric propulsion system (*i.e.*, the Enpulsion IFM Nano's maximum specific impulse). The VACCO propulsion systems cannot perform the maneuver in 11 days even at full thrust, and so their entries in the second column are empty.

## 2.4.2 Propulsion system trade

Using the subset of available propulsion systems suitable for a 12U CubeSat in Table 2.2 and Equations 2.3 and 2.4, we can calculate how many days are required to execute the 11-degree "standard maneuver", and how many of those maneuvers can be achieved by each propulsion system. The complete results are listed in Table 2.3, where we can see that, under the assumption that all thrusters operate at maximum thrust and their design specific impulse (*i.e.*, no performance degradation is modeled and no margin is set aside), the Enpulsion IFM Nano thruster in its maximum- $I_{sp}$ design point is the one capable of the most maneuvers (56). However, it requires 11 days to execute a maneuver of 11 degrees, which is the slowest of all the electric propulsion options. If we allow the thrusters to operate at reduced thrust, to match the acceleration and maneuver time of the IFM Nano thrusters, the Busek BIT-3 has the greatest maneuver capacity (67). We will therefore baseline the Busek BIT-3 in the 12U LGS spacecraft design. From the perspective of spacecraft longevity, deep space operation, and heritage, the BIT-3 has the further advantage of having been selected for use on the upcoming LunaH-Map and Lunar IceCube missions. [24, 12]

The BIT-3 and IFM Nano thrusters both have the disadvantage of using solid propellants, which must be melted before they can produce thrust. This means that they have extra power and thermal loads, and it means that the spacecraft must either keep them on 'hot standby' or schedule all maneuvers with at least an hour in advance for heating. However, it is because they have solid propellants that they can carry so much fuel relative to their dry mass and achieve such high delta-V figures. The Accion TILE thrusters instead have a liquid propellant carried in a porous metal substrate, which leads to a much higher dry mass for the amount of propellant they carry. On the other hand, the TILE thruster does not require any preheating. Smaller TILE units may be useful in a more detailed design as a secondary "reaction control

 $<sup>^{8}</sup>$ This is the case for spacecraft where the fuel mass is much less than the total spacecraft mass. For the LGS spacecraft design considered here, with the propulsion system options in Table 2.2, fuel mass is never more than 12% of the total spacecraft mass.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Eventually, the maneuver lasts so long and thrust is so low that the spacecraft is more affected by the dynamics of L2 than its own thruster. As discussed in Section 3.2, the thrust required to counteract the dynamics of L2 is, on average, 7% of the maximum throttle of the Busek BIT-3.

system" (RCS) if the spacecraft needs additional agility.

#### 2.4.3 Communication

As discussed in Section 3.3 (thruster and sensor uncertainty requirements), the LGS spacecraft will not need to check-in frequently with the host telescope, nor exchange large volumes of data – an update on their relative position and velocity once every five minutes will suffice. For the 12U LUVOIR companion design, the LGS spacecraft has been baselined to include the JPL Iris communications system, selected for its heritage in deep-space CubeSat missions such as MarCO and upcoming LunaH-Map and Lunar IceCube. [7] MarCO used a large deployable 60-cm high-gain antenna to close a link to the Deep Space Network (34- and 70-m dishes) at a distance of nearly 2 AU (300 million km); the factor of 200 reduction in distance to L2 (0.01 AU, 1.5 million km) means the LGS will be able to close the same link with a patch antenna onboard and a 5-meter dish on Earth, and the 43,000-km link to the telescope can be closed by a pair of patch antennas.

# 2.4.4 Power

The two high-power components that will be used regularly by the LGS spacecraft are the propulsion system, with a maximum power usage of 75 W [13] (but, as discussed in Chapters 3 and 4, it need not be used above 30% throttle), and the laser, which will likely use a maximum of 30 W. [70] Taken together, the average power draw of the LGS spacecraft during a worst-case observation will be 73.5 W. To sustain that power output for the multiple hours of an observation, the LGS spacecraft nominally can use a COTS system, such as the GOMspace P60 modular power system, with two BPX battery modules (total capacity: 154 Whr). [6]

With 4 single-deployable solar panels on the 6U faces, plus one set of body solar panels on the 4U face not occupied by the thrusters (the +X face in Figure 2-6), the maximum power that can be generated by the spacecraft is 85 W, if the telescope is observing a target at a right angle to the Sun vector.<sup>10</sup> This means that the LGS spacecraft will be power-positive if the target line-of-sight is angled up to 30 degrees towards or away from the Sun, and capable of sustaining an 11-hour observation (longer the 75% of all desired observations [57]) if the target line-of-sight is angled up to 45 degrees towards or away from the Sun, at least under beginning-of-life conditions.

If, as the design matures, additional power capacity is required, the simplest approach may be to increase the length of the vehicle to a 16U CubeSat. The larger bus size will have more surface area for solar panels, which will enable longer mission lifetimes by compensating for solar panel degradation, and more volume for batteries to enable longer observations or observations where the solar panels must be angled further away from the Sun. The implications for propulsion system capacity are briefly discussed in Appendix A.1.

### 2.4.5 Attitude Determination and Control

As discussed in Section 3.3 (Thruster and sensor uncertainty requirements), the LGS spacecraft will not be subject to strict body-pointing requirements during an observation, as long as the telescope can provide it with regular updates on its relative position and velocity. The LGS baseline design incorporates a COTS reaction wheel assembly such as the BCT XACT, both for its demonstration of sub-arcminute-class accuracy on-orbit [39] and its deep-space heritage on MarCO. [59]

Because the LGS spacecraft will be flying in a halo orbit at L2 and not low Earth orbit, there will be no disturbance torques from Earth's atmosphere, gravity gradient, or magnetic field, but there will also be no possibility of using Earth's magnetic field to desaturate its reaction wheels. The worst-case solar radiation pressure (SRP) torque will be  $0.14 \,\mu$ Nm, exerted on the deployable solar panels when they are oriented at  $45^{\circ}$  to the Sun. While transiting between targets, the LGS spacecraft will flip over halfway and can choose its orientation to cancel out any torque accumulation, but

 $<sup>^{10}</sup>$ As discussed in Figure 3-3, this is the preferred configuration for minimum fuel cost during an observation.

this will not be an option during an observation. The longest desired observation is nearly 18 hours [57], which would result in accumulating up to 0.0092 Nms of angular momentum; BCT's RWP-100 reaction wheels can store up to 0.1 Nms of angular momentum, so there is no need to desaturate during any observation (even up to 8 days in duration), but that momentum must be shed eventually. The LGS spacecraft will do this with the BIT-3 thruster, which can gimbal up to 10 degrees; at that angle, and at the nominal thrust for transiting between stars (19%, see 4.2.2), the thruster can produce  $6.1 \,\mu$ Nm of torque, or over 40 times the worst-case SRP torque, for a loss of propulsion efficiency of less than 2%. The accumulated angular momentum will be dissipated within the first minutes of a many-day transit maneuver.

# 2.4.6 12U LGS Space-Space L2/LUVOIR point design assumptions

- Telescope: 9.2 m diameter, observing at  $\lambda = 500$  nm
- Range to telescope: 43,184 km (sometimes referred to as "43,000 km")
- Total mass: 14.4 kg (incl. 1.5 kg iodine propellant in BIT-3)
- Volume: 12U  $(22.6 \times 22.6 \times 34.1 \text{ cm})$
- RF communication system: *e.g.* JPL Iris (X-band), crosslink to telescope and downlink to DSN<sup>11</sup>
- ADCS: COTS, such as BCT XACT-100 (+3x RWP-100 reaction wheels)
- Propulsion system: COTS, such as Busek BIT-3, 1.24 mN, 2.48 km/s delta-V.
- Power: COTS, such as GOMspace P60
- Laser system: 5 W, 3.5 cm aperture. Two wavelengths selected, adjust for instrument applications to offer out-of-band options:
  - -532 nm: 34  $\mu$ rad = 7" beamwidth (FWHM), apparent magnitude -5
  - 980 nm: 63  $\mu$ rad = 13" beamwidth (FWHM), apparent magnitude -7

 $<sup>^{11}{\</sup>rm The}$  rate of communication is not likely to require DSN specifically; NEN dishes can close the link to alleviate DSN usage.

# Chapter 3

# Formation Flight of a LGS with Space Telescope at L2

In this chapter, we will consider the formation flight of a laser guide star spacecraft with a space telescope at L2. Architectures with the laser guide star in an Earth orbit (GEO LGS with ground-based telescope or GEO space telescope) are discussed in Chapter 5. Architectures where the "laser guide star" is not actually carrying its own laser, but passively reflects a laser generated elsewhere, are discussed in Appendix A.2.

We will use the frame of reference illustrated in Figure 3-1, with its origin at the telescope, z-axis towards the target star and x-axis aligned with the difference in gravitational acceleration between the telescope and the LGS. In an ideal observation, the LGS will have its thrust vector aligned against the net L2 disturbance force, and the LGS spacecraft will be exactly on the line of sight from the space telescope to the target star.

The main line-of-sight requirements were previously calculated in Section 2.2, but as a brief reminder, they are reprinted in Table 3.1.



Figure 3-1: The frame of reference used in discussing the telescope-LGS formation flight. Origin at the telescope, z-axis towards the target star, x-axis aligned with the difference in gravitational acceleration between the telescope and the LGS.

Parameter	Value	Notes	
Range	43,000 km	"At infinity", flat wavefronts on the telescope	
Off-axis error	37 m	No waves across a segment	
	2.3 m	Stay inside coronagraph IWA $(\lambda/D)$	
	0.6 m	Stay <b>deep</b> inside coronagraph IWA $(0.25\lambda/D)$	

Table 3.1: Top-level formation flight requirements for LGS in front of LUVOIR.

# 3.1 Mitigating LGS impacts on observation

Beyond the needs of the wavefront sensor, another reason to stay as close to the telescope-star axis as possible is to remain behind the coronagraph mask to mitigate negative effects on the observation. We will consider an observation in the visible part of the spectrum (center wavelength 500 nm). Besides using a laser which lies outside the science band (the 980 nm laser), as described in Section 2.3.1, we will evaluate the LGS spacecraft's thruster plumes, sunlight glinting from its body, and thermal emissions.

# 3.1.1 Plumes

For the 12U CubeSat LGS design, the top thruster candidates are electric propulsion systems with exhaust velocities in excess of 15 km/s. If the thruster is shut off, the plume will leave the outer working angle of the coronagraph  $(24\lambda/D = 1.3 \ \mu \text{rad} \ [47])$ in less than 4 ms. As the worst-case thrust required to sustain formation flight is less than 1/3 of the BIT-3's maximum thrust (calculated in Section 3.2), and on average requires less than 7% thrust, it will be straightforward for the LGS to pulse its thrusters and coordinate with the telescope to integrate between impulses.

If the LGS spacecraft is built to a larger form factor with monopropellant thrusters, then its exhaust products will remain in the coronagraph's field of view for over 30 ms, even though the LGS spacecraft should be able to use shorter pulses to hold the formation.

# 3.1.2 Reflected sunlight

During an observation, the sides of the LGS spacecraft will either be facing the telescope aperture directly, or at right angles to it. As shown in Figure 3-2, there will be no direct reflections from the Sun into the telescope. This still leaves the question of scattered light from the LGS spacecraft's edges. Steeves *et al.* [58] have measured the light glinting from sharp aluminum edges and found that the total glinting from the Starshade will be between 22nd and 26th magnitude, depending on the angle

to the Sun. Scaling from the perimeter of Starshade ( $\approx 400$  m of edges) down to a 12U bus (up to 5 m of edges, with dual-deployed solar panels), and moving from 48,800 km inwards to 43,000 km, LGS would have a glint between 26th and 30th magnitude (23rd-27th magnitude at 10,000 km). This is comparable in brightness to an Earth-like planet around a 5th-magnitude star; even though the telescope should know where the LGS spacecraft is located and be able to ignore a spurious signal, it could obscure the observation of an actual planet, so it would be desirable to fly within the inner working angle of the coronagraph.

If the LGS spacecraft happens to lose attitude control and turns so that one of its faces reflects a sunbeam directly into LUVOIR, the resulting glint will be magnitude 1... This could be potentially disturbing to the observation if the LGS also loses positional control and leaves the coronagraph mask, but it would not be damaging to the telescope.

## 3.1.3 Thermal emission

If the LGS spacecraft is to assist during an infrared observation, its thermal emission may be a source of confusion. Based on an estimated maximum power budget of 100 W, and assuming that the face with the laser is covered in aluminum (for its low infrared emissivity), the spacecraft would appear as bright as magnitude 26 in the K band. The average star in the LUVOIR target list has magnitude 4 in K band, so the "contrast" between the LGS spacecraft and the target star will be approximately  $10^{-9}$ , comparable to the contrast between Jupiter and the Sun; this will be well within the capabilities of the coronagraph to suppress.

# 3.2 Thrust requirements for formation flight

The non-dimensionalized circular restricted three-body problem is used to calculate the thrust required to sustain the telescope-LGS formation flight at L2. After a nominal orbit was computed for LUVOIR (see Figure 2-1), a test particle was placed at the desired range (43,000 km) in all directions, at all times in the six-month



Figure 3-2: As long as the telescope-LGS line of sight is not facing directly towards or away from the Sun, there will be no direct reflections from the Sun into the telescope from any of the LGS's faces.

Thrust req. hold pointing (mN, t = 0 days, 43,000 km)



Figure 3-3: Thrust required to sustain the telescope-LGS line of sight in any direction, at time t = 0 (LUVOIR closest to Earth). The center of the diagram corresponds to looking directly away from the Sun; the left and right edges of the map are looking towards the Sun.

orbital period. The difference in acceleration between LUVOIR and the test particle is calculated at all points, and the component of that acceleration perpendicular to the line of sight between them is the thrust required (when multiplied by the spacecraft mass). Two "slices" through this space are shown here: Figure 3-3 shows the required thrust to observe in any particular direction at elapsed time t = 0 (when LUVOIR is closest to Earth), and Figure 3-4 shows the required thrust to observe in any direction in the equatorial plane, over the course of the six-month orbital period.

From these figures, we can see that it is generally least expensive (in terms of fuel cost) to support observations in alignment with or perpendicular to the Sun-Earth axis, and that the most expensive observations are those which take place when LUVOIR is closest to Earth, moving the fastest. The worst-case maximum thrust required to sustain the Telescope-LGS line of sight is 0.36 mN (29% of the BIT-3's maximum thrust), and the average thrust required, over the whole sky and orbital period, is 0.085 mN (85  $\mu$ N, 7% of the BIT-3's maximum thrust).



Figure 3-4: Thrust required to sustain the telescope-LGS line of sight at any azimuth within the ecliptic plane, over the course of the six-month halo orbit period. Inertial frame of reference, zero degrees azimuth corresponds to the Sun-Earth(-LUVOIR) vector at t = 0.

Because the thruster is mounted perpendicular to the laser, the difference in acceleration parallel to the line of sight cannot be corrected with the reference LGS design. As long as the LGS spacecraft only drifts slowly away from the telescope, the quality of the observation will not be significantly affected.<sup>1</sup> A simulation of a 24hour observation (longer than any of LUVOIR's nominal observations) is performed to quantify the drift; in that time, the LGS spacecraft will drift only 100 km away from the telescope, or approximately 0.2% of the nominal range to the telescope (a reduction in brightness of 0.017 dB, or 0.004 magnitudes). Therefore, we can neglect this drift when developing the first-order constellation planner and mission scheduling in Chapter 4.

# **3.3** Thruster and sensor uncertainty requirements

Given the maximum required thrust to sustain the formation calculated in Section 3.2, 0.36 mN, we can place upper bounds on the uncertainty requirements for the LGS spacecraft's thrusters and sensors.

The first requirement is to determine how frequently the telescope should update the LGS on its relative position. Because the main disturbance is aligned with the thruster axis (the x-axis, in the frame of reference illustrated in Figure 3-1), it is expected that the fastest drift will be in that axis. It is also the easiest to adjust; for example, if the spacecraft has drifted towards the top of its target range (*i.e.* x > 0), then it can reduce thrust below the steady-state value, settle towards the line of sight, and then increase thrust above the steady-state value to cancel out its relative velocity. As long as the difference in acceleration required is less than the disturbance acceleration, this maneuver has no net cost of fuel compared to steadystate formation holding. However, if the spacecraft is required to accelerate faster than the disturbance acceleration, then it will need to turn and use its engine instead of reducing thrust and letting "gravity" do the work, which will out-pace the nom-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Increasing the range will make the laser's wavefronts flatter, which means they will serve as a better reference for the telescope, but the laser will also become dimmer.

inal fuel budget. Therefore, from a fuel budget perspective, it is ideal to make the update period as long as possible, so that the spacecraft can take advantage of local acceleration rather than have to expend extra fuel to "sprint" into position.

For some maximum background acceleration of  $a_{max} = 2.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m/s}^2$  (given the above maximum thrust and the spacecraft's mass of 14.4 kg), the maximum distance  $d_{zc}$  that can be transited for no additional cost in update interval  $t_{upd}$  is given in Equation 3.1. This curve is plotted in Figure 3-5 and compared to the target zone radius values calculated in Section 2.2. We can see that if the LGS spacecraft is to stay within the deepest part of the coronagraph IWA during an observation that requires maximum thrust, its update interval should be no longer than 5 minutes. For the average observation, that requirement relaxes to 10 minutes, or even to 20 minutes if the looser  $1\lambda/D$  constraint (assuming LUVOIR installs additional notch filters for the laser wavelength, see Section 2.2.1) is feasible. In all cases, this is much longer than the 144 ms single-way light delay between the two spacecraft, so the telescope's updates will not become outdated in transmission.

$$d_{zc} = \frac{1}{4}a_{max}t_{upd}^2 \tag{3.1}$$

Another key metric is the limit on steady-state thrust error. This thrust error should be no greater than the value such that the spacecraft will drift half of the radius of the target zone within the update period, so that the drift can be corrected and halted within the next update period. This turns out to be exactly 1/4th of the steady-state thrust, independent of the size of the target zone or the update period. That magnitude of error is not the kind that results from electrical system noise; on-orbit electric propulsion system demonstrations show oscillations in thrust on the order of a few percent at most. [33] A thrust error of 25% would be indicative of some kind of malfunction of the spacecraft itself. If testing shows that this level of thrust control is ever a challenge to achieve, for example during an observation towards the poles or otherwise requiring very little thrust, then smaller thrusters could be installed to act as a fine-control RCS, such as Accion's Tiled Ionic Liquid Electrospray (TILE)



Figure 3-5: Maximum distance that can be transited for no additional cost over steady-state formation flight, versus the update interval.

modules.

The 25% maximum thrust error leads to the requirement on alignment of the thrust vector: the arcsine of 0.25, or 14 degrees (0.253 radians). Again, this value is independent of the size of the target zone, and is also easily achieved by any commercially available star-tracker. The BCT XACT has been verified on-orbit as controlling a spacecraft's attitude to less than 20 arcseconds RMS. [39]

From this, we can conclude that the LGS spacecraft ADCS needs are not challenging to meet. The strictest requirements will fall on the telescope's ability to track the LGS with milliarcsecond precision, although it will have several minutes available to filter and process each update, and the LGS will also be actively cooperating (and very bright).

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

# Chapter 4

# LGS Constellation Design

Now that it has been established that the LGS spacecraft design developed in Chapter 2 is suitable for supporting individual observations with LUVOIR or similar space telescopes, it is possible to determine just how many of them are required to support the quantity and pace of observations desired by LUVOIR mission planners: 1,539 observations of 259 stars, carried out within five years. [57]

# 4.1 Constellation sizing

A constellation sizing tool was developed to calculate the number of LGS spacecraft with the mass, fuel capacity, thrust, specific impulse, and range to the telescope required to support an observation mission of given parameters (number of stars and observations, time required for each observation, and total mission duration). First, the Fibonacci spiral (a mathematical shape that produces a nearly-uniform distribution of points over a sphere [61]) is used to compute an expected angular separation between adjacent stars, as shown in Figure 2-8b. The desired number of observations is then divided into a number of domains. The telescope's desired pace of observations, the number of observations divided by the total mission duration, is multiplied by the number of domains to calculate the time available for each individual LGS spacecraft to transit from one star to the next (it is assumed that all LGS spacecraft stagger their maneuvers). That available maneuver time is used to set the throttle level of the transit maneuver, to maximize fuel savings by minimizing thrust used (as in Equation 2.4). Finally, the integration time from the LUVOIR target schedule [57] multiplied by the maximum acceleration from the formationflight calculations (25  $\mu$ m/s<sup>2</sup>, see Section 3.2) is added to obtain the total delta-V cost per observation.

That cost is divided into the delta-V capacity of one LGS spacecraft to determine how many observations each LGS can support, and that number is divided into the number of observations within the domain to determine how many LGS spacecraft are required to support the full observation campaign. The sizing tool iterates over a range of domain sizes to find the value where the number of required LGS spacecraft is minimized. That value is the one such that each domain is small enough to be completely serviced by one LGS spacecraft (with no margin, although a margin requirement can be levied by reducing the delta-V capacity and/or maximum thrust of the LGS spacecraft).

The constellation sizer is run on all propulsion system options outlined in Table 2.2, both in the case where the baselined mass is used (11.5 kg plus the mass of each propulsion system) and a second case where the total LGS spacecraft mass is assumed to be 24 kg, the maximum value permitted for a 12U CubeSat. The resulting chart is shown in Figure 4-1, and it shows that the Busek BIT-3 results in the fewest LGS spacecraft required (19). This is in alignment with Table 2.3, which identified the BIT-3 as the thruster with the greatest delta-V capacity and the greatest maneuver capacity of the options considered.

## 4.1.1 Deployment and disposal

The constellation planning and scheduling tools do not presently consider the time and fuel cost of deploying LGS spacecraft, nor the manner in which they are deployed. If the number of LGS spacecraft required is small enough, such as in the single-digits (if LUVOIR can be modified to allow the LGS spacecraft to fly much closer than 43,000 km), they could be deployed from LUVOIR itself, but otherwise, it might be preferable to have the LGS spacecraft launched separately to minimize operations



Figure 4-1: Number of LGS spacecraft estimated by the constellation sizing tool as necessary to support the LUVOIR campaign (259 stars, 1,539 observations) [57] for a selection of propulsion system options and 2 LGS mass values.

in close proximity to LUVOIR. This could be done by storing them in a secondary carrier or depot spacecraft that is launched with LUVOIR to the same L2 halo orbit, but which leads or trails LUVOIR by 43,000 km (approximately two days).

If the LGS spacecraft are deployed from LUVOIR itself, then they will drift away because of the natural instability of L2. This will allow them to reach the desired range without having to activate their thrusters in proximity to LUVOIR. If they are deployed with a separation velocity of 1.44 m/s [48], then they will drift 43,000 km away after 90 days, and the delta-V cost to arrest its drift will be 18 m/s (less than 1% of the total delta-V budget), achieved by 2 days of thrusting. After their propellant is depleted, they will drift away from L2 into a heliocentric orbit without active propulsion.

The benefit of the depot is that it can be used as a staging ground for launching new LGS spacecraft partway through the mission, if there are unexpected failures, or if the schedule calls for the deployment of new LGS spacecraft partway through the mission (see the "Number of simultaneously active LGS spacecraft" trade). Perhaps a mission to service LUVOIR's instruments (which is expected to be possible [28]) could deliver new LGS spacecraft to the depot after departing LUVOIR.

If the depot can be made even more advanced, like the "locker" system proposed by Ezinne Uzo-Okoro *et al.* [65], then LGS spacecraft can visit the depot for refueling<sup>1</sup> instead of being discarded as space junk. Even if there aren't enough LGS spacecraft required for LUVOIR's initial mission to make the depot worthwhile, it might be useful as an asset which can remain on-station to support mission extensions or to support other telescopes launched to L2.

#### 4.1.2 Constellation size sensitivity

After computing the nominal constellation size, sensitivity studies were carried out over several of the variables to assess their relative importance to the result for the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Because both the Busek BIT-3 and Enpulsion IFM Nano use propellants which are solid at room temperature, they wouldn't be refueled in the conventional sense, but would instead have their entire propulsion systems replaced.

LGS concept.

#### Range

One of the key parameters governing the LGS architecture is the range between the LGS spacecraft and the telescope it supports. The value used in this work, 43,184 km, is calculated so that the laser's wavefront curvature across the telescope's mirror is no more than a quarter-wave (see Equation 2.1). At 43,184 kg, the laser's light is "close enough to infinity" to focus like the target star's light and not require the telescope to have additional flattening or compensation optics. However, as shown in Figure 4-2, the closer the LGS is allowed to fly to the telescope, the less thrust it needs to maintain its formation and the less fuel it needs to transit between observations, and so half as many LGS's are required if they are allowed to fly at a range of 10,000 km instead of 43,000 km. An open trade going forward is to compare the complexity and cost of a defocus-compensation stage within the telescope's WFSC system versus the savings in the number of LGS spacecraft required to support the mission.

#### Mass

Another trade study was conducted on the effect of changing the LGS spacecraft's total mass, if the current estimate (14.4 kg) turns out to be incorrect – perhaps additional margin for fuel or radiation shielding is required, or additional lasers at multiple wavelengths. Fortunately, the effect turns out to be not quite as strong as the effect of range, as shown in Figure 4-3. Even if the LGS spacecraft's mass must rise to the maximum permitted value, 24 kg, only five additional spacecraft (from 19 to 24 LGS's) will be required to complete the mission.

#### Fuel

Another trade study was conducted on the effect of changing the LGS spacecraft's fuel mass, leaving the rest of the spacecraft's parts the same mass (which is slightly optimistic, as the propulsion system's mass would probably also have to increase slightly to accommodate the increased propellant capacity). The effect of doubling



Figure 4-2: Number of LGS spacecraft required to support LUVOIR's observation campaign vs. the range to the telescope.



Figure 4-3: Number of LGS spacecraft required to support LUVOIR's observation campaign vs. the total mass of each LGS spacecraft..



Figure 4-4: Number of LGS spacecraft required to support LUVOIR's observation campaign vs. the propulsion system's fuel mass.

the BIT-3 thruster's fuel mass from 1.5 kg to 3 kg is shown in Figure 4-4, reducing the number of spacecraft required from 19 to 14. Such a change would require some additional non-recurring engineering (NRE) to be paid for changing the BIT-3 from its current configuration, which would have to be weighed against the savings of not having to build so many LGS spacecraft (and especially their expensive propulsion systems and testing campaigns).

#### Number of simultaneously active LGS spacecraft

The final trade was to study the effect of the maximum number of LGS spacecraft operating simultaneously on the total number of LGS spacecraft required (Figure 4-5) and the total time required to complete the mission (Figure 4-6). From Figure 4-5, we can see that the number of LGS spacecraft required to complete the mission increases as fewer of them are allowed to be active at once, which forces them to operate at



Figure 4-5: Number of LGS spacecraft required to support LUVOIR's observation campaign vs. the number of simultaneously-active LGS spacecraft.

higher thrust and greater fuel consumption to (attempt to) satisfy LUVOIR's observation schedule, but that for 10 domains or fewer, no more than 36 LGS spacecraft are required, because they are moving at maximum thrust and no further trade of fuel for time can be made. Instead, as shown in Figure 4-6, the total time required to execute all 1,539 observations starts to rise – requiring over 30 years (!), not shown on the chart due to space constraints, if all of the observations can only be supported by a single LGS spacecraft at a time.

From this trade study, we see that the constellation can satisfy the five-year time constraint all the way down to seven simultaneous guide stars, which offers an interesting trade between total manufacturing and testing cost against the operational challenges of managing the constellation in flight – if, for example, coordinating all nineteen LGS spacecraft plus LUVOIR at once is too challenging, the number of domains can be reduced accordingly with minimal schedule impact.



Figure 4-6: Time required to support LUVOIR's observation campaign vs. the number of simultaneously-active LGS spacecraft.
#### 4.1.3 Mission enhancement

The total integration time of all of the desired exoplanet observations with LGS is 585 days, or just under a third of the five-year mission duration, so there is room in the schedule for LUVOIR to make observations for other purposes (*e.g.* imaging bodies within our Solar System, active galactic nuclei, or other targets of interest). One final constellation calculation was run to see how many LGS spacecraft would be required to support twice as many observations of twice as many stars (so 518 stars and 3,078 observations) in the same five-year mission duration. It was found at 43 LGS spacecraft would be required.

### 4.2 Validating the constellation sizer

The previous analyses were all done under the assumption that the targets of observation were evenly distributed over the sky, in the pattern of a Fibonacci spiral. However, actual stars are clustered, which should allow fuel savings, and hopefully will require fewer LGS spacecraft than were predicted in Section 4.1. To validate the constellation sizing tool, the list of actual stars and observations were processed into schedules for a constellation of LGS spacecraft, by three approaches: scientific priority, geographic segmentation, and a hybrid model.

#### 4.2.1 Scheduling by scientific priority

The list of observations for LUVOIR [57] currently exists in order of scientific priority based on exoplanet yield, organized with the goal of finding and characterizing as many exoplanets as quickly as possible. It does not yet incorporate logistical details, such as Sun keep-out zones, but for the purpose of stress-testing the LGS concept, the list was ingested and processed strictly.

The scheduler works by evenly distributing the observations over the course of the five-year duration, and assigning them in sequence to a series of LGS spacecraft. The first LGS receives the first observation, deducts the fuel cost of the observation (per

Section 3.2), and then scans the list of future observations for the first one that is far enough in the future that it can complete its transit maneuver to be in front of that star before the observation is scheduled to begin. After the LGS either exhausts its fuel supply or reaches the end of the schedule, another LGS is instantiated at the first un-assigned observation, and the process continues until all observations have been assigned. A global throttle parameter is used to govern the thrust with which each LGS spacecraft will perform its transmit maneuvers. At lower values, spacecraft will require more time to complete their transit maneuver, but will be able perform more of them within their delta-V budget. The value of the throttle parameter which results in the fewest LGS spacecraft is 0.23 (*i.e.* transiting between targets at 23% of maximum thrust), requiring 34 LGS spacecraft.

This is substantially more than the 19 baseline predicted in Section 4.1, but examining the schedule and the delta-V expended by each LGS shows that it's not that the LGS spacecraft are performing worse than predicted; rather, the rigidity of the schedule is preventing them from operating at their full potential. Figure 4-7 shows the number of observations supported by each satellite, and it shows that the first 20 LGS satellites were well-utilized, supporting over 50 observations each, but that after that, the scheduler was left with smaller and smaller gaps that each needed a dedicated LGS to fill.<sup>2</sup> The last LGS added only supports a single observation. It may be desirable for a real mission to have some LGS spacecraft that are not fully utilized, to serve as spares or to support urgent unscheduled observations, but to study the lower bound on the *required* number of LGS spacecraft, a second scheduling approach is discussed in Section 4.2.2.

#### 4.2.2 Scheduling by geographic segmentation

In an effort to determine the absolute minimum number of LGS spacecraft required to support LUVOIR's mission targets, a Traveling Salesman Problem solver was applied

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>More broadly, this is a class of problem known to computer science as the "bin packing problem". The "greedy" scheduler developed here could probably be improved by converting the list of observations and LGS parameters into an optimization problem and turning it over to *e.g.* MATLAB's intlinprog solver.



Figure 4-7: Number of observations supported by each LGS spacecraft in the scientific-priority schedule. [57]



Figure 4-8: Number of observations supported by each LGS spacecraft in the geographic-segmentation schedule.

to the 259 target stars to produce a minimum-cost single track that connects all of them, shown in Figure 2-8a. That path was then split into segments such that one LGS spacecraft could transit from star to star, supporting observations along the way, using one-sixth of its delta-V capacity in ten months. Each would then be able to traverse back and forth along their segments three times (out and back) to support six observations per star in five years. The same global throttle parameter was used to find the optimum utilization point, this time at 19%, and with only 13 LGS spacecraft required – fewer than the uniform-distribution case, as expected. Figure 4-8 shows the number of observations supported by each satellite, and the segments themselves are depicted in Figure 4-9.<sup>3</sup>

Like the list of observations ordered by scientific priority, this schedule does not

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$ The equivalent figure for the scientific-priority scheduler does not look nearly so nice, so it is not included in this dissertation.



Figure 4-9: Segments traversed by each LGS spacecraft in the geographicsegmentation schedule. There are 13 spacecraft, although some wrap around the the edges of the map and so appear to be two separate tracks.

include details like Sun keep-out zones, and it will also be desirable for some stars to be observed at differing paces, rather than uniformly every ten months, depending on the orbital period of their habitable zones. A full, operational schedule will likely require a number of LGS satellites somewhere in between the two approaches.

#### 4.2.3 "Compromise" scheduling

As an effort to find a compromise between the rigid priority and segmentation schedules, a hybrid scheduler was created that would assign LGS spacecraft to observations that are close to their first assignment. This was intended to obtain some of the best of both worlds: the first few high-priority observations would get dedicated LGS spacecraft, so that they could be observed in rapid succession, and then each LGS spacecraft would be able to stay in its segment of the sky to minimize fuel spent and reduce the total number of LGS spacecraft required. Unfortunately, the scheduler doesn't enforce that the domains should tile over the sky, which results in gaps that need filling, to an even worse extent than the scientific-priority schedule, with 43 LGS spacecraft required to support the entire mission. However, as Figures 4-10 and 4-11



Figure 4-10: Number of observations supported by each LGS spacecraft in a hybrid schedule.

show, while there is a "long tail" of under-utilized LGS spacecraft, the peak utilization was slightly improved.

The next algorithm to be trialled will divide the sky first into segments, centered on a coarse Fibonacci grid, and then attempt to rotate the segmentation so that as many high-priority stars as possible end up in different segments from each other, so that they can all be the first star to be visited by their own LGS spacecraft.



Figure 4-11: Segments traversed by a few LGS spacecraft in a hybrid schedule. The single maroon segment nestled amongst the blue track corresponds to a poorly-scheduled, under-utilized LGS spacecraft.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

## Chapter 5

## LGS Pathfinder Missions

In this chapter, we consider options for a space LGS pathfinder mission. LUVOIR is not anticipated to launch before the 2030s [28], but it would be a beneficial riskreduction exercise to demonstrate closing the AO loop using a laser on a satellite. There are already many telescopes on the ground with adaptive optics systems [18], and deploying lasers to Earth orbit is also technology readiness level (TRL) 9<sup>1</sup> [71], so it will be straightforward to conduct a test with these two technologies together to raise the TRL for the orbiting LGS concept as a whole.

### 5.1 Technology demonstration goals

In approximate order of difficulty, the needs of the L2 LGS architecture are as follows:

- 1. Demonstrating that the laser's light is correctly rejected ahead of the science detector (coronagraph, out-of-band filter, or in-band notch filter).
- Demonstrating the wavelength stability, power stability and/or modulation, and lifetime of the laser in the space environment.
- 3. Closing the AO loop at a range of thousands or tens of thousands of kilometers.
- 4. Characterizing and controlling other potential LGS disturbances (glinting, thermal emission, thruster plume).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Actual system "flight proven" through successful mission operations. [54]

- 5. Demonstrating WFSC on a space-based telescope.
- Demonstrating telescope-LGS-target alignment and observation for up to a minute, without using LGS propulsion.
- 7. Demonstrating telescope-LGS-target alignment and observation for up to an hour, with or without LGS propulsion.
- 8. Demonstrating telescope-LGS-target alignment and observation for multiple hours, with LGS propulsion.

The first two items can be largely validated in laboratory testing, while the others will require the use of satellites, but most of the 'teething' challenges of technical integration only require for the laser and telescope to be separated by tens of thousands of kilometers, and so could be validated by a laser in geostationary orbit – even a laser communication satellite, not necessarily a dedicated LGS spacecraft – and a telescope on the ground. As we will show, this formation will only align with astronomical targets for a few seconds, but even that may be useful for some scientific results, especially relative to the modest expenditures required. When that demonstration has been successfully completed, a second demonstration mission could be performed by deploying a WFSC testbed telescope into geostationary orbit to validate the use of WFSC in space, as well as offer multi-minute astronomical observation windows. After both elements have been successfully demonstrated on orbit, then the hundreds of millions of dollars needed for a mission to higher orbits (with observations of multiple hours) can be justifiably spent.

### 5.2 Orbit selection

Greenaway and Clark's 1994 satellite LGS concept had the satellite deployed to a very highly elliptical orbit (HEO), so that it would linger for many hours at apogee and so that its motion would match that of the Earth's surface. [26] These orbits offer the greatest possible utility for telescope observations, but as we will see, they also impose serious costs to LGS development, deployment, and operation.

The lowest-energy orbit which matches sidereal motion at apogee is an elongated ellipse with an apogee altitude of 148,000 km, a perigee altitude of 400 km, and a period of 2.6 days. This orbit is illustrated to-scale with other orbits within Earth's sphere of influence (GTO, GEO, and the Moon) in Figure 5-1 as "Sidereal orbit 1". At apogee, the orbit's velocity is the same as the velocity of Earth's surface at the Equator, 465 m/s, while at perigee its velocity would be 10.6 km/s (compare to escape velocity from LEO, 10.8 km/s). This orbit would cross the altitudes of almost every satellite orbiting the Earth, from the International Space Station and Starlink, to the various GNSS constellations, to the GEO belt and graveyard, and would also pass through the van Allen belts twice in each orbit. The thermal and radiation design of a satellite in this orbit would be significantly more challenging than for a satellite which remained in LEO or in GEO, and there would also be operational challenges due to the number of satellites and constellations that are at risk of conjunction. This would require a more intense validation and testing campaign would be required to satisfy all stakeholders that the LGS spacecraft would be operational and not a hazard to navigation.

The fastest way to be deployed into this orbit would be to get a dedicated launch, but the launch cost of the Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite, which was deployed to a similar orbit, was \$87 million. [3] To save that cost, the LGS could receive a rideshare to geostationary transfer orbit (GTO) and then raise its apogee from there. This would "only" cost 550 m/s of delta-V, but to have margin, the spacecraft should have twice that, or 1,100 m/s of delta-V capability. Even that figure is well within the capabilities of the LGS spacecraft design presented here (using the Busek BIT-3, see Section 2.4.6), but because the orbit transfer is essentially a large apogee-raising maneuver, the LGS spacecraft's electric propulsion system would not be very effective, because the thruster can only be active for a tiny fraction of the orbit and raise the apogee a little bit at a time.

To more precisely quantify this challenge, let us suppose that the spacecraft can activate its electric propulsion system on the section of its orbit around perigee which is the size of the diameter of the Earth. At 10.6 km/s, it will cross that distance



Figure 5-1: A to-scale comparison of the Earth (blue circle), GTO (dotted ellipse), GEO (dashed circle), the van Allen belts (shaded rings), the Moon's orbit (grey circle), and two "sidereal orbits" (black ellipses) whose apogee velocities are the same as the rotation speed of Earth's surface at the Equator.

in 20 minutes, but a maneuver of that duration that will only contribute 0.1 m/s of delta-V before it needs to wait for another orbit to thrust again. This means that over five thousand maneuvers (and over five thousand orbits, assuming that the thruster is always operational and never misses an opportunity) are required to completely raise the apogee to 148,000 km, but because the spacecraft must wait between 10 hours (GTO) and 2.6 days (as it approaches sidereal orbit 1) between maneuvers, that would mean a total mission duration of almost fifteen years<sup>2</sup> (!) before it was finally in position to be operational. During each of those orbits, the LGS spacecraft will pass twice through the van Allen radiation belts. As an optimistic estimate, we can use SPENVIS to calculate the total ionizing dose (TID) accumulated by the spacecraft after fifteen years in sidereal orbit 1 - this will be optimistic because, with the long orbital period, there will be fewer predicted passes through the van Allen belts (approximately 2,000 orbits total, rather than over 5,000) and those passes will be happening at higher speed and therefore lower duration per pass. Even under this optimistic projection, and with the typical protection of 1-mm-thick aluminum, the TID absorbed will stand at over 4 megarads, while the 5-year TID at L2 is less than 40 krad. Most commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) parts are tolerant of 5 krad, and some can tolerate up to 30 krad, but expensive radiation-hardened parts are required to tolerate dosages much higher than that. [55] If the shielding is increased to 7 mm of thickness (total mass: 6 kg out of the 24-kg mass budget), then the (optimistic) TID for the sidereal orbit 1 is reduced to 24 krad (and the 5-year TID at L2 is less than 5 krad). This is within the reach of COTS parts, but again, this is optimistic for the reasons mentioned above, and there is the additional difficulty that the spacecraft's thruster and laser must be uncovered and exposed to space to operate, and so the shield would require a mechanical articulation. Each of the five thousand (or more!) orbits would require four movements of the cover (two crossings of the Van Allen belts per orbit, closing the shield prior to each crossing and opening the shield after),

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>To reduce this duration to, for example, six months, would require a thrust of 37 mN, or thirty times that provided by the Busek BIT-3. From Table 2.2, we can see that the only engine that could come close to supporting that is the Apollo Constellation Engine, which requires far more volume and power than the LGS design in Figure 2-6 can support, but could perhaps be supported with a 16U CubeSat.

so twenty thousand movements just to get to its operational orbit, and then however much longer the actuator will last for the actual mission.

If the LGS spacecraft had a chemical propulsion system, it could perform this maneuver with a single burn and reach its operational orbit immediately, but considering the VACCO Green Monopropellant System from Table 2.2, with its specific impulse of 170 seconds, the spacecraft would have to be 28% fuel by mass to achieve a delta-V capability of 550 m/s, with no margin for error. For a spacecraft of the same non-propulsion-system mass as the baseline LGS design (11.5 kg) plus the dry mass of the VACCO Green MiPS (3 kg), that means that over 5.6 kg of monopropellant are required, which would fit most densely into a sphere of 22 cm in diameter, not counting the tank walls, pressurant, pipes, valves, and other surrounding assemblies required. This barely fits within a 12U CubeSat structure on its own, and would crowd out the majority of the other subsystems of the LGS spacecraft. If we demand the same 100% margin, or 1100 m/s of delta-V capacity, the spacecraft would have to be nearly 50% fuel by mass, which would violate the 12U mass limit (29 kg total). A larger bus could be used, perhaps in the 180 kg ESPA-class form factor, but that would be even more expensive, and also substantially less attractive to the primary payload of the desired ride-share.

We could ease some of the operational challenges by getting a ride-share all the way to GEO, and then raising the apogee from there to an altitude of 367,000 km. The resulting orbit is drawn in Figure 5-1 as "Sidereal orbit 2". This orbit does not cross the GEO belt, or the inner van Allen belt, or any GNSS satellite orbits, but it does cross the Moon's orbit, and it costs 780 m/s to reach from GEO and has a period of nearly eleven days, so the deployment challenges of mission duration and the number of thruster activations are even greater. To reduce the deployment and operational difficulties, but still achieve the goals of validating the LGS concept, we propose that the LGS spacecraft should remain in or near GEO for the first pathfinder mission.

### 5.3 Pathfinder Mission "Zero": GEO Commsat

There are already satellites in GEO with laser communications payload, and so one of them could serve as a "zeroth pathfinder mission" by illuminating a telescope on the ground. Considering Keck, the MMT Observatory, and Gemini South as typical large telescopes with AO systems and a wide geographic dispersion, the declination that each telescope can see as a function of the GEO satellite's longitude is plotted in Figure 5-2. We can see in this figure that none of the telescopes have a line of sight to any of the GEO laser communication satellites currently in flight (which are all concentrated over Europe). This will change in the next few years, with NASA's Laser Communication Relay Demonstration payload launching on STP-3 in 2021 over the United States [21] and the next European Data Relay System (EDRS) satellite launching over the Asia/Pacific region before 2025 [32].

Such a mission is not guaranteed to have any scientific targets of interest at the other end of the telescope-LGS line of sight, but it would at least validate that an adaptive optics system can lock on to a laser guide star at a range of tens of thousands of kilometers.

## 5.4 Pathfinder Mission One: LGS in Inclined GEO

The first true pathfinder mission would feature a LGS spacecraft built to the design shown in Chapter 2 (or some descendant of it). It would initially be deployed to geostationary orbit as a ride-share, but to gain access to scientific targets, as well as validate the propulsion system, it would then transfer to an inclined geosynchronous orbit.

The delta-V cost of changing the inclination of velocity  $V_{orb}$  by an angle *i* using low-thrust propulsion is laid out in Equation 5.1 [40]:

$$\Delta V = \frac{\pi}{2} V_{orb} i \tag{5.1}$$

The inclination-change maneuver may be more expensive than raising an orbit



Figure 5-2: Declinations accessible by various ground-based telescopes looking through a laser guide star in GEO, with elevations greater than 10 degrees.

from GTO or GEO to the sidereal orbits, in terms of absolute delta-V costs (*e.g.* 1.26 km/s to achieve a 15 degree inclination change at GEO), but the thruster can remain active over the entire orbit, so the maneuver can be completed in fewer than six months. In this time, ground-based and/or space-based telescopes would observe the spacecraft to measure the impact of a spacecraft which is producing heat and firing a thruster on an astronomical observation, and test strategies for mitigating them. After the spacecraft has reached its mission orbit, its laser will be tested – both for the sake of validating the laser itself, and potentially for supporting scientific observations.

#### 5.4.1 Observations from the ground through inclined GEO

The relative motion of the satellite LGS to the ground-based telescope is a slow northand-south oscillation, and because of Earth's rotation, the telescope-LGS line of sight traces out a sinusoid curve over the sky every sidereal day. One such curve, the line of sight of Keck through a LGS spacecraft orbiting with inclination 15 degrees, RAAN 32.1 degrees, and true anomaly at the start of the sidereal day of 68.5 degrees, is plotted over the targets from Stark 2015 [57] in Figure 5-3. We can see that there are 4-8 stars that look readily accessible from this orbit. If we consider the set of all possible inclined geosynchronous orbits, the cost to observe any point in the sky (at the beginning of the sidereal day; the figure rotates around the sky depending on when exactly we want the satellite to be in a particular position) is shown in Figure 5-4. We can see that roughly a third of the sky falls within the 2000 m/s band, which is reachable by the LGS from deployment in GEO.

Those orbital parameters were initially chosen at random by the author (RAAN 30 degrees, true anomaly at epoch of 70 degrees) and then refined to achieve a very close pass to one of those targets.<sup>3</sup> The separation between the telescope-LGS line of sight and the telescope-target line of sight is plotted in Figure 5-5. When we compare

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The chosen target happened to be HIP 80824, or Wolf 1061, which is known to have at least three super-Earths in its planetary system, with two that roughly bracket its likely habitable zone. Another close pass in this orbit is 40 Eridani, notable in *Star Trek* as the home system of the Vulcans.



Figure 5-3: Line-of-sight from Keck through an orbiting LGS (inc.  $15^{\circ}$ , RAAN  $32.1^{\circ}$ , true anomaly at epoch  $68.5^{\circ}$ ) plotted over the sky map of LUVOIR targets from Stark 2015 [57].

Delta-V cost to observe LUVOIR targets from Keck



Figure 5-4: Sky map of LUVOIR targets from Stark 2015 [57], with delta-V cost (m/s) to incline a satellite in GEO to be on the line of sight in front of those stars (horizontal contours). Red curve: Keck horizon. All points North of this curve have elevation of at least ten degrees at this particular time (beginning of the sidereal day).

that distance to the distance limits of Keck's natural [37] and ground-based laser guide star systems [18], we see that the expected observation window is about eight seconds long, repeating every sidereal day. The LGS's thruster is not nearly powerful enough to meaningfully extend this time; the acceleration due to gravity is "only"  $0.22 \text{ m/s}^2$  at GEO, but that would still require the spacecraft to carry a 3 N thruster (the equivalent of 2,500 Busek BIT-3 thrusters) to "hover" over the observatory.

The observation window *could* perhaps be improved with research into compensating for increased anisoplanatism with the higher photon counts achievable with the space-based LGS, or by having multiple LGS spacecraft with lasers at multiple wavelengths to get more information about the air column, but it is not going to support the multi-hour or even multi-minute observation that would be desirable for imaging exoplanets (the main criteria for Stark's targets). However, it may be useful for high-resolution, diffraction-limited imaging of bright targets, such as quasars, where the larger diameter of upcoming extremely large telescopes can be used to their full advantage. It will also prove that it is possible to coordinate and close the AO loop between a telescope and a laser guide star on a separate spacecraft, with relative separations and motions that are comparable in magnitude to that experienced at L2.

#### 5.5 Pathfinder Mission Two: LGS in Super-GEO

To validate the operation of an AO system *in space* with an external LGS, the second LGS demo would be deployed to geostationary orbit, like the first, along with a WFSC testbed. That testbed could be something like a larger version of the Deformable Mirror Demonstration CubeSat ("DeMi"). [20] The LGS spacecraft would then use its propulsion system to raise its orbit to a higher altitude, into an orbit which has a 7/6 resonance with GEO<sup>4</sup> and whose velocity at periapsis matches that of GEO. The two orbits are shown in Figure 5-6. The yellow vector drawn on the image

 $<sup>^4\</sup>mathrm{That}$  is, the LGS satellite will orbit six times in the same time that the WFSC testbed, still in GEO, orbits seven times



Figure 5-5: The separation between the telescope-LGS line of sight and the telescopetarget line of sight during an observation pass, compared to distance limits of other Keck AO systems. The telescope would probably conduct this observation by locking on to the LGS spacecraft much earlier than this window, and then wait for the target star to pass through.

represents a twelve-minute interval in which the line-of-sight from the telescope to the LGS remained within a one-arcminute-radius circle on the sky, within the limit of Keck's ground-based laser guide star system. [18] This offers more time to properly lock on to a target star and validate AO system performance. Just over 150 m/s of delta-V is required to execute this maneuver, well within the capability of the LGS spacecraft, and the separation between the two satellites during the observation is approximately 7,000 km – not as much as will be necessary for LUVOIR, but as long as the testbed's telescope is less than 3.7 meters across, it will still meet the flat-wavefront requirement to work with the ZWFS. This particular orbit is not inclined, and so this precise configuration will only be able to observe stars near the equator, but that still includes some rather interesting targets, such as Ross 128 – a star only 11 light-years away from Earth, with at least one planet [11], and which attracted the attention of the SETI community when Arecibo detected radio signals from its vicinity, although these were later suspected to have actually come from a GEO communication satellite. [53]

## 5.6 Pathfinder Mission Three: LGS in HEO

After the LGS spacecraft's systems have been fully validated, either with a groundbased telescope or a space-based WFSC testbed, then funding can be pursued to reach the more ambitious orbits necessary to support longer observations. These orbits have not been investigated as thoroughly in this work, but as a preliminary investigation of the possibilities, we can consider an orbit similar to sidereal orbit 2 (the large ellipse in Figure 5-1) but with a periapsis at half the altitude to GEO.<sup>5</sup> Other orbital elements were chosen more-or-less at random by the author and simulated, and the resulting orbit is shown in Figure 5-7. The yellow vector drawn on the image represents a 108minute interval in which the line-of-sight from the telescope to the LGS remained within a one-arcminute-radius circle on the sky, within the limit of Keck's ground-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>The sidereal orbits were designed to match Earth's motion *at the Equator*, and so to work with Keck or other observatories not at the Equator, the orbit should be slightly slower at apoapsis.



Figure 5-6: Pathfinder mission for demonstrating LGS with a space telescope.



Figure 5-7: One potential orbit for long-duration ground-based observations supported by Earth-orbiting laser guide stars.

based laser guide star system. [18] Much work remains to be done on optimizing the selection of an orbit given a telescope and target, but similar observations (of slightly lesser quality) occur roughly once a night for nine days out of the ten-day orbital period at different points on the sky, as shown in Figure 5-8.



Figure 5-8: Trace of Keck-LGS line of sight, with the LGS in a highly elliptical orbit. Each 'tooth' in the southern part of the orbit track represents an observation opportunity.

## Chapter 6

# Conclusions

### 6.1 Contributions

In this work, we have developed tools for exploring the design space of laser guide stars, and for preliminary scheduling of observations to be supported. We have used these tools to develop a baseline laser guide star design that uses commercial offthe-shelf parts, and a design reference mission that services the number and pace of observations currently intended for the LUVOIR mission.

Even though the LGS concept presented here is intended to service a space telescope that will not be built for at least a decade, it does not use any parts or technologies which do not exist today; for that reason, we have also developed a path towards risk-reduction and eventual implementation of the laser guide star concept.

The first step is to deploy a LGS spacecraft to geostationary orbit, where it will incline its orbit to validate the propulsion system and align itself with targets of observation. It will shine its laser towards a ground-based or space-based telescope to validate the use of an external space-based laser guide star to inform an adaptive optics system. Because the telescope-LGS line of sight will only be in alignment with an astronomical target for a few seconds, this mission's scientific utility will come from supporting diffraction-limited observations of bright targets by large telescopes. It may also be useful for space situational awareness missions.

After the LGS spacecraft has been validated at GEO, support can be obtained to

deploy one or more LGS spacecraft into highly-elliptical orbits, such that the spacecraft is nearly static against the background stars at apogee, as originally envisioned by Greenaway and Clark 1994 [26]. This will support observations with longer integration times, such as exoplanet imaging, deep-field observations, and other dimmer targets.

If desired, LGS spacecraft could then be deployed to L2 halo orbits before LUVOIR or another 'host' mission is launched, to validate the spacecraft's operation in the L2 environment or to service other telescopes already present.

### 6.2 Future Work

The tools and work presented here show that the LGS spacecraft has the capabilities required to service LUVOIR or other large space telescopes, but work remains to be done in developing detailed simulations of different mission phases.

Work has begun on simulating the control loop between the telescope and laser guide star during an observation. The model accommodates uncertainty on the part of the LGS spacecraft's thrusters, but as shown in Section 2.4.1, the more pressing constraint will actually be in the ability of the telescope to track the LGS spacecraft's relative position and velocity.

The mission scheduling can be further improved with more sophisticated scheduling; the current approaches use crude optimizations, but these can be improved by adapting them to use more appropriate solver tools. The scheduler should incorporate some notion of scientific priority (perhaps based on a small number of categories, if not a strict ranking) and different revisit rates based on habitable zone size, as well as clustering observations to save fuel.

The ultimate goal is to produce a day-in-the-life simulation where a LGS spacecraft is deployed to L2, services an observation, then transits, and then services a second observation. This will not only evaluate the propulsion and control systems, but also the power and thermal needs of the mission.

Eventually, the LGS concept may be evaluated for its utility in multi-telescope

systems, such as a reference for interferometers or time distribution for radio astronomy.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

# Appendix A

# Alternate LGS architectures

This appendix briefly discusses alternate architectures to the L2 LGS concept elaborated in the main body of this work.

## A.1 Different LGS form factors

Using technologies available today, all required components of the LGS spacecraft can be fit within a 12U CubeSat form factor. However, during the course of the design process, the 16U form factor was also considered as a possibility in case greater battery volume or solar panel area were required. The delta-V capabilities of the propulsion system options (from Table 2.2) in the 16U form factor are presented in Table A.1.

In the decades between now and the launch of LUVOIR, it is possible that the technologies might be miniaturized such that all required components can fit within a 3U bus. For those propulsion systems which can fit within that form factor (those with a 1U cross-section, which would normally be doubled-up in the 12U or 16U LGS spacecraft), the same delta-V calculation was also performed for the 3U case.

If the calculations laid out in Chapter 4 are followed for the 16U case, then 24 LGS vehicles are required to support the LUVOIR baseline mission (1,539 observations of 259 stars in 5 years), rather than 19.

Prop system	$\mathbf{dV} (16U,  \mathrm{m/s})$	$\mathbf{dV} (3U,  \mathrm{m/s})$
Accion TILE 5000 x1 $[1]$	198	1227
Accion TILE 5000 $x2$ [1]	398	-
Apollo Constellation [5]	626	-
Busek BIT-3 [13]	1456	-
Enpulsion IFM Nano x1 [22]	283	1742
IFM Nano (max $I_{sp}$ ) x1 [22]	567	3484
Enpulsion IFM Nano x2 [22]	569	-
IFM Nano (max $I_{sp}$ ) x2 [22]	1139	-
Phase Four x1 [46]	186	1179
Phase Four x2 [46]	376	-
VACCO Green MiPS [67]	145	-
VACCO/JPL MarCO [66]	32	-

Table A.1: Table of propulsion system options considered for LGS spacecraft, as in Table 2.2, but with delta-V capacity calculated with total spacecraft mass of 24 kg (16U maximum mass) or 4 kg (3U maximum mass), as applicable. Compare to the delta-V capacity of the "stock" LGS spacecraft (12U with the Busek BIT-3), 2480 m/s.

### A.2 Single laser to distributed reflectors

The LGS spacecraft could be simplified if, instead of all carrying their own lasers, they would simply reflect a laser transmitted by an external facility – perhaps LU-VOIR itself, or from a large ground-based laser facility such as those proposed for interstellar communication or interstellar "chipsat" probe propulsion. [16, 36] Unfortunately, adding this reflection would mean that the power returned to the telescope is proportional to the inverse *fourth power* of the LGS-telescope range, rather than the inverse square.

As an optimistic estimate, let us suppose that LUVOIR can carry a laser ten times larger and more powerful than those carried on the LGS spacecraft (so 50 W coming through a 35 cm aperture). Let us further suppose that the LGS spacecraft has a full 20x30 cm face covered in a 100% reflective, perfectly-right-angled retroreflector. Therefore, the only angular divergence in the system will be Gaussian beam diffraction from the laser and the retroreflector. Even under these ideal conditions, the LGS spacecraft would only intercept 36  $\mu$ W of power, and its return reflection to LUVOIR would only be magnitude 3, which would not be enough to support the WFSC needs identified in Section 2.3. If the laser were instead transmitted through LUVOIR's main telescope, then the return reflection would be magnitude -4, which would be bright enough (although still not quite as bright as the baseline LGS), but LUVOIR would then need to have additional optics for injecting a laser beam into its optical path without any leakage back to the science instruments, and would also have to handle additional phenomena like indirect scatter from the secondary mirror support structure.

LUVOIR could be spared those indignities if the laser were instead generated on Earth and beamed up to L2. This would require an even larger, more powerful laser, but conceivably, a megawatt-class laser, such as the Airborne Laser [64], could be installed in an extremely large telescope, such as the Thirty Meter Telescope.<sup>1</sup> Assuming no atmospheric distortion (!), the laser return from Earth, to an LGS, to LUVOIR could be as bright as magnitude -9, but with typical atmospheric turbulence  $(r_0 = 10 \text{ cm})$  that return drops to magnitude 3. Perhaps the ground-based laser facility could use adaptive optics aided by a dedicated Earth-pointing laser guide star at L2 (!!) to correct for that distortion.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Both projects were/are multi-billion-dollar programs in their own right, so it's doubtful that any total savings would be realized. Constructing such a facility would also require both military and scientific agencies to collaborate in ways that don't serve either of their goals very efficiently. Finally, if it *were* built, it would be detectable by extraterrestrial intelligence, [16] so it would face demands that would reduce its utilization for "real astronomy". Still, in the words of Giorgio Tsoukalos, "Is such a thing even possible? Yes, it is!" [29]

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

# Appendix B

# LGS Design Code

To run this code, download it all into a single folder, along with the star and observation .csv files from Appendix C. Then open and run LGSmain.m with MATLAB (this code makes use of the Mapping Toolbox, the Optimization Toolbox, and the Phased Array System Toolbox). If run as-is, this will generate all plots used in this dissertation, although some were resized or otherwise edited for aesthetic purposes before being published.

Running MATLAB R2020a with a six-core Ryzen 5 2600 processor, this code runs in approximately 200 seconds on its first run, largely dominated by the travelingsalesman-problem solver; the TSP result is saved for later use, and subsequent runs will complete end-to-end in 80 seconds. A four-core Intel Core i7-4700MQ processor ran the code in 240 seconds for the first run, and 85 the next; this shows that MATLAB's solver code is well-parallelized and takes advantage of additional cores, but the author's code is not – several of the scripts loop sequentially over variables, which could be parallelized for a reduction in computing time.

Further revisions to this code may leverage Joseph Kirk's Traveling TSP Genetic Algorithm code [30], which seems to respond better to some cases which the author has preliminarily investigated.

All files are also available at the author's GitHub repository: https://github.com/jimclark/LaserGuideStar

### B.1 LGSmain

The main program for setting up the LGS parameters (mass, LUVOIR size, propulsion system options, etc.) and invoking the other functions.

```
%% LGS Main Function
%% Setting up variables
AU = 1.496 e11;
Re = 6371000;
g0 = 9.8066;
daysec = 60*60*24;
yrsec = daysec * 365.25;
solarConst = 1366;
c = 299792458;
h = 6.626e - 34;
ly = c*yrsec;
parsec = AU/(deg2rad(1/3600));
\% Updated mission based on Chris Stark's figures.
num_stars = 259;
total_obs = 1539;
total_mission_time = 5*yrsec; % 5 years
% Telescope parameters
scope_d = 9.2; % meters
scope\_seg\_d = 1.15;
obs_lam = 500e-9; % visible observation
% Laser parameters
lambda = 980e-9; % staying out of the visible band
pwr_laser = 5;
D_laser = 0.035; % 3.5 cm aperture
% LGS parameters
sc_mass = 24; % Maximum mass of 12U CubeSat
sc_mass_opt = 11.5; % Mass of selected components, without propulsion system
% Propulsion system options
%prop_names = {'Accion TILE 5000 x2','Apollo Constellation Engine','Busek BIT-3','
   Enpulsion IFM Nano (typ. setting) x2', 'Enpulsion IFM Nano (max I_{sp}) x2', 'Phase
    Four x2','VACCO Green Monopropellant','VACCO Cold Gas'};
prop_names = {'Accion 5000', 'Apollo CE', 'Busek BIT-3', 'Enp. Nano (nom.)', 'Enp. Nano (
```

```
max I_{sp})', 'Phase Four', 'VACCO Grn', 'VACCO Cold Gas'};
sc_fuel = [0.64, 1.0, 1.5, 0.46, 0.46, 1, 2, 1.03];
sc_prop_dry = [2.2, 4.5, 1.4, 1.44, 1.44, 3, 3, 2.46];
sc_isp = [1500, 1500, 2300, 3000, 6000, 900, 170, 75]; % Can flex Enpulsion up to
    6000 sec by reducing thrust.
sc_max_thrust = 1e-3*[3, 33, 1.24, 0.7, 0.5, 2, 0.4, 0.1];
%% Derived parameters
range_LGS = scope_d^2/(2*lambda); % quarter-wave curvature across the telescope
   mirror -- 43,000 km
iwa_box_rad = range_LGS*(0.25*obs_lam/scope_d); % Radius of "target box" trying to
    stay waaaay inside coronagraph, 0.25 lambda/D
iwa_box_rad_relax = range_LGS*(obs_lam/scope_d); % Radius of "target box" trying to
    stay kinda inside coronagraph, 1 lambda/D
seg_box_rad = range_LGS*(lambda/scope_seg_d); % Radius of "target box" trying to keep
     wavefronts flat (i.e. no more than one wavelength error) on each mirror segment
div_laser = 2*(lambda/(pi*(D_laser/6))); % 107 urad (980 nm), full-width Gaussian
    divergence
\% Gaussian beam waist is 1/3rd the actual diameter of the main optic
\% Todo: break out gaussian beam divergence into its own calculation
[Prx,Photrx,appMag,bw] = linkbudgetG(pwr_laser,D_laser,range_LGS,lambda,scope_d);
[Prx2,Photrx2,appMag2,bw2] = linkbudgetG(pwr_laser,D_laser,scope_d^2/(2*532e-9),532e
   -9,scope_d);
idxs = [1 3]; % Only looking at the first and third "stars" in the Fibonacci spiral
phi = acos(1-2.*(idxs-0.5)./num_stars);
theta = pi*(1+sqrt(5))*(idxs-0.5);
x = cos(theta).*sin(phi);
y = sin(theta).*sin(phi);
z = \cos(phi);
sep_std = sqrt((x(1)-x(2)).^2+(y(1)-y(2)).^2+(z(1)-z(2)).^2); % standard unit-sphere
    separation between adjacent stars (scale by range)
%% Preliminary propulsion-system selection
single_maneuver_time = 2.*sqrt(range_LGS*sep_std*sc_mass./sc_max_thrust);
smt_opt = 2.*sqrt(range_LGS*sep_std*(sc_mass_opt+sc_prop_dry+sc_fuel)./sc_max_thrust)
smt_opt_days = smt_opt/(60*60*24);
smt_opt_dv = 2.*sqrt(range_LGS*sep_std*sc_max_thrust./(sc_mass_opt+sc_prop_dry+
```

```
sc_fuel));
single_maneuver_days = single_maneuver_time./(60*60*24);
single_maneuver_dv = 2.*sqrt(range_LGS*sep_std.*sc_max_thrust./sc_mass);
dv_caps = g0.*sc_isp.*log(sc_mass./(sc_mass-sc_fuel));
dv_caps_opt = g0.*sc_isp.*log((sc_mass_opt+sc_prop_dry+sc_fuel)./(sc_mass_opt+
    sc_prop_dry));
number_maneuvers = floor(dv_caps./single_maneuver_dv);
num_man_opt = dv_caps_opt./smt_opt_dv;
slowest_ep_smt_opt_days = max(smt_opt_days.*(sc_isp>1000));
num_man_opt_et = num_man_opt.*(slowest_ep_smt_opt_days./smt_opt_days).*(smt_opt_days
    <=slowest_ep_smt_opt_days); % Scale by the maneuver time of the lowest-thrust
    electric prop system (i.e. the longest maneuver time with a prop system isp >
   1000 sec).
[max_mans_opt,idx_max_mans_opt] = max(num_man_opt_et);
fprintf("Selected propulsion system: %s\n",prop_names{idx_max_mans_opt});
sc_prop_dry_nom = sc_prop_dry(idx_max_mans_opt);
sc_fuel_nom = sc_fuel(idx_max_mans_opt);
sc_isp_nom = sc_isp(idx_max_mans_opt);
sc_max_thrust_nom = sc_max_thrust(idx_max_mans_opt);
sc_mass_opt_tot = sc_mass_opt+sc_prop_dry_nom+sc_fuel_nom; % total opt mass
dvcap = g0*sc_isp_nom*log(sc_mass_opt_tot/(sc_mass_opt_tot-sc_fuel_nom));
%% Run other scripts
OrbitCalcs2dome3 % to get the maximum background acceleration
OrbitCalcs2dome2
OrbitCalcs2dome
NoiseCalcsPropSens
NoiseCalcs
StarkSkymap
%%
DRM_prop_options
DRM_sensitivity
%%
if isfile('stark_skymap_tsp.mat')
   load('stark_skymap_tsp.mat')
else
   StarkSkymap_TSP % This can take some time.
```

```
108
```
```
end
if isfile('stark_skymap_tsp_ham.mat')
   load('stark_skymap_tsp_ham.mat')
else
   ham_StarkSkymap
end
%%
StarkSchedule
StarkScheduleAltB
StarkScheduleAltD
%%
SkyCalcs
SkyCalcsOffGEO
SkyCalcsOffGE02
%%
HEO_LGS
SkyCalcsHEO
PowerCalcs
OrbitCalcs3CL3
LGSretro
```

# B.2 linkbudgetG

A helper function for calculating Gaussian beam link budgets (power received, photons received per second, apparent magnitude, and beamwidth).

```
%% Gaussian beam link budget
\% Assumption: Gaussian beam waist is 1/3 of the Tx aperture radius (Dtx/6)
% Provide all inputs in SI units (W, m, m, m, m).
% BWtx is FWHM beamwidth
% Includes 3 dB pointing loss (i.e. +/- BWtx/3.4)
function [Prx,Photrx,appMag,BWtx] = linkbudgetG(Ptx,Dtx,range,lambda,Drx)
c = 299792458;
h = 6.626e - 34;
w0 = Dtx/6;
zR = pi.*(w0.^2)./lambda;
wZ = w0.*sqrt(1+(range./zR).^2);
BWtx = (2*atan(wZ./range))/1.7; % will converge to (2*lambda/(pi*w0))/1.7
fluxrec = 2*Ptx./(pi.*wZ.^2);
Arx = 0.25.*pi.*Drx.^2;
Prx = (10<sup>-0.3</sup>).*Arx.*fluxrec; % 3 dB pointing loss accounted here.
Ephot = h.*c./lambda;
Photrx = Prx./Ephot;
Fx0 = zeros(size(lambda));
BWwideband = zeros(size(lambda));
for i = 1:numel(lambda)
    if (lambda(i) < 398e-9 && lambda(i) > 332e-9)
                                                     % U
        Fx0(i) = 1810;
        BWwideband(i) = 66/365;
    elseif (lambda(i) < 492e-9)
                                                    % В
        Fx0(i) = 4260;
        BWwideband(i) = 94/445;
    elseif (lambda(i) < 595e-9)
                                                     % V
        Fx0(i) = 3640;
        BWwideband(i) = 88/551;
    elseif (lambda(i) < 727e-9)
                                                    % R
        Fx0(i) = 3080;
```

```
BWwideband(i) = 138/658;
   elseif (lambda(i) < 880.5e-9)
                                                 % I
       Fx0(i) = 2550;
       BWwideband(i) = 149/806;
   elseif (lambda(i) < 1080e-9)</pre>
                                                  % Y, e.g. Starshot
       Fx0(i) = 2075; % ESTIMATE!!!!
       BWwideband(i) = 120/1020;
   elseif (lambda(i) < 1326.5e-9)
                                                 % J, e.g. ABL
       Fx0(i) = 1600;
       BWwideband(i) = 213/1220;
   elseif (lambda(i) < 1783.5e-9)
                                                 % H, e.g. NODE/FLARE
       Fx0(i) = 1080;
       BWwideband(i) = 307/1630;
   elseif (lambda(i) < 2385e-9)
                                                 % K
       Fx0(i) = 670;
       BWwideband(i) = 390/2190;
   end
end
FJy = Photrx.*h.*1e26./(BWwideband.*Arx);
appMag = -2.5.*log10(FJy./Fx0);
end
```

# B.3 L2 orbit calculations

### B.3.1 OrbitCalcs2dome3

Calculates the thrust required to hold the Telescope-LGS formation to observe any direction over the course of a full six-month halo orbit period.

```
\% Run a full cube of az, el, and time, average over time, divide dV cap by it,
    divide by 2.
AU = 1.496 e11;
Re = 6371000;
Tnd = 365.25 * 24 * 60 * 60 / (2 * pi);
muSE = 3.036e - 6;
aMoon = 384400e3;
aGeo = 42164000;
dt = (3600/Tnd); % 1 hour steps.
%%
yScopeInit = [1.00717285919175; 0; 0; 0; 0.0163636; 0];
tspan = 0:(3600/Tnd):3.2; % ~6 mos, time for scope to stay on-target
\%tspan = 0:0.001:1; \%<sup>2</sup> mos, time for LGS to stay close without active station-
    keeping
%tspan = linspace(0,24*60*60/Tnd,10000); % 1 day
[tScope,yScopeMat] = ode45(@cr3bpse,tspan,yScopeInit);
xpScope = yScopeMat(:,1);
ypScope = yScopeMat(:,2);
zpScope = yScopeMat(:,3);
xvScope = yScopeMat(:,4);
yvScope = yScopeMat(:,5);
zvScope = yScopeMat(:,6);
xpiScope = xpScope.*cos(tScope) - ypScope.*sin(tScope); % inertial reference frame
ypiScope = ypScope.*cos(tScope) + xpScope.*sin(tScope);
xviScope = xvScope.*cos(tScope) - yvScope.*sin(tScope);
yviScope = yvScope.*cos(tScope) + xvScope.*sin(tScope);
```

```
% idxStart = 1; % Start at beginning.
\% idxStart = 300; \% Trying to get to max turn...
% idxStart = find(ypScope==max(ypScope)); % First corner.
% idxStart = find(xpScope==max(xpScope)); % D loop.
% disp(tStart*365.25/(2*pi))
azvec = 0:0.5:360;
elvec = 0:0.5:90;
tidxvec = 1:24:numel(tScope); % Index of time points, skipping 1 day at a time.
[elevs,azimuths,tidx] = ndgrid(elvec,azvec,tidxvec);
[elevs2d, azimuths2d] = ndgrid(elvec,azvec);
avgaccs = zeros(size(azimuths2d));
accs = zeros(size(azimuths));
thrusts = zeros(size(azimuths));
times = zeros(size(azimuths));
desrange = range_LGS/AU;
%%
total = numel(azimuths);
for i = 1:total
   if mod(i,10000) == 0
        clc
        fprintf('%.1f%%\n',100*i/total)
    end
   idxStart = tidx(i);
    tStart = tScope(idxStart);
    times(i) = tStart;
```

```
goalAzI = azimuths(i);
goalAzRi = goalAzI-rad2deg(tStart);
goalEl = elevs(i);
goalXinit = xpScope(idxStart)+desrange*cosd(goalEl)*cosd(goalAzRi);
goalYinit = ypScope(idxStart)+desrange*cosd(goalEl)*sind(goalAzRi);
goalZinit = zpScope(idxStart)+desrange*sind(goalEl);
velXinit = xvScope(idxStart) + goalYinit - ypScope(idxStart);
velYinit = yvScope(idxStart) - goalXinit + xpScope(idxStart);
goalXi = goalXinit*cos(tStart) - goalYinit*sin(tStart); % inertial reference
frame
goalYi = goalYinit*cos(tStart) + goalXinit*sin(tStart);
radInit = sqrt(goalXi^2 + goalYi^2 + goalZinit^2);
yScope = [xpScope(idxStart); ypScope(idxStart); zpScope(idxStart); ...
    xvScope(idxStart); yvScope(idxStart); zvScope(idxStart)]; %
yLGS = [goalXinit; goalYinit; goalZinit; ...
    velXinit; velYinit; zvScope(idxStart)]; %
dydtLGS = cr3bpse(tStart,yLGS);
dydtScope = cr3bpse(tStart,yScope);
xvScoper = dydtScope(1);
yvScoper = dydtScope(2);
zvScoper = dydtScope(3);
xaScoper = dydtScope(4);
yaScoper = dydtScope(5);
zaScoper = dydtScope(6);
xaScopeic = xaScoper - xpScope(idxStart) - 2*yvScoper; % Coaligned inertial
reference frame
yaScopeic = yaScoper - ypScope(idxStart) + 2*xvScoper;
xaScopei = xaScopeic*cos(tStart) - yaScopeic*sin(tStart); % rotate
yaScopei = yaScopeic*cos(tStart) + xaScopeic*sin(tStart);
xvLGSr = dydtLGS(1);
yvLGSr = dydtLGS(2);
zvLGSr = dydtLGS(3);
xaLGSr = dydtLGS(4);
```

```
yaLGSr = dydtLGS(5);
    zaLGSr = dydtLGS(6);
   xaLGSic = xaLGSr - goalXinit - 2*yvLGSr; % Coaligned inertial reference frame
    yaLGSic = yaLGSr - goalYinit + 2*xvLGSr;
    xaLGSi = xaLGSic*cos(tStart) - yaLGSic*sin(tStart); % rotate
    yaLGSi = yaLGSic*cos(tStart) + xaLGSic*sin(tStart);
    dposi = [desrange*cosd(goalEl)*cosd(goalAzI) ; desrange*cosd(goalEl)*sind(goalAzI
   ) ; desrange*sind(goalEl)];
    dacci = [xaLGSi-xaScopei ; yaLGSi-yaScopei ; zaLGSr-zaScoper ];
    dposin = norm(dposi);
    daccin = norm(dacci);
    dotp = dot(dposi,dacci);
    angle = acosd(dotp/(dposin*daccin));
   accreq = daccin*sind(angle);
   accreqSI = accreq*(AU/Tnd^2);
   TreqSI = accreqSI*sc_mass_opt_tot;
   accs(i) = accreqSI;
    thrusts(i) = TreqSI;
end
%%
for i = 1:numel(elvec)
   for j = 1:numel(azvec)
        avgaccs(i,j) = mean(accs(i,j,:));
    end
end
max_bg_acc = max(max(max(accs)));
min_bg_acc = min(min(min(accs)));
max_bg_thrust = max_bg_acc*sc_mass_opt_tot;
min_bg_thrust = min_bg_acc*sc_mass_opt_tot;
avg_acc = mean(mean(avgaccs));
```

```
\% Technically this should go to a later script, after prop selection
timeObs = 0.5*(dvcap./avgaccs);
%%
% Contours = [100 150 200 300];
Contours = [300 \ 600 \ 1200 \ 2400 \ 4800];
figureMap = figure;
surf(azimuths2d,elevs2d, log(timeObs/(24*60*60)),'EdgeColor','none');
colorbar('YTick', log(Contours), 'YTickLabel', Contours);
colormap(jet);
caxis(log([Contours(1) Contours(length(Contours))]));
colorbar('FontSize',12,'YTick', log(Contours),'YTickLabel', Contours);
title(sprintf('Max. obs. time (days, 12U RF Ion, %.2g,000 km range)', range_LGS/1e6))
xlabel('Ecliptic longitude (deg)')
ylabel('Ecliptic latitude (deg)')
view([0 90]);
xlim([0 360]);
ylim([0 90]);
% set(findall(gcf,'-property','FontSize'),'FontSize',14);
% save('cost-of-observation-10k.mat','accs','avgaccs','elevs','azimuths','tidx')
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
saveas(figureMap, sprintf('Max-watching-time-%.2gk.png',range_LGS/1e6));
%%
xshad = [1-muSE, 1.014, 1.014, 1-muSE];
yshad = [Re/AU, 1.0829e-04, -1.0829e-04, -Re/AU];
tOrb = 0:360;
xMoon = (1-muSE) + (aMoon/AU)*cosd(tOrb);
yMoon = (aMoon/AU)*sind(tOrb);
xGeo = (1-muSE) + (aGeo/AU)*cosd(tOrb);
yGeo = (aGeo/AU)*sind(tOrb);
figureOVR = figure;
hold on;
plot(xpScope,ypScope,'linewidth',2)
scatter(1-muSE,0,'b*','linewidth',2)
patch(xshad,yshad,[0.4 0.4 0.6],'linewidth',2);
plot(xMoon,yMoon,'linewidth',2,'color',[0.5 0.5 0.5]);
```

```
quiver (1.003, -0.005, 0.0037, 0.0045, 0)
text(1.0027,-0.005,'t=0','FontSize',14,'HorizontalAlignment','right')
% annotation('textarrow', [1.00717285919175 1.003], [0 -0.005], 'String', 't = 0 ')
% plot(xGeo,yGeo,'k--','linewidth',2);
% scatter(-muSE,0,'y*')
daspect([1 1 1]);
xlim([0.995 1.015])
xlabel('AU')
ylabel('AU')
hold off;
title('Telescope orbit at L2 (rotating frame)')
legend('L2 halo orbit', 'Earth', 'Earth''s penumbra', 'Moon''s orbit', 'Location','
    northwest')
set(gca,'linewidth',2,'FontSize',14)
saveas(figureOVR,'Scope-position-rotating.png')
%%
figureOVR_all = figure;
hold on;
plot(xpScope, ypScope, 'linewidth',2)
scatter(1-muSE,0,'b*','linewidth',2)
scatter(-muSE,0,'r*','linewidth',2)
% scatter([1-muSE-(muSE/3)^(1/3),1-muSE+(muSE/3)^(1/3),-1-muSE-(7*muSE/12),0.5-muSE
    ,0.5-muSE],[0,0,0,sqrt(3)/2,-sqrt(3)/2],'g*','linewidth',2)
scatter([1-muSE-(muSE/3)^(1/3),1-muSE+(muSE/3)^(1/3)],[0,0],'g*','linewidth',2)
% patch(xshad,yshad,[0.4 0.4 0.6],'linewidth',2);
plot(xMoon,yMoon,'linewidth',2,'color',[0.5 0.5 0.5]);
% plot(xGeo,yGeo,'k--','linewidth',2);
% scatter(-muSE,0,'y*')
daspect([1 1 1]);
ylim([-0.1 0.1])
xlim([-0.1 1.1])
hold off;
title('Telescope orbit at L2 (AU, rotating frame)')
legend('L2 Halo orbit', 'Earth', 'Sun', 'L1/L2 Lagrange points', 'Moon''s orbit','
   Location', 'northwest')
set(gca,'linewidth',2,'FontSize',14)
saveas(figureOVR_all,'Scope-position-rotating-all-lagrange.png')
```

### B.3.2 OrbitCalcs2dome2

Calculates a slice of observations and produces a contour map of thrust vs. time and azimuth (constant elevation).

```
\% Take a slice at constant elevation, sweep over azimuth and time of year.
AU = 1.496 e11;
Re = 6371000;
Tnd = 365.25 * 24 * 60 * 60 / (2 * pi);
muSE = 3.036e - 6;
dt = (3600/Tnd); % 1 hour steps.
yScopeInit = [1.00717285919175; 0; 0; 0; 0.0163636; 0];
tspan = 0:(3600/Tnd):3.2; % ~6 mos, time for scope to stay on-target
\%tspan = 0:0.001:1; \%<sup>~</sup>2 mos, time for LGS to stay close without active station-
   keeping
%tspan = linspace(0,24*60*60/Tnd,10000); % 1 day
[tScope,yScopeMat] = ode45(@cr3bpse,tspan,yScopeInit);
xpScope = yScopeMat(:,1);
ypScope = yScopeMat(:,2);
zpScope = yScopeMat(:,3);
xvScope = yScopeMat(:,4);
yvScope = yScopeMat(:,5);
zvScope = yScopeMat(:,6);
xpiScope = xpScope.*cos(tScope) - ypScope.*sin(tScope); % inertial reference frame
ypiScope = ypScope.*cos(tScope) + xpScope.*sin(tScope);
xviScope = xvScope.*cos(tScope) - yvScope.*sin(tScope);
yviScope = yvScope.*cos(tScope) + xvScope.*sin(tScope);
% idxStart = 1; % Start at beginning.
% idxStart = 300; % Trying to get to max turn...
% idxStart = find(ypScope==max(ypScope)); % First corner.
% idxStart = find(xpScope==max(xpScope)); % D loop.
% disp(tStart*365.25/(2*pi))
azvec = 0:0.5:360;
tidxvec = 1:24:(1+24*180); % Index of time points
```

```
% azvec = 92:0.001:96; % Super high resolution!
% tidxvec = 1:25; % Once per hour for a day
[tidx,azimuths] = ndgrid(tidxvec,azvec);
accs = zeros(size(azimuths));
thrusts = zeros(size(azimuths));
times = zeros(size(azimuths));
for i = 1:numel(azimuths)
idxStart = tidx(i);
tStart = tScope(idxStart);
times(i) = tStart;
goalAzI = azimuths(i);
goalAzRi = goalAzI-rad2deg(tStart);
goalEl = 0;
desrange = range_LGS/AU;
goalXinit = xpScope(idxStart)+desrange*cosd(goalEl)*cosd(goalAzRi);
goalYinit = ypScope(idxStart)+desrange*cosd(goalEl)*sind(goalAzRi);
goalZinit = zpScope(idxStart)+desrange*sind(goalEl);
velXinit = xvScope(idxStart) + goalYinit - ypScope(idxStart);
velYinit = yvScope(idxStart) - goalXinit + xpScope(idxStart);
goalXi = goalXinit*cos(tStart) - goalYinit*sin(tStart); % inertial reference frame
goalYi = goalYinit*cos(tStart) + goalXinit*sin(tStart);
radInit = sqrt(goalXi^2 + goalYi^2 + goalZinit^2);
yScope = [xpScope(idxStart); ypScope(idxStart); zpScope(idxStart); ...
   xvScope(idxStart); yvScope(idxStart); zvScope(idxStart)]; %
yLGS = [goalXinit; goalYinit; goalZinit; ...
   velXinit; velYinit; zvScope(idxStart)]; %
dydtLGS = cr3bpse(tStart,yLGS);
dydtScope = cr3bpse(tStart,yScope);
xvScoper = dydtScope(1);
yvScoper = dydtScope(2);
```

```
zvScoper = dydtScope(3);
xaScoper = dydtScope(4);
yaScoper = dydtScope(5);
zaScoper = dydtScope(6);
xaScopeic = xaScoper - xpScope(idxStart) - 2*yvScoper; % Coaligned inertial reference
    frame
yaScopeic = yaScoper - ypScope(idxStart) + 2*xvScoper;
xaScopei = xaScopeic*cos(tStart) - yaScopeic*sin(tStart); % rotate
yaScopei = yaScopeic*cos(tStart) + xaScopeic*sin(tStart);
xvLGSr = dydtLGS(1);
yvLGSr = dydtLGS(2);
zvLGSr = dydtLGS(3);
xaLGSr = dydtLGS(4);
yaLGSr = dydtLGS(5);
zaLGSr = dydtLGS(6);
xaLGSic = xaLGSr - goalXinit - 2*yvLGSr; % Coaligned inertial reference frame
yaLGSic = yaLGSr - goalYinit + 2*xvLGSr;
xaLGSi = xaLGSic*cos(tStart) - yaLGSic*sin(tStart); % rotate
yaLGSi = yaLGSic*cos(tStart) + xaLGSic*sin(tStart);
dposi = [desrange*cosd(goalEl)*cosd(goalAzI) ; desrange*cosd(goalEl)*sind(goalAzI) ;
   desrange*sind(goalEl)];
dacci = [xaLGSi-xaScopei ; yaLGSi-yaScopei ; zaLGSr-zaScoper ];
dposin = norm(dposi);
daccin = norm(dacci);
dotp = dot(dposi,dacci);
angle = acosd(dotp/(dposin*daccin));
accreq = daccin*sind(angle);
accreqSI = accreq*(AU/Tnd^2);
TreqSI = accreqSI*sc_mass_opt_tot;
accs(i) = accreqSI;
thrusts(i) = TreqSI;
end
```

```
figureMap = figure;
[Cont,handle] = contour(azimuths,times*(Tnd/(24*60*60)),thrusts*1000,[0.03 0.1 0.2
        0.3 0.5 0.7 1 3],'linewidth',2); % 0, 15, 30, 45, 60, 75 deg
% [C,h] = contour(azimuths,times*(Tnd/(24*60*60)),thrusts*1000); % 89 deg
clabel(Cont,handle,'FontSize',14);
title(sprintf('Thrust req. hold pointing (mN, elev = %d deg, %.2g,000 km)',goalEl,
        range_LGS/1e6))
xlabel('Azimuth (deg)')
ylabel('Mission elapsed time (days)')
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
saveas(figureMap,sprintf('Cost-of-watching-thrust-time-e%d-fixed.png',goalEl));
```

### B.3.3 OrbitCalcs2dome

Calculates a slice of observations and produces a contour map of thrust vs. elevation and azimuth (at one time of observation).

```
% At a given time, show thrust needed vs. az-el
close all
Tnd = 365.25 * 24 * 60 * 60 / (2 * pi);
muSE = 3.036e - 6;
dt = (3600/Tnd); % 1 hour steps.
yScopeInit = [1.00717285919175; 0; 0; 0; 0.0163636; 0];
tspan = 0:(3600/Tnd):3.2; % ~6 mos, time for scope to stay on-target
\%tspan = 0:0.001:1; \%<sup>2</sup> mos, time for LGS to stay close without active station-
   keeping
%tspan = linspace(0,24*60*60/Tnd,10000); % 1 day
[tScope,yScopeMat] = ode45(@cr3bpse,tspan,yScopeInit);
xpScope = yScopeMat(:,1);
ypScope = yScopeMat(:,2);
zpScope = yScopeMat(:,3);
xvScope = yScopeMat(:,4);
yvScope = yScopeMat(:,5);
zvScope = yScopeMat(:,6);
xpiScope = xpScope.*cos(tScope) - ypScope.*sin(tScope); % inertial reference frame
```

```
ypiScope = ypScope.*cos(tScope) + xpScope.*sin(tScope);
xviScope = xvScope.*cos(tScope) - yvScope.*sin(tScope);
yviScope = yvScope.*cos(tScope) + xvScope.*sin(tScope);
idxStart = 1; % Start at beginning.
% idxStart = 300; % Trying to get to max turn...
% idxStart = find(ypScope==max(ypScope)); % First corner, 45 days.
% idxStart = find(xpScope==max(xpScope)); % outside of D loop, 93 days.
tStart = tScope(idxStart);
tDays = tStart*365.25/(2*pi);
azvec = 0:0.5:360;
elvec = 0:0.5:90;
[elevs,azimuths] = ndgrid(elvec,azvec);
accs = zeros(size(azimuths));
thrusts = zeros(size(azimuths));
for i = 1:numel(azimuths)
goalAzI = azimuths(i);
goalAzRi = goalAzI-rad2deg(tStart);
goalEl = elevs(i);
desrange = range_LGS/AU;
goalXinit = xpScope(idxStart)+desrange*cosd(goalEl)*cosd(goalAzRi);
goalYinit = ypScope(idxStart)+desrange*cosd(goalEl)*sind(goalAzRi);
goalZinit = zpScope(idxStart)+desrange*sind(goalEl);
velXinit = xvScope(idxStart) + goalYinit - ypScope(idxStart);
velYinit = yvScope(idxStart) - goalXinit + xpScope(idxStart);
goalXi = goalXinit*cos(tStart) - goalYinit*sin(tStart); % inertial reference frame
goalYi = goalYinit*cos(tStart) + goalXinit*sin(tStart);
radInit = sqrt(goalXi^2 + goalYi^2 + goalZinit^2);
yScope = [xpScope(idxStart); ypScope(idxStart); zpScope(idxStart); ...
    xvScope(idxStart); yvScope(idxStart); zvScope(idxStart)]; %
yLGS = [goalXinit; goalYinit; goalZinit; ...
    velXinit; velYinit; zvScope(idxStart)]; %
```

```
dydtLGS = cr3bpse(tStart,yLGS);
dydtScope = cr3bpse(tStart,yScope);
xvScoper = dydtScope(1);
yvScoper = dydtScope(2);
zvScoper = dydtScope(3);
xaScoper = dydtScope(4);
yaScoper = dydtScope(5);
zaScoper = dydtScope(6);
xaScopeic = xaScoper - xpScope(idxStart) - 2*yvScoper; % Coaligned inertial reference
    frame
yaScopeic = yaScoper - ypScope(idxStart) + 2*xvScoper;
xaScopei = xaScopeic*cos(tStart) - yaScopeic*sin(tStart); % rotate
yaScopei = yaScopeic*cos(tStart) + xaScopeic*sin(tStart);
xvLGSr = dydtLGS(1);
yvLGSr = dydtLGS(2);
zvLGSr = dydtLGS(3);
xaLGSr = dydtLGS(4);
yaLGSr = dydtLGS(5);
zaLGSr = dydtLGS(6);
xaLGSic = xaLGSr - goalXinit - 2*yvLGSr; % Coaligned inertial reference frame
yaLGSic = yaLGSr - goalYinit + 2*xvLGSr;
xaLGSi = xaLGSic*cos(tStart) - yaLGSic*sin(tStart); % rotate
yaLGSi = yaLGSic*cos(tStart) + xaLGSic*sin(tStart);
dposi = [desrange*cosd(goalEl)*cosd(goalAzI) ; desrange*cosd(goalEl)*sind(goalAzI) ;
   desrange*sind(goalEl)];
dacci = [xaLGSi-xaScopei ; yaLGSi-yaScopei ; zaLGSr-zaScoper ];
dacciInline = dposi*dot(dposi,dacci)/dot(dposi,dposi);
dacciCross = dacci-dacciInline;
dposin = norm(dposi);
daccin = norm(dacci);
dotp = dot(dposi,dacci);
angle = acosd(dotp/(dposin*daccin));
```

```
accreq = daccin*sind(angle);
% accreq = norm(dacciCross);
accreqSI = accreq*(AU/Tnd^2);
TreqSI = accreqSI*sc_mass_opt_tot;
accs(i) = accreqSI;
thrusts(i) = TreqSI;
end
figureMap = figure;
colormap cool
axesm('MapProjection', 'robinson', 'Grid', 'on', 'GLineWidth', 2)
[Cont,handle] = contourm(elevs,azimuths,thrusts*1000,[0.03 0.1 0.3 0.5 0.7 1 3],'
   linewidth',2); % 0 days, 100k km
[Cont2, handle2] = contourm(-elevs, azimuths, thrusts*1000, [0.03 0.1 0.3 0.5 0.7 1 3],'
   linewidth',2); % 0 days, 100k km
% [C,h] = contour(azimuths,elevs,thrusts*1000,[0.01 0.05 0.09 0.13]); % 0 days, 10k
   km sep
% [C,h] = contour(azimuths,elevs,thrusts*1000,[0.01 0.05 0.09 0.13]); % 45/90 days,
   10k km sep
% [C,h] = contour(azimuths,elevs,thrusts*1000);
% [C,h] = contour(azimuths,elevs,thrusts*1000,[0.03 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.5 0.7 1 3]); % 90
   days, D loop, 100k km sep
% clabel(C,h,'FontSize',14);
htext = clabelm(Cont, handle);
set(htext,'fontsize',14);
title(sprintf('Thrust req. hold pointing (mN, t = %d days, %.2g,000 km)',round(tDays)
    ,range_LGS/1e6))
colorbar
% xlabel('Ecliptic longitude (deg)')
% ylabel('Ecliptic latitude (deg)')
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
saveas(figureMap,sprintf('Cost-of-watching-thrust-t0-fixed-%.2gk.png',range_LGS/1e6))
% colormap default
% figureSphere = figure;
% xthr = (2.7e-4-thrusts).*cosd(elevs).*cosd(azimuths); % Exactly 100,000 km away,
   in the proper direction
% ythr = (2.7e-4-thrusts).*cosd(elevs).*sind(azimuths);
% zthr = (2.7e-4-thrusts).*sind(elevs);
```

```
% plot3(xthr,ythr,zthr)
% daspect([1 1 1])
%
% set(findall(gcf,'-property','FontSize'),'FontSize',14);
```

### B.3.4 OrbitCalcs3

Calculates the time and delta-V cost of deploying a LGS from LUVOIR.

```
Tnd = 365.25 * 24 * 60 * 60 / (2 * pi);
yScopeInit = [1.00717285919175; 0; 0; 0; 0.0163636; 0];
tspan = 0:(3600/Tnd):3.2; % ~6 mos, time for scope to stay on-target
[tScope,yScopeMat] = ode45(@cr3bpse,tspan,yScopeInit);
xpScope = yScopeMat(:,1);
ypScope = yScopeMat(:,2);
zpScope = yScopeMat(:,3);
xvScope = yScopeMat(:,4);
yvScope = yScopeMat(:,5);
zvScope = yScopeMat(:,6);
yLGSInit = [xpScope(1); ypScope(1); zpScope(1); ...
    xvScope(1)+1.44*(Tnd/AU); yvScope(1); zvScope(1)]; %adding pushoff velocity
[tLGS,yLGSMat] = ode45(@cr3bpse,tspan,yLGSInit);
xpLGS = yLGSMat(:,1);
ypLGS = yLGSMat(:,2);
zpLGS = yLGSMat(:,3);
xvLGS = yLGSMat(:,4);
yvLGS = yLGSMat(:,5);
zvLGS = yLGSMat(:,6);
dist = sqrt((xpLGS-xpScope).^2+(zpLGS-zpScope).^2+(ypLGS-ypScope).^2);
dvs = sqrt((xvLGS-xvScope).^2+(zvLGS-zvScope).^2+(yvLGS-yvScope).^2);
drift_thresh = find(dist*AU>range_LGS);
drift_time = Tnd*tspan(drift_thresh(1))/daysec;
drift_vel = dvs(drift_thresh(1))*AU/Tnd;
```

### B.3.5 OrbitCalcs3CL3

Simulates the formation flight of a laser guide star with a telescope, including closedloop control, for evaluating drift and noise sensitivity.

```
Tnd = 365.25 * 24 * 60 * 60 / (2 * pi);
muSE = 3.036e - 6;
x1 = -muSE;
x2 = 1 - muSE;
dt = (3600/Tnd); % 1 hour steps.
yScopeInit = [1.00717285919175; 0; 0; 0; 0.0163636; 0];
tspan = 0:(3600/Tnd):3.2; % ~6 mos, time for scope to stay on-target
% tspan = 0:(60/Tnd):(1*24*3600/Tnd); % 1 day
\%tspan = 0:0.001:1; \%<sup>2</sup> mos, time for LGS to stay close without active station-
   keeping
%tspan = linspace(0,24*60*60/Tnd,10000); % 1 day
[tScope,yScopeMat] = ode45(@cr3bpse,tspan,yScopeInit);
xpScope = yScopeMat(:,1);
ypScope = yScopeMat(:,2);
zpScope = yScopeMat(:,3);
xvScope = yScopeMat(:,4);
yvScope = yScopeMat(:,5);
zvScope = yScopeMat(:,6);
xpiScope = xpScope.*cos(tScope) - ypScope.*sin(tScope); % inertial reference frame
ypiScope = ypScope.*cos(tScope) + xpScope.*sin(tScope);
xviScope = xvScope.*cos(tScope) - yvScope.*sin(tScope) - ypiScope;
yviScope = yvScope.*cos(tScope) + xvScope.*sin(tScope) + xpiScope;
xaScope = xpScope + 2.*yvScope -(1-muSE).*(xpScope-x1)./((xpScope-x1).^2 + ypScope.^2
    + zpScope.^2).^(3./2) -muSE.*(xpScope-x2)./((xpScope-x2).^2 + ypScope.^2 +
    zpScope.^2).^(3./2);
yaScope = ypScope - 2.*xvScope -(1-muSE).*ypScope./((xpScope-x1).^2 + ypScope.^2 +
    zpScope.^2).^(3./2) -muSE.*ypScope./((xpScope-x2).^2 + ypScope.^2 + zpScope.^2)
    (3./2):
zaScope = -(1-muSE).*zpScope./((xpScope-x1).^2 + ypScope.^2 + zpScope.^2).^(3./2) -
    muSE.*zpScope./((xpScope-x2).^2 + ypScope.^2 + zpScope.^2).^(3./2);
```

```
xaicScope = xaScope - xpScope - 2.*yvScope;
yaicScope = yaScope - ypScope + 2.*xvScope;
xaiScope = xaicScope.*cos(tScope) - yaicScope.*sin(tScope);
yaiScope = yaicScope.*cos(tScope) + xaicScope.*sin(tScope);
zaiScope = zaScope;
% plot(xpScope,ypScope,xpiScope,ypiScope)
%plot(xvScope,yvScope,xviScope,yviScope)
%plot(xaScope,yaScope,xaiScope,yaiScope)
% daspect([1 1 1])
% figurePVA = figure;
% plot(tScope,xpiScope,tScope,xviScope,tScope,xaiScope)
% xlabel('Time (radians)')
% ylabel('$x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}$ (AU, AU/rad, AU/rad$^2$)','interpreter','latex')
\% title('X-position, velocity, acceleration of L2 halo orbit (inertial frame)')
% saveas(figurePVA,'PVA.png')
% clc
idxStart = 1; % Start at beginning.
% idxStart = 300; % Trying to get to max turn...
% idxStart = find(ypScope==max(ypScope)); % First corner.
% idxStart = find(xpScope==max(xpScope)); % D loop.
tStart = tScope(idxStart);
goalAzI = 0;
goalAzRi = goalAzI-rad2deg(tStart);
goalEl = 0;
goalRange = range_LGS/AU;
goalXinit = xpScope(idxStart)+goalRange*cosd(goalEl)*cosd(goalAzRi); % Exactly
   100,000 km away, in the proper direction
goalYinit = ypScope(idxStart)+goalRange*cosd(goalEl)*sind(goalAzRi);
goalZinit = zpScope(idxStart)+goalRange*sind(goalEl);
%goalYinit = goalYinit + 100/AU; % Adding 100 m of position error
velXinit = xvScope(idxStart) + goalYinit - ypScope(idxStart);
velYinit = yvScope(idxStart) - goalXinit + xpScope(idxStart);
% velYinit = velYinit + 0.0001*(Tnd/AU); % Adding 0.1 mm/s of velocity error
```

```
yLGSInit = [xpScope(idxStart); ypScope(idxStart); zpScope(idxStart); ...
    xvScope(idxStart); yvScope(idxStart); zvScope(idxStart); ...
    goalXinit; goalYinit; goalZinit; ...
   velXinit; velYinit; zvScope(idxStart); ...
    goalAzI; goalEl; goalRange; ...
    0; 0; 0]; %
% tspan2 = tStart:(60/Tnd):(tStart+(3600*24*15/Tnd)); % 15 days, one-minute intervals
tspan2 = tStart:(60/Tnd):(tStart+(3600*24/Tnd)); % one day, one-minute intervals.
% tspan2 = tStart:(60/Tnd):(tStart+(3600*3*24/Tnd)); % three days, one-minute
   intervals.
[tLGS,yLGSMat] = ode45(@cr3bpsepropCLazel3,tspan2,yLGSInit);
rpts = zeros(size(tspan2,2),3);
rptsnorm = zeros(size(tspan2,2),1);
for i = 1:size(tspan2,2)
   rpt = cr3bpsepropCLazel3rpt(tspan2(i),yLGSMat(i,:));
   rpts(i,:) = rpt;
    rptsnorm(i) = norm(rpt);
end
rptsI = rpts;
rptsI(:,1) = rpts(:,1).*cos(tLGS) - rpts(:,2).*sin(tLGS);
rptsI(:,2) = rpts(:,2).*cos(tLGS) + rpts(:,1).*sin(tLGS);
xpScope2 = yLGSMat(:,1);
ypScope2 = yLGSMat(:,2);
zpScope2 = yLGSMat(:,3);
xvScope2 = yLGSMat(:,4);
yvScope2 = yLGSMat(:,5);
zvScope2 = yLGSMat(:,6);
xpiScope2 = xpScope2.*cos(tLGS) - ypScope2.*sin(tLGS); % inertial reference frame
ypiScope2 = ypScope2.*cos(tLGS) + xpScope2.*sin(tLGS);
xviScope2 = xvScope2.*cos(tLGS) - yvScope2.*sin(tLGS);
yviScope2 = yvScope2.*cos(tLGS) + xvScope2.*sin(tLGS);
xpLGS = yLGSMat(:,7);
ypLGS = yLGSMat(:,8);
zpLGS = yLGSMat(:,9);
xvLGS = yLGSMat(:,10);
yvLGS = yLGSMat(:,11);
```

```
zvLGS = yLGSMat(:,12);
xpiLGS = xpLGS.*cos(tLGS) - ypLGS.*sin(tLGS); % inertial reference frame
ypiLGS = ypLGS.*cos(tLGS) + xpLGS.*sin(tLGS);
xviLGS = xvLGS.*cos(tLGS) - yvLGS.*sin(tLGS); % inertial reference frame
yviLGS = yvLGS.*cos(tLGS) + xvLGS.*sin(tLGS);
dxp = xpLGS-xpScope2;
dyp = ypLGS-ypScope2;
dzp = zpLGS - zpScope2;
range = sqrt(dxp.^2 + dyp.^2 + dzp.^2);
dxpi = xpiLGS - xpiScope2;
dypi = ypiLGS - ypiScope2;
angleAz = atan2(dypi,dxpi);
angleAzR = atan2(dyp,dxp);
dangAz = angleAz(2:end) - angleAz(1:end-1);
angleEl = atan2(dzp, sqrt(dxpi.^2+dypi.^2));
dyvi = yviLGS - yviScope2;
%%
close all
xshad = [1-muSE, 1.014, 1.014, 1-muSE];
yshad = [Re/AU, 1.0829e-04, -1.0829e-04, -Re/AU];
figureOVR = figure;
hold on;
patch(xshad,yshad,[0.4 0.4 0.6]);
plot(xpScope,ypScope,xpLGS,ypLGS)
scatter(1-muSE,0,'b*')
xlim([0.995 1.015])
daspect([1 1 1]);
hold off;
title('Telescope and LGS at L2 (AU, rotating frame)')
legend('Earth''s penumbra', 'Scope', 'Earth', 'LGS', 'Location', 'northwest')
saveas(figureOVR,'LGS-Scope-position-rotating.png');
figureOVI = figure;
hold on;
plot(((xpiLGS-xpiScope2)*AU/1000e3),(ypiLGS-ypiScope2)*AU, 'linewidth', 2)
% scatter(0,0,'b*', 'linewidth', 2)
```

```
plot([1.1*range_LGS/1e6,range_LGS/1e6,range_LGS/1e6,1.1*range_LGS/1e6],[1.1*
    iwa_box_rad,iwa_box_rad,-iwa_box_rad,-1.1*iwa_box_rad], 'linewidth', 2)
plot([range_LGS/1e6,1.1*range_LGS/1e6],[0, 0],':','linewidth',2)
% scatter(1-muSE,0,'b*')
% daspect([1 1 1]);
% hold off;
xlim([4.31e1,4.35e1])
ylim([-1,1])
title('LGS relative position to Scope (inertial frame)')
xlabel('Distance from telescope (1000''s of km)')
ylabel('Distance from line of sight (m)')
% legend('LGS','Scope','Goal','Location','northwest')
legend('LGS','Requirement (deep IWA, 0.25 lam/D)','Goal')
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
saveas(figureOVI,'LGS-Scope-position-inertial.png');
figureAng = figure;
plot(tspan2.*Tnd./(24*60*60), angleAz*1e9, tspan2.*Tnd./(24*60*60), (iwa_box_rad/
    range_LGS)*1e9*ones(size(tspan2)))
% daspect([1 1 1]);
title('Scope-LGS vector azimuth (ecliptic plane, inertial frame)')
xlabel('Mission time [days]')
ylabel('Scope-LGS angle, nrad')
% saveas(figureAng,'LGS-Scope-Ecl-Ang-10k-CL-old.png');
saveas(figureAng,'LGS-Scope-Ecl-Ang-10k-CL-new.png');
figureTHR = figure;
plot(tspan2*Tnd/(3600*24),rptsnorm*(AU/Tnd^2)*24*1000)
title('Thrust over time (24 kg) for drift compensation')
xlabel('Mission time (days)')
ylabel('Total thrust (mN)')
figureTHR2I = figure;
hold on
plot(rptsI(:,1)*(AU/Tnd<sup>2</sup>)*24*1000,rptsI(:,2)*(AU/Tnd<sup>2</sup>)*24*1000)
quiver(0,0,0.5*cos(goalAzI),0.5*sin(goalAzI))
hold off
xlim([-1 1])
ylim([-1 1])
daspect([1 1 1])
title('Thrust vector (inertial space, 24 kg) for drift compensation')
legend('Thrust vector','Goal line of sight')
xlabel('X-thrust (mN)')
ylabel('Y-thrust (mN)')
```

### B.3.6 cr3bpse

A differential-equation representation of the circular restricted 3-body problem, for use with ode45. Used by the various OrbitCalcs scripts.

```
function dydt = cr3bpse(t,y)
% non-dimentional circular restricted 3-body problem for Sun-Earth.
% y(1) = xp, y(2) = yp, y(3) = zp,
% y(4) = xv, y(5) = yv, y(6) = zv
    xp = y(1);
    yp = y(2);
    zp = y(3);
    xv = y(4);
    yv = y(5);
    zv = y(6);
    muSE = 3.036e-6;
    x1 = -muSE;
    x2 = 1 - muSE;
    xa = xp + 2*yv -(1-muSE)*(xp-x1)/((xp-x1)<sup>2</sup> + yp<sup>2</sup> + zp<sup>2</sup>)<sup>(3/2</sup>) -muSE*(xp-x2)/((
    xp-x2)^2 + yp^2 + zp^2(3/2);
    ya = yp - 2*xv -(1-muSE)*yp/((xp-x1)^2 + yp^2 + zp^2)^(3/2) -muSE*yp/((xp-x2)^2 +
    yp^2 + zp^2)^(3/2);
    za = -(1-muSE)*zp/((xp-x1)^2 + yp^2 + zp^2)^(3/2) -muSE*zp/((xp-x2)^2 + yp^2 + zp
    ^{2})^{(3/2)};
    dydt = [xv; yv; zv; xa; ya; za];
end
```

### B.3.7 cr3bpsepropCLazel3

An advanced version of cr3bpse which includes a rudimentary controller, for investigating formation flight.

```
function [dydt] = cr3bpsepropCLazel3(t,y)
% non-dimensional circular restricted 3-body problem for Sun-Earth.
% y(1) = xp, y(2) = yp, y(3) = zp, % all of Scope
% y(4) = xv, y(5) = yv, y(6) = zv
% y(7) = xp, y(8) = yp, y(9) = zp, % all of Laser
% y(10) = xv, y(11) = yv, y(12) = zv
% y(13) = goalAz, y(14) = goalEl, y(15) = goalRange
muSE = 3.036e-6;
```

```
AU = 1.496 e11;
Tnd = 365.25 * 24 * 60 * 60 / (2 * pi);
% tDays = t*Tnd/(24*60*60);
x1 = -muSE;
x2 = 1 - muSE;
xpS = y(1);
ypS = y(2);
zpS = y(3);
xvS = y(4);
yvS = y(5);
zvS = y(6);
xpL = y(7);
ypL = y(8);
zpL = y(9);
xvL = y(10);
yvL = y(11);
zvL = y(12);
goalAz = y(13);
goalEl = y(14);
goalRange = y(15);
dintXI = y(16);
dintYI = y(17);
dintZI = y(18);
xpiS = xpS.*cos(t) - ypS.*sin(t); % inertial reference frame
ypiS = ypS.*cos(t) + xpS.*sin(t);
xpiL = xpL.*cos(t) - ypL.*sin(t); % inertial reference frame
ypiL = ypL.*cos(t) + xpL.*sin(t);
goalX = xpiS+goalRange*cosd(goalEl)*cosd(goalAz);
goalY = ypiS+goalRange*cosd(goalEl)*sind(goalAz);
goalZ = zpS+goalRange*sind(goalEl);
% dxpi = xpiL-xpiS;
% dypi = ypiL-ypiS;
% dzpi = zpL - zpS;
\% To convert velocities, first convert to instantaneously aligned inertial
% reference frame, then remove rotation.
xviS = xvS.*cos(t) - yvS.*sin(t) - ypiS;
```

```
yviS = yvS.*cos(t) + xvS.*sin(t) + xpiS;
xviL = xvL.*cos(t) - yvL.*sin(t) - ypiL;
yviL = yvL.*cos(t) + xvL.*sin(t) + xpiL;
% dxpr = xpL - xpS;
% dypr = ypL - ypS;
dxvi = xviL-xviS;
dyvi = yviL-yviS;
dzvi = zvL - zvS;
% range = sqrt(dxpi.^2 + dypi.^2 + dzpi.^2);
% rangeH = sqrt(dxpi.^2 + dypi.^2);
% angleAz = atan2(dypi,dxpi);
% angleAzR = atan2(dypr,dxpr);
% dangleAz = (dxpi*dyvi - dypi*dxvi)/rangeH^2; % Probably not stable around poles.
% angleEl = atan2(dzpi,rangeH);
% dangleEl = (rangeH*dzvi - dzpi*(dxpi*dxvi + dypi*dyvi)/rangeH)/(range^2); %
               definitely not stable around poles!
% errAz = angleAz-goalAz;
% errEl = angleEl-goalEl;
% corrAz = angleAzR - (pi/2)*sign(errAz);
xaS = xpS + 2*yvS -(1-muSE)*(xpS-x1)/((xpS-x1)^2 + ypS^2 + zpS^2)^(3/2) -muSE*(xpS-x2)^{(3/2)}
             )/((xpS-x2)^2 + ypS^2 + zpS^2)^(3/2);
yaS = ypS - 2*xvS -(1-muSE)*ypS/((xpS-x1)^2 + ypS^2 + zpS^2)^(3/2) -muSE*ypS/((xpS-x2)^{3/2}) -muSE*ypS/((xpS-x2)) -muSE*ypS/((xpS-x2))
             )^{2} + ypS^{2} + zpS^{2}(3/2);
zaS = -(1-muSE)*zpS/((xpS-x1)^2 + ypS^2 + zpS^2)^(3/2) -muSE*zpS/((xpS-x2)^2 + ypS^2)
             + zpS^2)^(3/2);
xaL = xpL + 2*yvL -(1-muSE)*(xpL-x1)/((xpL-x1)^2 + ypL^2 + zpL^2)^(3/2) -muSE*(xpL-x2)^{(3/2)}
            )/((xpL-x2)^2 + ypL^2 + zpL^2)^(3/2);
yaL = ypL - 2*xvL -(1-muSE)*ypL/((xpL-x1)^2 + ypL^2 + zpL^2)^(3/2) -muSE*ypL/((xpL-x2)^3/2) -muS
              )^{2} + ypL^{2} + zpL^{2}(3/2);
zaL = -(1-muSE)*zpL/((xpL-x1)^2 + ypL^2 + zpL^2)^(3/2) -muSE*zpL/((xpL-x2)^2 + ypL^2)
             + zpL^2)^(3/2);
\% xaD = xaL - xaS;
% yaD = yaL - yaS;
zaD = zaL - zaS;
```

```
xaicS = xaS - xpS - 2*yvS; % First, convert to an inertial reference frame that is
   instantaneously co-aligned with the rotating reference frame.
yaicS = yaS - ypS + 2*xvS;
xaicL = xaL - xpL - 2*yvL;
yaicL = yaL - ypL + 2*xvL;
xaiS = xaicS.*cos(t) - yaicS.*sin(t); % Then rotate to the actual angle of inertial
   space.
yaiS = yaicS.*cos(t) + xaicS.*sin(t);
xaiL = xaicL.*cos(t) - yaicL.*sin(t);
yaiL = yaicL.*cos(t) + xaicL.*sin(t);
xaiD = xaiL - xaiS;
yaiD = yaiL - yaiS;
losvec = [cosd(goalEl)*cosd(goalAz); cosd(goalEl)*sind(goalAz); sind(goalEl)];
dposIx = xpiL-goalX;
dposIy = ypiL-goalY;
dposIz = zpL-goalZ;
daccI = [xaiD ; yaiD ; zaD]; % Insert sensor noise here
dvelI = [dxvi ; dyvi ; dzvi];
dposI = [dposIx; dposIy; dposIz];
dintI = [dintXI ; dintYI ; dintZI];
% dvelIinline = losvec*dot(dvelI,losvec);
% dvelIperp = dvelI-dvelIinline;
dposIinline = losvec*dot(dposI,losvec);
dposIperp = dposI-dposIinline;
if mod(t,3600*24/Tnd) == 0 % Every 24 hours
   format long
    disp(dposIperp*AU);
    format short
end
kacc = 1;% + 0.01*tanh(norm(dposIperp)*AU/4); Do not change from 1!
kvel = 10;% + 9*tanh(norm(dposIperp)*AU/4); %1000; % Velocity control scaling -- ~1
   seems to work best at low displacements, ~10 at moderate
kpos = 1000;% + 1000*tanh(norm(dposIperp)*AU/400); %100000; % Position control
    scaling, needs ~1000 to matter
```

```
kint = 100 + 1000*tanh(norm(dposIperp)*AU/4);%1000; % Integral of position control
    scaling.
daccIinit = -kacc*daccI - kvel*dvelI - kpos*dposI -kint*dintI;
daccIinline = losvec*(dot(daccIinit,losvec));
daccIperp = daccIinit-daccIinline;
daccIcommand = daccIperp;
% daccIcommand = daccIinit;
% Insert thruster noise here
% daccIcommand = daccIcommand.*random('Normal',1,0.01,size(daccIcommand));
xThrI = daccIcommand(1);
yThrI = daccIcommand(2);
zThr = daccIcommand(3);
xThrR = xThrI.*cos(t) + yThrI.*sin(t);
yThrR = yThrI.*cos(t) - xThrI.*sin(t);
xaL = xaL + xThrR;
yaL = yaL + yThrR;
zaL = zaL + zThr;
dydt = [xvS; yvS; zvS; xaS; yaS; zaS; xvL; yvL; zvL; xaL; yaL; zaL; 0; 0; 0; dposIx;
    dposIy; dposIz];
% rpt = [xThrR;yThrR;zThr];
end
```

# B.3.8 cr3bpsepropCLazel3rpt

A variant of cr3bpsepropCLazel3 which includes additional return variables; it is not to be used with ode45, but can be used on the trajectory coming out of ode45 to see what the controller in cr3bpsepropCLazel3 was doing.

```
function [rpt] = cr3bpsepropCLazel3rpt(t,y)
% Extract thrust metrics
% non-dimensional circular restricted 3-body problem for Sun-Earth.
% y(1) = xp, y(2) = yp, y(3) = zp, % all of Scope
% y(4) = xv, y(5) = yv, y(6) = zv
```

```
% y(7) = xp, y(8) = yp, y(9) = zp, % all of Laser
% y(10) = xv, y(11) = yv, y(12) = zv
% y(13) = goalAz, y(14) = goalEl, y(15) = goalRange
muSE = 3.036e-6;
x1 = -muSE;
x2 = 1 - muSE;
xpL = y(7);
ypL = y(8);
zpL = y(9);
xvL = y(10);
yvL = y(11);
% Accelerations under CR3BP only
xaL = xpL + 2*yvL -(1-muSE)*(xpL-x1)/((xpL-x1)^2 + ypL^2 + zpL^2)^(3/2) -muSE*(xpL-x2)^{-1}
             )/((xpL-x2)^2 + ypL^2 + zpL^2)^(3/2);
yaL = ypL - 2*xvL -(1-muSE)*ypL/((xpL-x1)^2 + ypL^2 + zpL^2)^(3/2) -muSE*ypL/((xpL-x2)^3/2) -muS
            )^2 + ypL^2 + zpL^2)^(3/2);
zaL = -(1-muSE)*zpL/((xpL-x1)^2 + ypL^2 + zpL^2)^(3/2) -muSE*zpL/((xpL-x2)^2 + ypL^2)
            + zpL^2)^(3/2);
dydt = cr3bpsepropCLazel3(t,y);
xaLt = dydt(10);
yaLt = dydt(11);
zaLt = dydt(12);
rpt = [xaLt-xaL;yaLt-yaL;zaLt-zaL];
\verb"end"
```

# **B.4** LGS performance

#### B.4.1 NoiseCalcsPropSens

Calculates the sensitivity of the formation to thruster noise and calculates the required check-in frequency from the telescope during an observation.

Watch out for the  $\lambda$  characters, they might come through strangely if you copypaste this code from this document instead of getting it from the repository.

```
%% Sensor and thruster noise calcs
close all;
max_upd_int = 6000;
upd_int_vec = 10:10:max_upd_int; % update interval
max_zerocost_pos_err = 0.25*max_bg_acc*upd_int_vec.^2; % run OrbitCalcs2dome3 first
typ_zerocost_pos_err = 0.25*avg_acc*upd_int_vec.^2;
figMaxPosErr = figure;
semilogy(upd_int_vec/60, max_zerocost_pos_err, '-', upd_int_vec/60, typ_zerocost_pos_err,
    '-',[0 max_upd_int/60],[seg_box_rad seg_box_rad],'--',[0 max_upd_int/60],[
   iwa_box_rad_relax iwa_box_rad_relax],'--',[0 max_upd_int/60],[iwa_box_rad
    iwa_box_rad],'--','linewidth', 2)
title('Maximum location error without penalty')
ylabel('LGS velocity-axis error (m)')
xlabel('Update interval (minutes)')
legend('Worst-case observation', 'Average observation', sprintf('Goal: +/- %.2g m (flat
     waves on segments)', seg_box_rad), sprintf('Goal: +/- %.2g m (stay in IWA, 1 \lambda/D)'
    ,iwa_box_rad_relax), sprintf('Goal: +/- %.2g m (stay in deep IWA, 0.25 \lambda/D)',
    iwa_box_rad), 'Location', 'southeast')
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
saveas(figMaxPosErr, 'max_pos_err_nocost.png')
seg_upd_time = 2*sqrt(seg_box_rad/max_bg_acc);
iwa_upd_time = 2*sqrt(iwa_box_rad/max_bg_acc);
iwa_upd_time_relax = 2*sqrt(iwa_box_rad_relax/max_bg_acc);
seg_upd_time_typ = 2*sqrt(seg_box_rad/avg_acc);
iwa_upd_time_typ = 2*sqrt(iwa_box_rad/avg_acc);
iwa_upd_time_typ_relax = 2*sqrt(iwa_box_rad_relax/avg_acc);
max_thr_err = 0.25*max_bg_thrust;
```

### B.4.2 NoiseCalcs

Calculates the possible negative effects of the LGS spacecraft on the telescope's observation (thermal emission, sun glinting, etc.).

```
%% Photon noise calcs
LGS_size = 0.3; % 30 cm height
LGS_ang_size = LGS_size/range_LGS; % 7 nrad = 1.5 mas
planet_ang_size = 2*Re/(10*parsec); % 40 picorad = 8 uas
worst_case_planet_ang_size = 2*11*Re/(4.3*ly); % Jupiter around Alpha Cen would be 3
    nrad (0.6 mas) across, still smaller than LGS.
area = 5*0.01*0.01; % 1 cm2 of area
flux = 1368; \% W/m^2
div = deg2rad(0.5); % divergence from solar size, flat reflector
scopearea = 0.25*pi*scope_d^2;
spotsize = range_LGS*div;
spotarea = pi*0.25*spotsize^2;
spherearea = 4*pi*0.25*range_LGS^2;
fluxrec = flux*area/spotarea;
recangle = scope_d/range_LGS;
recSA = 2*pi*(1-cos(recangle/2));
Imax = flux/pi;
fluxrec2 = Imax*recSA*area/scopearea;
fluxrecSilly = flux*area/spherearea; % What if we just radiate isotropically
areaFull = 0.2*0.3; % What if we put a full 6U reflection down LUVOIR?
fluxrecFull = flux*areaFull/spotarea;
flux0jy = 3640; % zero magnitude in V band, per http://www.astro.umd.edu/~ssm/ASTR620
    /mags.html, janskys
flux0pps = 1.51e7*flux0jy*0.16;
Ephot = h*c/(500e-9);
flux0wm2 = flux0pps*Ephot;
mag = -2.5 * log10 (fluxrec/flux0wm2);
mag2 = -2.5*log10(fluxrec2/flux0wm2);
magSilly = -2.5*log10(fluxrecSilly/flux0wm2);
```

```
magFull = -2.5*log10(fluxrecFull/fluxOwm2);
% IR emissions, sum up to 2500 nm (LUVOIR sensitivity)
lambdas = (100:2500)*1e-9;
Blams = PlancksLaw(lambdas,273+30);
pow_ir = 0.03*(sum(Blams)*1e-9)*4*pi*(4*.2*.3); % W/m^2/sr/nm * nm * sr * m^2 = W
% Summing over all lambda, but really it's just 1700 nm+ that matters (i.e.
% K band). The factor of 0.03 is the IR emissivity of aluminum.
pow_ir_rec = pow_ir*(0.25*pi*scope_d^2)/(4*pi*range_LGS^2); % how much IR received
Fx0 = 670;
BWwideband = 390/2190;
Arx = 0.25*pi*scope_d^2;
Ephot = h.*c./2385e-9;
Photrx = pow_ir_rec./Ephot;
FJy = Photrx.*h.*1e26./(BWwideband.*Arx);
appMag = -2.5.*log10(FJy./Fx0);
```

### B.4.3 PlancksLaw

An implementation of Planck's Law.

```
function [Blam] = PlancksLaw(lambda,T)
h = 6.626e-34;
c = 299792458;
kB = 1.38065e-23;
Blam = (2.*h.*c^2./lambda.^5)./(exp(h.*c./(lambda.*kB.*T))-1);
end
```

### B.4.4 PowerCalcs

Calculations of power and ADCS subsystem performance and requirements during formation flight.

```
% Power needs
% 4x 6U panels and "2x2U" panels from GOMSpace
maxPwrGen = 1.15*(4*16+2*5); % 85 W
maxPwrThrust = 0.3*75 + 0.7*30; % Power cycle of the thruster
maxPwrLaser = 30;
maxPwrDraw = maxPwrLaser+ maxPwrThrust;
typPwrGen = 0.7*maxPwrGen;
pwrDef = maxPwrDraw-typPwrGen;
pwr_cap = 77*2; \% Whr
pwrDur = pwr_cap/pwrDef;
pwrAng = acosd(maxPwrDraw/maxPwrGen);
% ADCS
% Sun torque
torque_solar = (solarConst/c)*(4*.2*.3+.2*.2)*cosd(45)*1.5*(0.15)*cosd(45); % Solar
   torque from the "flower" panels.
max_total_ang_mom = torque_solar*max(obs_dur)*daysec;
torque_thruster = speed_factor*sc_max_thrust_nom*sind(10)*0.15; % max torque from the
    thruster
desat_factor = torque_thruster/torque_solar;
```

### B.4.5 LGSretro

Calculations of the brightness of a "laser guide star" spacecraft that reflects a laser generated externally (LUVOIR itself, or a ground-based facility).

```
% Generate the laser somewhere else, the LGS reflects it to the telescope
% Let's give LUVOIR a laser that is 10X bigger and more powerful than LGS
pwr_laser_scope = 10*pwr_laser;
D_laser_scope = 10*D_laser;
LGS_face_area = 0.2*0.3; % 20x30 cm face for the retro
eff_retro_d = sqrt(4*LGS_face_area/pi);
```

```
% LUVOIR -> LGS
[PrxTx,PhotrxTx,appMagTx,bwTx] = linkbudgetG(pwr_laser_scope,D_laser_scope,range_LGS,
    lambda,eff_retro_d);
% LGS back to LUVOIR
[PrxRx,PhotrxRx,appMagRx,bwRx] = linkbudgetG(PrxTx,eff_retro_d,range_LGS,lambda,
    scope_d);
% Case 2: using LUVOIR's main telescope itself as the transmitter!
% LUVOIR -> LGS
[PrxTx2,PhotrxTx2,appMagTx2,bwTx2] = linkbudgetG(pwr_laser_scope,scope_d,range_LGS,
   lambda,eff_retro_d);
% LGS back to LUVOIR
[PrxRx2,PhotrxRx2,appMagRx2,bwRx2] = linkbudgetG(PrxTx2,eff_retro_d,range_LGS,lambda,
    scope_d);
\% Giant ABL-TMT facility (Is such a thing even possible? Yes it is.)
pwr_laser_gnd = 1e6;
D_laser_gnd = 30;
lambda_abl = 1315e-9;
range_{L2} = ((muSE/3)^{(1/3)}) * AU;
% ABL-TMT -> LGS, assuming no atmosphere (!!!)
[PrxTx3,PhotrxTx3,appMagTx3,bwTx3] = linkbudgetG(pwr_laser_gnd,D_laser_gnd,range_L2,
    lambda_abl,eff_retro_d);
% LGS back to LUVOIR
[PrxRx3, PhotrxRx3, appMagRx3, bwRx3] = linkbudgetG(PrxTx3, eff_retro_d, range_LGS,
    lambda_abl,scope_d);
% Now let's take atmospheric effects into account
D_laser_eff_gnd = 0.1; % Fried parameter ~10 cm eff D
% ABL-TMT -> LGS
[PrxTx4, PhotrxTx4, appMagTx4, bwTx4] = linkbudgetG(pwr_laser_gnd, D_laser_eff_gnd,
   range_L2,lambda_abl,eff_retro_d);
% LGS back to LUVOIR
[PrxRx4, PhotrxRx4, appMagRx4, bwRx4] = linkbudgetG(PrxTx4, eff_retro_d, range_LGS,
   lambda_abl,scope_d);
```

# B.5 Design Reference Mission (DRM)

# B.5.1 StarkSkymap

Ingests lists of stars and observations for later use, and plots them on a map.

```
close all
fileID = fopen('simbad-trim.csv','r');
starids = zeros(size(C{1}));
starlats = zeros(size(C{1}));
starlons = zeros(size(C{1}));
for i = 1:size(C{1},1)
   starids(i) = C{1}(i);
   rahr = C{2}(i);
   ramn = C{3}(i);
   rasc = C{4}(i);
   rasc = 15*rasc;
   carry = floor(rasc/60);
   rasc = rasc - 60*carry;
   ramn = 15*ramn + carry;
   carry = floor(ramn/60);
   ramn = ramn - 60*carry;
   radg = 15*rahr + carry;
   starlons(i) = dms2degrees([radg ramn rasc]);
   dcdg = C{5}(i);
   dcmn = C{6}(i);
   dcsc = C{7}(i);
   starlats(i) = dms2degrees([dcdg dcmn dcsc]);
end
fileID = fopen('bright_stars_simbad_trim.csv','r');
C = textscan(fileID,['%f', '%f', '%f', '%f', '%f', '%f']);
```

```
brightlats = zeros(size(C{1}));
brightlons = zeros(size(C{1}));
for i = 1:size(C\{1\}, 1)
   rahr = C{1}(i);
   ramn = C{2}(i);
   rasc = C{3}(i);
   rasc = 15*rasc;
   carry = floor(rasc/60);
   rasc = rasc - 60*carry;
   ramn = 15*ramn + carry;
   carry = floor(ramn/60);
   ramn = ramn - 60*carry;
   radg = 15*rahr + carry;
   brightlons(i) = dms2degrees([radg ramn rasc]);
    dcdg = C{4}(i);
   dcmn = C{5}(i);
    dcsc = C\{6\}(i);
    brightlats(i) = dms2degrees([dcdg dcmn dcsc]);
end
% Hubble Deep Field (north), HDF South, HU(X)DF/Chandra South
deeplons = [189.2058,338.2343,53.1625];
deeplats = [62.2161, -60.5507, -27.7914];
[brightlatmat,starlatmat] = ndgrid(brightlats,starlats);
[brightlonmat,starlonmat] = ndgrid(brightlons,starlons);
[arclens,azs] = distance(brightlatmat,brightlonmat,starlatmat,starlonmat);
[closest_to_each_bright,idx_to_each_bright] = min(arclens,[],2);
fileID = fopen('LUVOIR-Architecture_A-NOMINAL_OCCRATES-observations-trim.csv','r');
% HIP,Visit #,Visit dt (years),Exp Time (days)
C = textscan(fileID, '%d %d %f %f', 'Delimiter', ', ');
obs_ids = C{1}; % which star is being visited
obs_cts = C{2}; % which visit number to this star
```

```
obs_dts = C{3}; % how many years since the first visit to the star
obs_dur = C{4}; % how many days in that observation
%%
% axesm ('globe','Grid', 'on');
% view(60,60)
% axis off
figureMap = figure;
axesm('MapProjection', 'robinson', 'Grid', 'on', 'GLineWidth',2)
% axesm('MapProjection','stereo','MapLatLimit',[-83 -90],'PLineLocation',1,'
    ParallelLabel', 'on', 'Grid', 'on', 'GLineWidth', 2)
p1 = scatterm(starlats, starlons, '*', 'linewidth', 2, 'DisplayName', 'Stark 2015 targets
    ');
p2 = scatterm(deeplats,deeplons,'rv', 'linewidth', 2);
p3 = scatterm(brightlats, brightlons, 'g+', 'linewidth', 2);
legend([p1 p3 p2],{'Stark 2015 targets','Magnitude 2 stars','Hubble/Chandra deep
    fields'})
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
saveas(figureMap,'SkyMap_hires.png')
% figureRA = figure;
% plot(starids,(starlons>-5.183)&(starlons<-4.711),starids,(starlons>-3.404)&(
    starlons < -3.019),...
%
      starids,(starlons>4.515)&(starlons<4.982))</pre>
%%
figureMinSeps = figure;
plot(closest_to_each_bright)
title('Closest target star to each bright star')
xlabel('Bright star index value (arb.)')
ylabel('Angular distance to nearest target star (deg.)')
```

### B.5.2 DRM\_prop\_options

Calculates the number of LGS spacecraft required to support a mission, evaluating the different propulsion system options considered in LGSmain.

close all

```
\% Eventual todo: use this script to pick which propulsion system goes \% into the "nom" values.
```
```
exp_time = mean(obs_dur)*daysec; % Average time from Chris's schedule
exp_acc = max_bg_acc; % From OrbitCalcs2dome3 calculations
max_simult_lgs = 100; % Let's say we want as many as 30 of these things active at
   once...
lgs_req = zeros(size(sc_fuel));
domains_req = zeros(size(sc_fuel));
time_req = zeros(size(sc_fuel));
for i = 1:numel(sc_fuel)
    [lgs_req_temp,domains_req_temp,time_req_temp] = DRMfunc(num_stars,total_obs,
   range_LGS,sc_mass,sc_fuel(i),sc_isp(i),sc_max_thrust(i),total_mission_time,
    exp_time,exp_acc,max_simult_lgs);
   lgs_req(i) = lgs_req_temp;
    domains_req(i) = domains_req_temp;
    time_req(i) = time_req_temp;
end
lgs_req_opt = zeros(size(sc_fuel));
domains_req_opt = zeros(size(sc_fuel));
time_req_opt = zeros(size(sc_fuel));
for i = 1:numel(sc_fuel)
    [lgs_req_temp,domains_req_temp,time_req_temp] = DRMfunc(num_stars,total_obs,
   range_LGS,sc_mass_opt+sc_prop_dry(i)+sc_fuel(i),sc_fuel(i),sc_isp(i),
    sc_max_thrust(i),total_mission_time,exp_time,exp_acc,max_simult_lgs);
    lgs_req_opt(i) = lgs_req_temp;
    domains_req_opt(i) = domains_req_temp;
    time_req_opt(i) = time_req_temp;
end
prop_labels = categorical(prop_names);
figBar = figure;
bar(prop_labels,[lgs_req;lgs_req_opt]','linewidth',2)
legend('24 kg','11.5 kg + prop','Location','northwest')
title(sprintf('Propulsion trade, %.2g,000 km range',range_LGS/1e6))
ylabel('Min. LGSs required')
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
%%
saveas(figBar, sprintf('DRM_prop_options_%.2gk.png',range_LGS/1e6))
ylim([0 60])
```

saveas(figBar,sprintf('DRM\_prop\_options\_%.2gk\_zoom.png',range\_LGS/1e6))

## B.5.3 DRM\_sensitivity

Conducts sensitivity analyses of the design reference mission with respect to various parameters (range to the telescope, total spacecraft mass, etc.).

```
close all
% Jim's first DRM
% num_stars = 350;
% total_obs = 450; % 350 + revisit top 100
\% Jim's second DRM (actually the first computed using this code) based on hearsay
    (350 stars, 1000 observations)
% num_stars = 350;
% total_obs = num_pts*2.8; % 2 observations for all targets, plus 8 more (10 total)
   for top 10%
exp_time = mean(obs_dur)*daysec; % Average time from Chris's schedule
exp_acc = max_bg_acc; % From OrbitCalcs2dome3 calculations
max_simult_lgs = 60; % Let's say we want as many as 30 of these things active at once
    . . .
[lgs_req_std,domains_req_std,time_req_std] = DRMfunc(num_stars,total_obs,range_LGS,
   sc_mass,sc_fuel_nom,sc_isp_nom,sc_max_thrust_nom,total_mission_time,exp_time,
    exp_acc,max_simult_lgs);
[lgs_req_opt,domains_req_opt,time_req_opt] = DRMfunc(num_stars,total_obs,range_LGS,
   sc_mass_opt_tot,sc_fuel_nom,sc_isp_nom,sc_max_thrust_nom,total_mission_time,
    exp_time,exp_acc,max_simult_lgs);
sc_masses = 12:0.1:30;
lgs_req_mass = zeros(size(sc_masses));
domains_req_mass = zeros(size(sc_masses));
time_req_mass = zeros(size(sc_masses));
for i = 1:numel(sc_masses)
    [lgs_req_temp,domains_req_temp,time_req_temp] = DRMfunc(num_stars,total_obs,
    range_LGS,sc_masses(i),sc_fuel_nom,sc_isp_nom,sc_max_thrust_nom,
```

```
total_mission_time,exp_time,exp_acc,max_simult_lgs);
    lgs_req_mass(i) = lgs_req_temp;
    domains_req_mass(i) = domains_req_temp;
    time_req_mass(i) = time_req_temp;
end
sc_fuels = 1.0:0.1:3.0;
lgs_req_fuel = zeros(size(sc_fuels));
domains_req_fuel = zeros(size(sc_fuels));
time_req_fuel = zeros(size(sc_fuels));
for i = 1:numel(sc_fuels)
    [lgs_req_temp,domains_req_temp,time_req_temp] = DRMfunc(num_stars,total_obs,
   range_LGS,sc_mass_opt + sc_prop_dry_nom + sc_fuels(i),sc_fuels(i),sc_isp_nom,
    sc_max_thrust_nom,total_mission_time,exp_time,exp_acc,max_simult_lgs);
    lgs_req_fuel(i) = lgs_req_temp;
    domains_req_fuel(i) = domains_req_temp;
    time_req_fuel(i) = time_req_temp;
end
ranges_LGS = 10e6:1e6:100e6;
lgs_req_range = zeros(size(ranges_LGS));
domains_req_range = zeros(size(ranges_LGS));
time_req_range = zeros(size(ranges_LGS));
for i = 1:numel(ranges_LGS)
    [lgs_req_temp,domains_req_temp,time_req_temp] = DRMfunc(num_stars,total_obs,
   ranges_LGS(i),sc_mass_opt_tot,sc_fuel_nom,sc_isp_nom,sc_max_thrust_nom,
    total_mission_time,exp_time,exp_acc,max_simult_lgs);
    lgs_req_range(i) = lgs_req_temp;
    domains_req_range(i) = domains_req_temp;
    time_req_range(i) = time_req_temp;
end
max_simult_lgses = 1:30;
lgs_req_simult = zeros(size(max_simult_lgses));
domains_req_simult = zeros(size(max_simult_lgses));
time_req_simult = zeros(size(max_simult_lgses));
for i = 1:numel(max_simult_lgses)
    [lgs_req_temp,domains_req_temp,time_req_temp] = DRMfunc(num_stars,total_obs,
    range_LGS,sc_mass_opt_tot,sc_fuel_nom,sc_isp_nom,sc_max_thrust_nom,
```

```
total_mission_time,exp_time,exp_acc,max_simult_lgses(i));
    lgs_req_simult(i) = lgs_req_temp;
    domains_req_simult(i) = domains_req_temp;
    time_req_simult(i) = time_req_temp;
end
% Looking at increasing the number of stars, observations, and mission
\% times...getting some weird results here. Going from 19 needed for stock
% LUVOIR to 43 needed for observing twice as many stars (and twice as many
\% observations) in the same time is reasonable, but it's saying we can get
\% that done in 1.9 years when we needed 3.5 for stock LUVOIR is a little
% weird...something for future work.
nums_stars = [num_stars, num_stars, num_stars*2, num_stars*2];
totals_obs = [total_obs, total_obs*2, total_obs*2];
total_mission_times = [total_mission_time, total_mission_time*2, total_mission_time,
    total_mission_time*2];
new_missions_titles = categorical({'1x stars, 1x obs, 1x dur (stock)','1x stars, 2x
   obs, 2x dur','2x stars, 2x obs, 1x dur','2x stars, 2x obs, 2x dur'});
lgs_req_nstars = zeros(size(nums_stars));
domains_req_nstars = zeros(size(nums_stars));
time_req_nstars = zeros(size(nums_stars));
for i = 1:numel(nums_stars)
    [lgs_req_temp,domains_req_temp,time_req_temp] = DRMfunc(nums_stars(i),totals_obs(
    i),range_LGS,sc_mass_opt_tot,sc_fuel_nom,sc_isp_nom,sc_max_thrust_nom,
   total_mission_time,exp_time,exp_acc,max_simult_lgs);
   lgs_req_nstars(i) = lgs_req_temp;
    domains_req_nstars(i) = domains_req_temp;
    time_req_nstars(i) = time_req_temp;
end
figuremass = figure;
plot(sc_masses,lgs_req_mass,sc_mass_opt_tot,lgs_req_opt,'r*','linewidth',2)
legend('Total mass trade','Baseline case')
title('Minimum LGS required vs. LGS mass')
xlabel('LGS mass (kg)')
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
saveas(figuremass, sprintf('DRM-sensit-mass-%.2gk.png',range_LGS/1e6))
figurefuel = figure;
plot(sc_fuels,lgs_req_fuel,sc_fuel_nom,lgs_req_opt,'r*','linewidth',2)
legend('Fuel mass trade','Baseline case')
```

```
title('Minimum LGS required vs. LGS fuel mass')
xlabel('LGS fuel mass (kg)')
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
saveas(figurefuel, sprintf('DRM-sensit-fuel-%.2gk.png', range_LGS/1e6))
figurerange = figure;
plot(ranges_LGS/1e6,lgs_req_range,range_LGS/1e6,lgs_req_opt,'r*','linewidth',2)
legend('LGS range trade', 'Baseline case')
title('Minimum LGS required vs. Telescope-LGS range')
xlabel('Telescope-LGS range (1000's km)')
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
saveas(figurerange, sprintf('DRM-sensit-range-%.2gk.png',range_LGS/1e6))
figuresimult = figure;
plot(max_simult_lgses,lgs_req_simult,domains_req_opt,lgs_req_opt,'r*','linewidth',2)
legend('Max active trade','Baseline case')
title('Minimum LGS required vs. Max LGS simult.')
xlabel('Maximum LGS simultaneously active')
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
saveas(figuresimult,sprintf('DRM-sensit-domains-%.2gk.png',range_LGS/1e6))
figuresimulttime = figure;
plot(max_simult_lgses,time_req_simult/yrsec,domains_req_opt,time_req_opt/yrsec,'r*',
    max_simult_lgses,5*ones(size(max_simult_lgses)),'linewidth',2)
legend('Max active trade','Baseline case','5-year limit')
ylim([0 17])
title('Time required for campaign vs. Max LGS simult.')
xlabel('Maximum LGS simultaneously active')
ylabel('Years to execute survey campaign')
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
saveas(figuresimulttime, sprintf('DRM-sensit-domains-time-%.2gk.png',range_LGS/1e6))
figurenstars = figure;
bar(new_missions_titles,lgs_req_nstars)
```

## B.5.4 DRMfunc

The main function for evaluating a design reference mission, invoked by DRM\_prop\_options and DRM\_sensitivity.

close all % Jim's first DRM

```
% num_stars = 350;
% total_obs = 450; % 350 + revisit top 100
\% Jim's second DRM (actually the first computed using this code) based on hearsay
    (350 stars, 1000 observations)
% num_stars = 350;
% total_obs = num_pts*2.8; % 2 observations for all targets, plus 8 more (10 total)
   for top 10%
exp_time = mean(obs_dur)*daysec; % Average time from Chris's schedule
exp_acc = max_bg_acc; % From OrbitCalcs2dome3 calculations
max_simult_lgs = 60; % Let's say we want as many as 30 of these things active at once
    . . .
[lgs_req_std,domains_req_std,time_req_std] = DRMfunc(num_stars,total_obs,range_LGS,
    sc_mass,sc_fuel_nom,sc_isp_nom,sc_max_thrust_nom,total_mission_time,exp_time,
    exp_acc,max_simult_lgs);
[lgs_req_opt,domains_req_opt,time_req_opt] = DRMfunc(num_stars,total_obs,range_LGS,
    sc_mass_opt_tot,sc_fuel_nom,sc_isp_nom,sc_max_thrust_nom,total_mission_time,
    exp_time,exp_acc,max_simult_lgs);
sc_masses = 12:0.1:30;
lgs_req_mass = zeros(size(sc_masses));
domains_req_mass = zeros(size(sc_masses));
time_req_mass = zeros(size(sc_masses));
for i = 1:numel(sc_masses)
    [lgs_req_temp,domains_req_temp,time_req_temp] = DRMfunc(num_stars,total_obs,
   range_LGS,sc_masses(i),sc_fuel_nom,sc_isp_nom,sc_max_thrust_nom,
   total_mission_time,exp_time,exp_acc,max_simult_lgs);
    lgs_req_mass(i) = lgs_req_temp;
   domains_req_mass(i) = domains_req_temp;
    time_req_mass(i) = time_req_temp;
end
sc_fuels = 1.0:0.1:3.0;
lgs_req_fuel = zeros(size(sc_fuels));
domains_req_fuel = zeros(size(sc_fuels));
time_req_fuel = zeros(size(sc_fuels));
```

```
for i = 1:numel(sc_fuels)
    [lgs_req_temp,domains_req_temp,time_req_temp] = DRMfunc(num_stars,total_obs,
    range_LGS,sc_mass_opt + sc_prop_dry_nom + sc_fuels(i),sc_fuels(i),sc_isp_nom,
    sc_max_thrust_nom,total_mission_time,exp_time,exp_acc,max_simult_lgs);
   lgs_req_fuel(i) = lgs_req_temp;
    domains_req_fuel(i) = domains_req_temp;
    time_req_fuel(i) = time_req_temp;
end
ranges_LGS = 10e6:1e6:100e6;
lgs_req_range = zeros(size(ranges_LGS));
domains_req_range = zeros(size(ranges_LGS));
time_req_range = zeros(size(ranges_LGS));
for i = 1:numel(ranges_LGS)
    [lgs_req_temp,domains_req_temp,time_req_temp] = DRMfunc(num_stars,total_obs,
    ranges_LGS(i),sc_mass_opt_tot,sc_fuel_nom,sc_isp_nom,sc_max_thrust_nom,
    total_mission_time,exp_time,exp_acc,max_simult_lgs);
    lgs_req_range(i) = lgs_req_temp;
    domains_req_range(i) = domains_req_temp;
    time_req_range(i) = time_req_temp;
end
max_simult_lgses = 1:30;
lgs_req_simult = zeros(size(max_simult_lgses));
domains_req_simult = zeros(size(max_simult_lgses));
time_req_simult = zeros(size(max_simult_lgses));
for i = 1:numel(max_simult_lgses)
    [lgs_req_temp,domains_req_temp,time_req_temp] = DRMfunc(num_stars,total_obs,
   range_LGS,sc_mass_opt_tot,sc_fuel_nom,sc_isp_nom,sc_max_thrust_nom,
   total_mission_time,exp_time,exp_acc,max_simult_lgses(i));
    lgs_req_simult(i) = lgs_req_temp;
    domains_req_simult(i) = domains_req_temp;
    time_req_simult(i) = time_req_temp;
end
\% Looking at increasing the number of stars, observations, and mission
\% times...getting some weird results here. Going from 19 needed for stock
\% LUVOIR to 43 needed for observing twice as many stars (and twice as many
\% observations) in the same time is reasonable, but it's saying we can get
\% that done in 1.9 years when we needed 3.5 for stock LUVOIR is a little
% weird...something for future work.
```

```
nums_stars = [num_stars, num_stars, num_stars*2, num_stars*2];
totals_obs = [total_obs, total_obs*2, total_obs*2];
total_mission_times = [total_mission_time, total_mission_time*2, total_mission_time,
    total_mission_time*2];
new_missions_titles = categorical({'1x stars, 1x obs, 1x dur (stock)','1x stars, 2x
    obs, 2x dur','2x stars, 2x obs, 1x dur','2x stars, 2x obs, 2x dur'});
lgs_req_nstars = zeros(size(nums_stars));
domains_req_nstars = zeros(size(nums_stars));
time_req_nstars = zeros(size(nums_stars));
for i = 1:numel(nums_stars)
    [lgs_req_temp,domains_req_temp,time_req_temp] = DRMfunc(nums_stars(i),totals_obs(
   i),range_LGS,sc_mass_opt_tot,sc_fuel_nom,sc_isp_nom,sc_max_thrust_nom,
   total_mission_time,exp_time,exp_acc,max_simult_lgs);
   lgs_req_nstars(i) = lgs_req_temp;
    domains_req_nstars(i) = domains_req_temp;
    time_req_nstars(i) = time_req_temp;
end
figuremass = figure;
plot(sc_masses,lgs_req_mass,sc_mass_opt_tot,lgs_req_opt,'r*','linewidth',2)
legend('Total mass trade','Baseline case')
title('Minimum LGS required vs. LGS mass')
xlabel('LGS mass (kg)')
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
saveas(figuremass, sprintf('DRM-sensit-mass-%.2gk.png',range_LGS/1e6))
figurefuel = figure;
plot(sc_fuels,lgs_req_fuel,sc_fuel_nom,lgs_req_opt,'r*','linewidth',2)
legend('Fuel mass trade','Baseline case')
title('Minimum LGS required vs. LGS fuel mass')
xlabel('LGS fuel mass (kg)')
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
saveas(figurefuel,sprintf('DRM-sensit-fuel-%.2gk.png',range_LGS/1e6))
figurerange = figure;
plot(ranges_LGS/1e6,lgs_req_range,range_LGS/1e6,lgs_req_opt,'r*','linewidth',2)
legend('LGS range trade','Baseline case')
title('Minimum LGS required vs. Telescope-LGS range')
xlabel('Telescope-LGS range (1000''s km)')
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
saveas(figurerange, sprintf('DRM-sensit-range-%.2gk.png',range_LGS/1e6))
```

```
figuresimult = figure;
plot(max_simult_lgses,lgs_req_simult,domains_req_opt,lgs_req_opt,'r*','linewidth',2)
legend('Max active trade','Baseline case')
title('Minimum LGS required vs. Max LGS simult.')
xlabel('Maximum LGS simultaneously active')
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
saveas(figuresimult,sprintf('DRM-sensit-domains-%.2gk.png',range_LGS/1e6))
figuresimulttime = figure;
plot(max_simult_lgses,time_req_simult/yrsec,domains_req_opt,time_req_opt/yrsec,'r*',
    max_simult_lgses,5*ones(size(max_simult_lgses)),'linewidth',2)
legend('Max active trade','Baseline case','5-year limit')
ylim([0 17])
title('Time required for campaign vs. Max LGS simult.')
xlabel('Maximum LGS simultaneously active')
ylabel('Years to execute survey campaign')
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
saveas(figuresimulttime, sprintf('DRM-sensit-domains-time-%.2gk.png', range_LGS/1e6))
figurenstars = figure;
bar(new_missions_titles,lgs_req_nstars)
```

#### B.5.5 StarkSkymap\_TSP

Uses MATLAB's intlinprog solver to solve the Traveling Salesman Problem for the list of star targets. This program takes longer to run than all the rest put together, so it saves its result for later reuse.

```
% Run StarkSkymap first to initialize starlats and starlons
close all;
nstars = numel(starlats);
sc_max_acc = sc_max_thrust_nom/sc_mass_opt_tot;
idxs = nchoosek(1:nstars,2);
[arclens az] = distance(starlats(idxs(:,1)),starlons(idxs(:,1)),...
starlats(idxs(:,2)),starlons(idxs(:,2)));
arcrads = deg2rad(arclens);
costs = 2*sqrt(range_LGS.*sc_max_acc.*arcrads);
```

```
lendist = length(costs);
G = graph(idxs(:,1),idxs(:,2));
figureChart = figure;
hGraph = plot(G,'XData', starlons-360.*(starlons>180),'YData', starlats,'LineStyle','
   none','NodeLabel',{});
figureMap = figure;
axesm('MapProjection', 'robinson', 'Grid', 'on', 'GLineWidth',2)
p1 = scatterm(starlats,starlons,'*', 'linewidth', 2,'DisplayName','Stark 2015 targets
    '):
%%
Aeq = spalloc(nstars, length(idxs), nstars*(nstars-1)); % Allocate a sparse matrix
for ii = 1:nstars
    whichIdxs = (idxs == ii); % Find the trips that include stop ii
    whichIdxs = sparse(sum(whichIdxs,2)); % Include trips where ii is at either end
    Aeq(ii,:) = whichIdxs'; % Include in the constraint matrix
end
beq = 2*ones(nstars,1);
intcon = 1:lendist;
lb = zeros(lendist,1);
ub = ones(lendist,1);
%%
opts = optimoptions('intlinprog');
[x_tsp,costopt,exitflag,output] = intlinprog(costs,intcon,[],[],Aeq,beq,lb,ub,opts);
x_tsp = logical(round(x_tsp));
Gsol = graph(idxs(x_tsp,1),idxs(x_tsp,2));
%%
highlight(hGraph,Gsol,'LineStyle','-')
tourIdxs = conncomp(Gsol);
numtours = max(tourIdxs); % number of subtours
fprintf('# of subtours: %d\n',numtours);
%%
A = spalloc(0,lendist,0); % Allocate a sparse linear inequality constraint matrix
b = [];
```

```
while numtours > 1 % Repeat until there is just one subtour
   % Add the subtour constraints
   b = [b;zeros(numtours,1)]; % allocate b
   A = [A; spalloc(numtours,lendist,nstars)]; % A guess at how many nonzeros to
   allocate
   for ii = 1:numtours
        rowIdx = size(A,1) + 1; % Counter for indexing
        subTourIdx = find(tourIdxs == ii); % Extract the current subtour
          The next lines find all of the variables associated with the
%
%
         particular subtour, then add an inequality constraint to prohibit
%
         that subtour and all subtours that use those stops.
        variations = nchoosek(1:length(subTourIdx),2);
        for jj = 1:length(variations)
            whichVar = (sum(idxs==subTourIdx(variations(jj,1)),2)) & ...
                       (sum(idxs==subTourIdx(variations(jj,2)),2));
            A(rowIdx, whichVar) = 1;
        end
        b(rowIdx) = length(subTourIdx) - 1; % One less trip than subtour stops
    end
    % Try to optimize again
    [x_tsp,costopt,exitflag,output] = intlinprog(costs,intcon,A,b,Aeq,beq,lb,ub,opts)
    x_tsp = logical(round(x_tsp));
    Gsol = graph(idxs(x_tsp,1),idxs(x_tsp,2));
    % Visualize result
    hGraph.LineStyle = 'none'; % Remove the previous highlighted path
    highlight(hGraph,Gsol,'LineStyle','-')
    drawnow
   % How many subtours this time?
   tourIdxs = conncomp(Gsol);
    numtours = max(tourIdxs); % number of subtours
    fprintf('# of subtours: %d\n',numtours)
end
%%
save('stark_skymap_tsp.mat','Gsol')
%%
dists = 1:numel(Gsol.Edges);
```

```
for i = 1:numel(Gsol.Edges)
    dists(i) = distance(starlats(Gsol.Edges{i,1}(1)), starlons(Gsol.Edges{i,1}(1)),...
        starlats(Gsol.Edges{i,1}(2)),starlons(Gsol.Edges{i,1}(2)));
end
dists = deg2rad(dists);
times = 2*sqrt(range_LGS.*dists./sc_max_acc);
days = times/86400;
dvs = times.*sc_max_acc;
%%
figureGlobe = figure;
axesm('globe','Grid','on','GLineWidth',2,'MeridianLabel','on','MLabelParallel','
    equator', 'ParallelLabel', 'on', 'PLabelMeridian', 'prime')
p1 = scatterm(starlats, starlons, '*', 'linewidth', 2, 'DisplayName', 'Stark 2015 targets
    ');
p2 = scatterm(deeplats,deeplons,'rv', 'linewidth', 2);
p3 = scatterm(brightlats, brightlons, 'g+', 'linewidth', 2);
% legend([p1 p3 p2],{'Stark 2015 targets','Magnitude 2 stars','Hubble/Chandra deep
    fields'})
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
for i = 1:numel(Gsol.Edges)
    plot3m([starlats(Gsol.Edges{i,1}(1)) starlats(Gsol.Edges{i,1}(2))],...
        [starlons(Gsol.Edges{i,1}(1)) starlons(Gsol.Edges{i,1}(2))],...
        [0.02 0.02], 'k-', 'LineWidth', 2);
end
base = zeros(180,360);
baseR = georefcells([-90 90],[0 360],size(base));
copperColor = [0.62 \ 0.38 \ 0.24];
geoshow(base,baseR,'FaceColor',copperColor)
camlight right
material([.8 .9 .4])
saveas(figureGlobe,'skyglobe.png')
%%
figureBar = figure;
bar(days)
```

#### B.5.6 ham\_StarkSkymap

Uses Pramit Biswas's Hamiltonian code [8] to process the graph produced by StarkSkymap\_TSP into a Hamiltonian route, *i.e.* a list of stars visited in order.

```
hamStark = hamiltonian(adjacency(Gsol),1,1);
```

#### B.5.7 StarkSchedule

A simple greedy scheduler, for assigning observations to LGS spacecraft. This code rigidly adheres to the schedule of observations in order of scientific priority (*i.e.* expected exo-Earth yield).

```
\% Ingests the list of observations from Stark, verbatim, rigidly fits them
% into a schedule of evenly-spaced observations over four years, and
\% schedules LGSs accordingly. Levels out around 34 LGSs required, should
% only need 20 or so.
% start by running StarkSkymap
close all;
obs_asgn = zeros(size(obs_cts));
lgs_count = 1;
lgs_dv = dvcap;
lgs_dvs = dvcap;
speed_factor = 0.23; % reduce this to force the LGS to transit more slowly than max
    speed
% Empirically, 0.23 seems to have the best results.
sc_max_acc = (sc_max_thrust_nom/sc_mass_opt_tot)*speed_factor;
while sum(obs_asgn==0) > 0
    unasgn = find(obs_asgn==0);
%
      disp(numel(unasgn))
%
      disp(lgs_count)
    curr_idx = unasgn(1);
```

```
exp_dv = max_bg_acc*daysec*obs_dur(curr_idx);
if(exp_dv > lgs_dv)
    lgs_dvs(lgs_count) = lgs_dv;
    lgs_count = lgs_count + 1;
    lgs_dv = dvcap;
end
lgs_dv = lgs_dv - exp_dv;
obs_asgn(curr_idx) = lgs_count;
curr_starid = obs_ids(curr_idx);
curr_starlat = starlats(find(starids==curr_starid));
curr_starlon = starlons(find(starids==curr_starid));
next_obs = 2;
while next_obs <= numel(unasgn)</pre>
    test_idx = unasgn(next_obs);
    test_starid = obs_ids(test_idx);
    test_starlat = starlats(find(starids==test_starid));
    test_starlon = starlons(find(starids==test_starid));
    test_dist = deg2rad(distance(curr_starlat,curr_starlon,test_starlat,
test_starlon));
    transit_time_req = ((test_idx-curr_idx)*total_mission_time/total_obs)-daysec*
obs_dur(curr_idx);
    transit_time_actual = 2*sqrt(range_LGS*test_dist/sc_max_acc);
    transit_dv = transit_time_actual*sc_max_acc + max_bg_acc*daysec*obs_dur(
curr_idx);
    if (transit_time_actual < transit_time_req) && (transit_dv < lgs_dv)</pre>
        curr_idx = test_idx;
        lgs_dv = lgs_dv - transit_dv;
        obs_asgn(curr_idx) = lgs_count;
        curr_starid = obs_ids(curr_idx);
        curr_starlat = starlats(find(starids==curr_starid));
        curr_starlon = starlons(find(starids==curr_starid));
    \verb"end"
    next_obs = next_obs + 1;
```

```
end
```

```
if sum(obs_asgn==0) > 0
       lgs_dvs(lgs_count) = lgs_dv;
        lgs_count = lgs_count + 1;
       lgs_dv = dvcap;
    end
end
lgs_dvs(lgs_count) = lgs_dv;
num_sats = max(obs_asgn);
disp(num_sats)
C_obs = cell(num_sats,3);
obs_per_sat = zeros(size(1:num_sats));
for i = 1:num_sats
   obs_per_sat(i) = sum(obs_asgn==i);
   obs_made = obs_ids(find(obs_asgn == i));
   obs_idxs = zeros(size(obs_made));
   for j = 1:obs_per_sat(i)
        obs_idxs(j) = find(starids == obs_made(j));
    end
   obs_lats = starlats(obs_idxs);
   obs_lons = starlons(obs_idxs);
   C_obs{i,1} = obs_idxs;
   C_obs{i,2} = obs_lats;
   C_obs{i,3} = obs_lons;
end
figOPS = figure;
plot(obs_per_sat,'linewidth',2)
title('Nr. obs. supported by each LGS satellite')
xlabel('LGS satellite number')
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
saveas(figOPS,'StarkSchedule_rigid_obs_per_sat.png')
figCloud = figure;
plot(obs_asgn,'x','linewidth',2)
title('Observations supported by each LGS satellite')
```

```
xlabel('Observation number')
ylabel('LGS satellite number')
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
saveas(figCloud,'StarkSchedule_rigid_obs_cloud.png')
% figure;
% hold on
% plot(lgs_times/daysec)
% plot([0 num_sats],[total_mission_time/daysec total_mission_time/daysec])
% hold off
% title('Days of engagement by LGS spacecraft')
% legend('LGS operation time','Max mission duration')
figDV = figure;
hold on
plot(lgs_dvs,'linewidth',2)
plot([0 num_sats],[dvcap dvcap],'linewidth',2)
hold off
title('dV remaining in each LGS spacecraft')
legend('LGS dV remaining','Initial dV capacity')
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
saveas(figDV,'StarkSchedule_rigid_dv_remaining.png')
colors_for_plot = lines(num_sats);
figureMap = figure;
axesm('MapProjection', 'robinson', 'Grid', 'on', 'GLineWidth',2)
% axesm('MapProjection','stereo','MapLatLimit',[-83 -90],'PLineLocation',1,'
    ParallelLabel', 'on', 'Grid', 'on', 'GLineWidth',2)
p1 = scatterm(starlats,starlons,'*', 'linewidth', 2);
p2 = scatterm(deeplats,deeplons,'rv', 'linewidth', 2);
p3 = scatterm(brightlats, brightlons, 'g+', 'linewidth', 2);
% legend([p1 p3 p2],{'Stark 2015 targets','Magnitude 2 stars','Hubble/Chandra deep
   fields '})
for i = [1 \ 10 \ floor(num_sats/7)*7]
    plotm(C_obs{i,2},C_obs{i,3},'Color',colors_for_plot(i,:), 'linewidth', 2)
end
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
saveas(figureMap,'StarkSchedule_rigid_map.png')
```

#### B.5.8 StarkScheduleAltB

An attempted evolution of StarkSchedule that only assigns 'nearby' observations to LGS spacecraft, to keep their movements segmented. Unfortunately it doesn't yet ensure that the segments are butted against each other, so it actually performs worse (*i.e.* assigns more LGS spacecraft) than StarkSchedule.

```
\% Ingests the list of observations from Stark, verbatim, and allows
\% satellites to run a little over time as long as the total schedule is
% respected.
% start by running StarkSkymap
close all
obs_asgn = zeros(size(obs_cts));
lgs_count = 1;
lgs_dv = dvcap;
lgs_time = 0;
lgs_dvs = dvcap;
lgs_times = 0;
speed_factor = 0.18; % reduce this to force the LGS to transit more slowly than max
   speed
% Empirically, X seems to have the best results.
sc_max_acc = (sc_max_thrust_nom/sc_mass_opt_tot)*speed_factor;
while sum(obs_asgn==0) > 0
    unasgn = find(obs_asgn==0);
%
      disp(numel(unasgn))
%
      disp(lgs_count)
    curr_idx = unasgn(1);
    exp_time = daysec*obs_dur(curr_idx);
    exp_dv = avg_acc*exp_time;
    if (exp_dv > lgs_dv) || (lgs_time + exp_time > total_mission_time)
        lgs_dvs(lgs_count) = lgs_dv;
```

```
lgs_times(lgs_count) = lgs_time;
    lgs_count = lgs_count + 1;
    lgs_dv = dvcap;
    lgs_time = 0;
end
lgs_dv = lgs_dv - exp_dv;
lgs_time = lgs_time + exp_time;
obs_asgn(curr_idx) = lgs_count;
curr_starid = obs_ids(curr_idx);
curr_starlat = starlats(find(starids==curr_starid));
curr_starlon = starlons(find(starids==curr_starid));
next_obs = 2;
while next_obs <= numel(unasgn)</pre>
    test_idx = unasgn(next_obs);
    test_starid = obs_ids(test_idx);
    test_starlat = starlats(find(starids==test_starid));
    test_starlon = starlons(find(starids==test_starid));
    test_dist = deg2rad(distance(curr_starlat,curr_starlon,test_starlat,
test_starlon));
    transit_time_req = 1.5*((test_idx-curr_idx)*total_mission_time/total_obs)-
daysec*obs_dur(curr_idx);
    transit_time_actual = 2*sqrt(range_LGS*test_dist/sc_max_acc) + daysec*obs_dur
(test_idx);
    transit_dv = transit_time_actual*sc_max_acc + avg_acc*daysec*obs_dur(
test_idx);
    my_visits = find(obs_asgn == lgs_count);
    first_idx = my_visits(1);
    first_starid = obs_ids(first_idx);
    first_starlat = starlats(find(starids==first_starid));
    first_starlon = starlons(find(starids==first_starid));
    test_dist_from_home = deg2rad(distance(first_starlat,first_starlon,
test_starlat,test_starlon));
```

```
162
```

if (transit\_dv < lgs\_dv) && (lgs\_time + transit\_time\_actual <</pre>

```
total_mission_time) && (test_dist > 0) && (transit_time_actual <</pre>
   transit_time_req) && (test_dist_from_home < deg2rad(60))</pre>
            curr_idx = test_idx;
            lgs_dv = lgs_dv - transit_dv;
            lgs_time = lgs_time + transit_time_actual;
            obs_asgn(curr_idx) = lgs_count;
            exp_time = daysec*obs_dur(curr_idx);
            exp_dv = avg_acc*exp_time;
            lgs_dv = lgs_dv - exp_dv;
            lgs_time = lgs_time + exp_time;
            curr_starid = obs_ids(curr_idx);
            curr_starlat = starlats(find(starids==curr_starid));
            curr_starlon = starlons(find(starids==curr_starid));
        end
        next_obs = next_obs + 1;
    end
   if sum(obs_asgn==0) > 0
        lgs_dvs(lgs_count) = lgs_dv;
        lgs_times(lgs_count) = lgs_time;
        lgs_count = lgs_count + 1;
        lgs_dv = dvcap;
        lgs_time = 0;
    end
end
lgs_dvs(lgs_count) = lgs_dv;
lgs_times(lgs_count) = lgs_time;
num_sats = max(obs_asgn);
disp(num_sats)
C_obs = cell(num_sats,3);
obs_per_sat = zeros(size(1:num_sats));
for i = 1:num_sats
   obs_per_sat(i) = sum(obs_asgn==i);
```

```
obs_made = obs_ids(find(obs_asgn == i));
    obs_idxs = zeros(size(obs_made));
   for j = 1:obs_per_sat(i)
        obs_idxs(j) = find(starids == obs_made(j));
    end
   obs_lats = starlats(obs_idxs);
   obs_lons = starlons(obs_idxs);
    C_obs{i,1} = obs_idxs;
   C_obs{i,2} = obs_lats;
   C_obs{i,3} = obs_lons;
end
figOPS = figure;
plot(obs_per_sat,'linewidth',2)
title('Nr. obs. supported by each LGS satellite')
xlabel('LGS satellite number')
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
saveas(figOPS,'StarkSchedule_flex_obs_per_sat.png')
figCloud = figure;
plot(obs_asgn,'x','linewidth',2)
title('Observations supported by each LGS satellite')
xlabel('Observation number')
ylabel('LGS satellite number')
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
saveas(figCloud,'StarkSchedule_flex_obs_cloud.png')
figTime = figure;
hold on
plot(lgs_times/daysec,'linewidth',2)
plot([0 num_sats],[total_mission_time/daysec total_mission_time/daysec],'linewidth'
    ,2)
hold off
title('Days of engagement by LGS spacecraft')
legend('LGS operation time', 'Max mission duration')
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
saveas(figTime,'StarkSchedule_flex_time_remaining.png')
figDV = figure;
hold on
plot(lgs_dvs,'linewidth',2)
plot([0 num_sats],[dvcap dvcap],'linewidth',2)
hold off
title('dV remaining in each LGS spacecraft')
```

```
legend('LGS dV remaining','Initial dV capacity')
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
saveas(figDV,'StarkSchedule_flex_dv_remaining.png')
colors_for_plot = lines(num_sats);
figureMap = figure;
axesm('MapProjection', 'robinson', 'Grid', 'on', 'GLineWidth',2)
% axesm('MapProjection','stereo','MapLatLimit',[-83 -90],'PLineLocation',1,'
    ParallelLabel', 'on', 'Grid', 'on', 'GLineWidth', 2)
p1 = scatterm(starlats,starlons,'*', 'linewidth', 2);
p2 = scatterm(deeplats,deeplons,'rv', 'linewidth', 2);
p3 = scatterm(brightlats, brightlons, 'g+', 'linewidth', 2);
% legend([p1 p3 p2],{'Stark 2015 targets','Magnitude 2 stars','Hubble/Chandra deep
   fields'})
for i = [1 10 floor(num_sats/7)*7]
    plotm(C_obs{i,2},C_obs{i,3},'Color',colors_for_plot(i,:), 'linewidth', 2)
end
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
saveas(figureMap,'StarkSchedule_flex_map.png')
```

## B.5.9 StarkScheduleAltD

A scheduler that ingests the TSP solution and segments it among LGS spacecraft.

```
% This one is based on the TSP single-salesman circuit -- each LGS will
% pick up stars until it can't anymore, and then hand off to the next.
% Trying to fit a full circuit into 1/6th the time and dV budget.
% Needs to run ham_StarkSkymap first.
close all
lgs_count = 1;
lgs_dv = dvcap/6;
lgs_time = 0;
lgs_dvs = dvcap/6;
lgs_times = 0;
```

```
exp_time = mean(obs_dur)*daysec; % Average time from Chris's schedule
exp_dv = avg_acc*exp_time;
obs_asgn = zeros(size(starids));
{\tt speed\_factor} = 0.19; % reduce this to force the LGS to transit more slowly than max
   speed
\% Empirically works down to 13 sats required (yay!) at ~0.19
sc_max_acc = (sc_max_thrust_nom/sc_mass_opt_tot)*speed_factor;
for i = 1:numel(starids)
   if (exp_dv > lgs_dv) || (lgs_time + exp_time > total_mission_time/6)
        lgs_dvs(lgs_count) = lgs_dv;
        lgs_times(lgs_count) = lgs_time;
        lgs_count = lgs_count + 1;
        lgs_dv = dvcap/6;
        lgs_time = 0;
    end
   obs_asgn(i) = lgs_count;
    lgs_dv = lgs_dv - exp_dv;
   lgs_time = lgs_time + exp_time;
    curr_starid = starids(hamStark(i));
    curr_starlat = starlats(hamStark(i));
    curr_starlon = starlons(hamStark(i));
   next_starid = starids(hamStark(i+1));
   next_starlat = starlats(hamStark(i+1));
   next_starlon = starlons(hamStark(i+1));
   next_dist = deg2rad(distance(curr_starlat,curr_starlon,next_starlat,next_starlon)
   );
    transit_time = 2*sqrt(range_LGS*next_dist/sc_max_acc);
   transit_dv = transit_time*sc_max_acc;
   if (transit_dv < lgs_dv) && (lgs_time + transit_time < total_mission_time/6)</pre>
        lgs_dv = lgs_dv - transit_dv;
        lgs_time = lgs_time + transit_time;
    else
```

```
lgs_dvs(lgs_count) = lgs_dv;
        lgs_times(lgs_count) = lgs_time;
        lgs_count = lgs_count + 1;
       lgs_dv = dvcap/6;
        lgs_time = 0;
    end
end
lgs_dvs(lgs_count) = lgs_dv;
lgs_times(lgs_count) = lgs_time;
num_sats = max(obs_asgn);
disp(num_sats)
C_obs = cell(num_sats,3);
obs_per_sat = zeros(size(1:num_sats));
for i = 1:num_sats
   obs_per_sat(i) = sum(obs_asgn==i);
   obs_made = starids(hamStark(find(obs_asgn == i)));
   obs_lats = starlats(hamStark(find(obs_asgn == i)));
   obs_lons = starlons(hamStark(find(obs_asgn == i)));
   C_obs{i,1} = obs_made;
   C_obs{i,2} = obs_lats;
    C_obs{i,3} = obs_lons;
end
figOPS = figure;
plot(6*obs_per_sat,'linewidth',2)
ylim([0 6*25])
title('Nr. obs. supported by each LGS satellite')
xlabel('LGS satellite number')
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
saveas(figOPS,'StarkSchedule_tsp_obs_per_sat.png')
figCloud = figure;
plot(obs_asgn,'x','linewidth',2)
title('Observations supported by each LGS satellite')
xlabel('Observation number')
ylabel('LGS satellite number')
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
```

```
saveas(figCloud,'StarkSchedule_tsp_obs_cloud.png')
figTime = figure;
hold on
plot(6*lgs_times/daysec,'linewidth',2)
plot([0 num_sats],[total_mission_time/(daysec) total_mission_time/(daysec)],'
   linewidth',2)
hold off
ylim([0 365])
title('Days of engagement by LGS spacecraft')
legend('LGS operation time','Max mission duration')
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
saveas(figTime,'StarkSchedule_tsp_time_remaining.png')
figDV = figure;
hold on
plot(lgs_dvs*6,'linewidth',2)
plot([0 num_sats],[dvcap dvcap],'linewidth',2)
hold off
title('dV remaining in each LGS spacecraft')
legend('LGS dV remaining','Initial dV capacity')
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
saveas(figDV,'StarkSchedule_tsp_dv_remaining.png')
colors_for_plot = lines(num_sats);
figureMap = figure;
axesm('MapProjection', 'robinson', 'Grid', 'on', 'GLineWidth',2)
% axesm('MapProjection','stereo','MapLatLimit',[-83 -90],'PLineLocation',1,'
    ParallelLabel', 'on', 'Grid', 'on', 'GLineWidth',2)
p1 = scatterm(starlats,starlons,'*', 'linewidth', 2);
p2 = scatterm(deeplats,deeplons,'rv', 'linewidth', 2);
p3 = scatterm(brightlats, brightlons, 'g+', 'linewidth', 2);
% legend([p1 p3 p2],{'Stark 2015 targets','Magnitude 2 stars','Hubble/Chandra deep
   fields'})
for i = 9
    plotm(C_obs{i,2},C_obs{i,3},'Color',colors_for_plot(i,:), 'linewidth', 2)
end
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
saveas(figureMap,'StarkSchedule_tsp_map.png')
figureGlobe = figure;
```

```
axesm('globe','Grid','on','GLineWidth',2,'MeridianLabel','on','MLabelParallel','
    equator', 'ParallelLabel', 'on', 'PLabelMeridian', 'prime')
p1 = scatterm(starlats,starlons,'*', 'linewidth', 2);
p2 = scatterm(deeplats,deeplons,'rv', 'linewidth', 2);
p3 = scatterm(brightlats, brightlons, 'g+', 'linewidth', 2);
% legend([p1 p3 p2],{'Stark 2015 targets','Magnitude 2 stars','Hubble/Chandra deep
    fields'})
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
for i = 1:num_sats
    plot3m(C_obs{i,2}, C_obs{i,3}, 0.02*ones(size(C_obs{i,1})), 'Color', colors_for_plot(
   i,:), 'linewidth', 2)
end
base = zeros(180,360);
baseR = georefcells([-90 90],[0 360],size(base));
copperColor = [0.62 \ 0.38 \ 0.24];
geoshow(base,baseR,'FaceColor',copperColor)
camlight right
material([.8 .9 .4])
saveas(figureGlobe,'StarkSchedule_tsp_globe.png')
```

## B.5.10 seed\_tsp\_stars

Uses Joseph Kirk's TSP Genetic Algorithm code [30] to solve for an optimal path among the nodes in a Fibonacci spiral, which MATLAB's intlinprog did not handle very well (due to the narrow "dynamic range" between the optimal solution and similar "good enough" solutions). Kirk's code also includes routines for solving the multisalesman problem, which will be investigated in the future for further optimization of the LGS scheduler.

```
nstars = 259;
idxs = 1:nstars;
phi = acos(1-2.*(idxs-0.5)./nstars);
theta = pi*(1+sqrt(5))*(idxs-0.5);
x = 1.0*cos(theta).*sin(phi);
y = 1.0*sin(theta).*sin(phi);
z = 1.0*cos(phi);
```

```
xyz = [x', y', z'];
resultStruct = tsp_nn('xy',xyz);
%%
resultStruct2 = tsp_ga(resultStruct);
%%
save('tsp_fib.mat', 'resultStruct', 'resultStruct2');
%%
srcs = resultStruct2.optSolution(1:nstars);
dests = resultStruct2.optSolution(2:nstars+1);
dists = sqrt((x(srcs)-x(dests)).^2 + (y(srcs)-y(dests)).^2) + (z(srcs)-z(dests)).^2;
disp(rad2deg(mean(dists)))
figureGlobe2 = figure;
axesm('globe','Grid','on','GLineWidth',2,'MeridianLabel','on','MLabelParallel','
    equator', 'ParallelLabel', 'on', 'PLabelMeridian', 'prime')
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
plot3(x,y,z,'*','LineWidth',2)
for i = 1:nstars
   plot3(1.02*[x(srcs(i)) x(dests(i))],1.02*[y(srcs(i)) y(dests(i))],1.02*[z(srcs(i)
   ) z(dests(i))],'k-','LineWidth',2);
end
base = zeros(180,360);
baseR = georefcells([-90 90],[0 360],size(base));
copperColor = [0.62 0.38 0.24];
geoshow(base,baseR,'FaceColor',copperColor)
camlight right
material([.8 .9 .4])
%%
saveas(figureGlobe2,'skyglobe2.png')
```

# B.6 Pathfinder

## B.6.1 SkyCalcs

Calculates the line-of-sight and targets accessible from various ground telescopes through satellites in geostationary orbit.

```
% Geographic calculations -- requires Mapping toolbox
close all;
% Constants
Re = 6371000;
Rgeo = 42164000;
Ageo = 35786000;
E = wgs84Ellipsoid('meter');
% Locations
Klat = dms2degrees([19 49 35]); % Keck, HI
Klon = dms2degrees([-155 28 27]);
Kalt = 4145;
[Kx,Ky,Kz] = geodetic2ecef(E,Klat,Klon,Kalt);
Mlat = dms2degrees([31 41 18]); % MMT, AZ
Mlon = dms2degrees([-110 53 6]);
Malt = 2616;
[Mx,My,Mz] = geodetic2ecef(E,Mlat,Mlon,Malt);
% GSlat = -30.24073; % Gemini South, Chile
% GSlon = -70.73659;
GSlat = -30.24073; % Giant Magellan, Chile
GSlon = -70.73659;
GSalt = 2722;
[GSx,GSy,GSz] = geodetic2ecef(E,GSlat,GSlon,GSalt);
Glons = -180:0.1:180;
[Gx,Gy,Gz] = geodetic2ecef(E,0,Glons,Ageo);
[Kazs,Kels,Kras] = geodetic2aer(0,Glons,Ageo,Klat,Klon,Kalt,E);
[Mazs,Mels,Mras] = geodetic2aer(0,Glons,Ageo,Mlat,Mlon,Malt,E);
[GSazs,GSels,GSras] = geodetic2aer(0,Glons,Ageo,GSlat,GSlon,GSalt,E);
Kdx = Gx - Kx;
Kdy = Gy - Ky;
Kdz = Gz - Kz;
```

```
Kang = rad2deg(atan2(Kdz, sqrt(Kdx.^2+Kdy.^2)));
Mdx = Gx - Mx;
Mdy = Gy - My;
Mdz = Gz - Mz;
Mang = rad2deg(atan2(Mdz, sqrt(Mdx.^2+Mdy.^2)));
GSdx = Gx - GSx;
GSdy = Gy - GSy;
GSdz = Gz - GSz;
GSang = rad2deg(atan2(GSdz, sqrt(GSdx.^2+GSdy.^2)));
figCombo = figure;
hold on;
plot(Glons,Kang.*(Kels>10), 'linewidth', 2)
plot(Glons, Mang.*(Mels>10), 'linewidth', 2)
plot(Glons,GSang.*(GSels>10), 'linewidth', 2)
plot([9 21.5 25 31],[0 0 0 0],'kx', 'linewidth', 2)
plot([134],[0],'ko', 'linewidth', 2)
rectangle('Position', [-120 -0.2 40 0.4], 'LineWidth', 2)
h=text(9,0.2,'EDRS-A','FontSize',14);
set(h,'Rotation',45);
h=text(19,-0.2,'Artemis','FontSize',14);
set(h,'Rotation',-45);
h=text(25,0.2,'Inmarsat-4A F4','FontSize',14);
set(h, 'Rotation', 45);
h=text(35,-0.2,'EDRS-C','FontSize',14);
set(h,'Rotation',-45);
text(134,0.3,'EDRS-D (TBC)','FontSize',14,'HorizontalAlignment','center');
text(-100,0.5,'LCRD (TBD)','FontSize',14,'HorizontalAlignment','center');
title('Sky coverage of demo with ground telescopes and GEO target(s)')
xlabel('Longitude of GEO target [deg]')
xlim([-180 180])
ylabel('Declination of Telescope-LGS line of sight [deg]')
legend('Keck','MMT','Gemini South')
hold off;
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
saveas(figCombo,'GroundScope-GEO_Sky.png')
set(figCombo,'Units','Inches');
pos = get(figCombo,'Position');
set(figCombo,'PaperPositionMode','Auto','PaperUnits','Inches','PaperSize',[pos(3),
```

```
pos(4)]);
print(figCombo,'GroundScope-GEO_Sky.pdf','-dpdf','-r0')
figMap = figure;
hold on;
title('Lines of sight from ground telescopes through GEO LGS')
plot([0 Re*cosd(Klat) Rgeo Rgeo+0.5*(Rgeo-Re*cosd(Klat))]/1e6,[0 Re*sind(Klat) 0 -0.5
    *Re*sind(Klat)]/1e6, 'linewidth', 2)
plot([0 Re*cosd(Mlat) Rgeo Rgeo+0.5*(Rgeo-Re*cosd(Mlat))]/1e6,[0 Re*sind(Mlat) 0 -0.5
    *Re*sind(Mlat)]/1e6, 'linewidth', 2)
plot([0 Re*cosd(GSlat) Rgeo Rgeo+0.5*(Rgeo-Re*cosd(GSlat))]/1e6,[0 Re*sind(GSlat) 0
    -0.5*Re*sind(GSlat)]/1e6, 'linewidth', 2)
plot(Rgeo/1e6,0,'kx', 'linewidth', 2)
plot([-1e7,7e7]/1e6,[0 0],'k:', 'linewidth', 2)
legend('Keck/TMT','MMT','GMT')
rectangle('Position',[-Re -Re 2*Re 2*Re]/1e6,'Curvature',[1 1], 'linewidth', 2)
ylim([-10 15])
xlabel('1000''s of km')
ylabel('1000''s of km')
%xlim([-10e6 50e6])
daspect([1 1 1])
hold off;
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
saveas(figMap,'GroundScope-GEO_LOS.png')
set(figMap,'Units','Inches');
pos = get(figMap,'Position');
set(figMap,'PaperPositionMode','Auto','PaperUnits','Inches','PaperSize',[pos(3), pos
    (4)]);
print(figMap,'GroundScope-GE0_LOS.pdf','-dpdf','-r0')
```

## B.6.2 SkyCalcsOffGEO

Calculates the delta-V cost to incline an LGS satellite from GEO to access nonequatorial stars.

```
% Geographic calculations -- requires Mapping toolbox
close all;
% Constants
Re = 6371000;
Rgeo = 42164000;
Ageo = 35786000;
Mu = 3.986e14;
```

```
Vgeo = sqrt(Mu/Rgeo);
E = wgs84Ellipsoid('meter');
% Locations
scopelat = dms2degrees([19 49 35]); % Keck, HI
% scopelon = dms2degrees([-155 28 27]);
scopelon = 70;
scopealt = 4145;
scopename = 'Keck';
% scopelat = dms2degrees([31 41 18]); % MMT, AZ
% % scopelon = dms2degrees([-110 53 6]);
% scopelon = 70;
% scopealt = 2616;
% scopename = 'MMT';
% scopelat = -30.24073; % Giant Magellan, Chile
% % scopelon = -70.73659;
% scopelon = 70;
% scopealt = 2722;
% scopename = 'GMT';
[scopex,scopey,scopez] = geodetic2ecef(E,scopelat,scopelon,scopealt);
lgslatvec = -90:90;
lgslonvec = -180:180;
[lgslat,lgslon] = ndgrid(lgslatvec,lgslonvec);
[lgsx,lgsy,lgsz] = geodetic2ecef(E,lgslat,lgslon,Ageo);
dvs = abs((pi/2)*deg2rad(lgslat)*Vgeo);
dx = lgsx-scopex;
dy = lgsy-scopey;
dz = lgsz-scopez;
dec = rad2deg(atan2(dz,sqrt(dx.^2+dy.^2))); % declination
rtas = rad2deg(atan2(dy,dx)); % Right ascension
[azs,els,ras] = geodetic2aer(lgslat,lgslon,Ageo,scopelat,scopelon,scopealt,E);
figureMap = figure;
% Hubble Deep Field (north), HDF South, HU(X)DF/Chandra South
```

```
deeplons = [189.2058,338.2343,53.1625];
deeplats = [62.2161, -60.5507, -27.7914];
axesm('MapProjection', 'robinson', 'Grid', 'on', 'GLineWidth',2)
title(sprintf('Delta-V cost to observe LUVOIR targets from %s',scopename))
p1 = scatterm(starlats,starlons,'*', 'linewidth', 2,'DisplayName','Stark 2015 targets
    '):
p2 = scatterm(deeplats,deeplons,'rv', 'linewidth', 2);
p3 = scatterm(brightlats, brightlons, 'g+', 'linewidth', 2);
legend([p1 p3 p2],{'Stark 2015 targets','Magnitude 2 stars','Hubble/Chandra deep
   fields'})
% [Cel,hel] = contourm(lgslat,lgslon,els);
% [Cel,hel] = contourm(dec,rtas,els);
% clabelm(Cel,hel);
[Cel,hel] = contourm(dec,rtas,els,[10 10], 'linewidth', 2,'LineColor',[1 0 0]);
[Cdv,hdv] = contourm(dec,rtas,dvs, 'linewidth', 2);
tv = clabelm(Cdv,hdv);
tv.set('FontSize',14);
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
saveas(figureMap,sprintf('SkyMap_offGE0 %s.png',scopename))
```

## B.6.3 SkyCalcsOffGE02

Calculates the line-of-sight and targets accessible from various ground telescopes through a satellite in an inclined geosynchronous orbit.

```
% Geographic calculations -- requires Mapping toolbox and Phased Array?!?!
% (for rotx/roty/rotz)
close all;
% Constants
Re = 6371000;
Rgeo = 42164000;
Ageo = 35786000;
Mu = 3.986e14;
Vgeo = sqrt(Mu/Rgeo);
E = wgs84Ellipsoid('meter');
% Locations
```

```
scopelat = dms2degrees([19 49 35]); % Keck, HI
scopelon = dms2degrees([-155 28 27]);
scopealt = 4145;
scopename = 'Keck';
% scopelat = dms2degrees([31 41 18]); % MMT, AZ
% scopelon = dms2degrees([-110 53 6]);
% scopealt = 2616;
% scopename = 'MMT';
% scopelat = -30.24073; % Giant Magellan, Chile
\% scopelon = -70.73659;
% scopealt = 2722;
% scopename = 'GMT';
\% daydeg = 0:360;
daydeg = 154.5:0.0001:157; % Zoom in on star 173
scopelons = scopelon + daydeg;
scopelons = scopelons - 360*(scopelons>180);
scopelats = scopelat*ones(size(daydeg));
scopealts = scopealt*ones(size(daydeg));
[scopex,scopey,scopez] = geodetic2ecef(E,scopelats,scopelons,scopealts);
lgsV0 = 68.5; % true anomaly at epoch (i.e. start of day)
lgsVs = lgsV0 + daydeg;
lgsVs = lgsVs - 360*(lgsVs > 360);
lgsIP = Rgeo*[cosd(lgsVs);...
   sind(lgsVs);...
    zeros(size(daydeg))]; % Column vectors representing in-plane coordinates of LGS.
lgsinc = 15; % inclination in degrees
lgsRAAN = 32.1; % lgs RAAN, degrees
R1 = rotx(lgsinc);
R2 = rotz(lgsRAAN);
lgsvecs = R2*(R1*lgsIP);
lgsx = lgsvecs(1,:);
lgsy = lgsvecs(2,:);
```

```
lgsz = lgsvecs(3,:);
% plot3(lgsx,lgsy,lgsz)
% dvs = abs(deg2rad(lgslat)*Vgeo);
dx = lgsx-scopex;
dy = lgsy-scopey;
dz = lgsz-scopez;
decs = rad2deg(atan2(dz, sqrt(dx.^2+dy.^2))); % declination
rtas = rad2deg(atan2(dy,dx)); % Right ascension
[azs,els,ras] = geodetic2aer(lgslat,lgslon,Ageo,scopelat,scopelon,scopealt,E);
[decs_mat,starlats_mat] = ndgrid(decs,starlats);
[rtas_mat,starlons_mat] = ndgrid(rtas,starlons);
[seps,~] = distance(decs_mat,rtas_mat,starlats_mat,starlons_mat);
% [closest_to_each_tgt,idx_to_each_tgt] = min(seps,[],2);
% disp(min(min(seps)))
%%
figureApproach = figure;
plot(((daydeg-daydeg(1))*24*60*60/360)*(366.25/365.25), seps(:,173), 'linewidth', 2)
title('Angular sep. of GEO LGS from target star')
xlabel('Time in encounter (sec)')
ylabel('Angle separation (deg)')
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
saveas(figureApproach,'GroundScope_OffGEO_window.png')
%%
figureApproachZoom = figure;
hold on
plot(((daydeg-daydeg(1))*24*60*60/360)*(366.25/365.25), seps(:,173)*3600, 'linewidth',
    2)
plot([272 282],[60 60],'-.', 'linewidth', 2)
plot([272 282],[25 25],'--', 'linewidth', 2)
hold off
legend('GEO LGS separation', 'Keck max distance to ground LGS', 'Keck max distance to
   NGS')
ylim([0 70])
title('Angular sep. of GEO LGS from target star')
xlabel('Time in encounter (sec)')
```

```
ylabel('Angle separation (arcsec)')
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
saveas(figureApproachZoom,'GroundScope_OffGEO_window_1arcmin.png')
%%
daydeg = 0:0.1:360;
% daydeg = 154.5:0.0001:157; % Zoom in on star 173
scopelons = scopelon + daydeg;
scopelons = scopelons - 360*(scopelons>180);
scopelats = scopelat*ones(size(daydeg));
scopealts = scopealt*ones(size(daydeg));
[scopex,scopey,scopez] = geodetic2ecef(E,scopelats,scopelons,scopealts);
lgsV0 = 68.5; % true anomaly at epoch (i.e. start of day)
lgsVs = lgsV0 + daydeg;
lgsVs = lgsVs - 360*(lgsVs>360);
lgsIP = Rgeo*[cosd(lgsVs);...
   sind(lgsVs);...
    zeros(size(daydeg))]; % Column vectors representing in-plane coordinates of LGS.
lgsinc = 15; % inclination in degrees
lgsRAAN = 32.1; % lgs RAAN, degrees
R1 = rotx(lgsinc);
R2 = rotz(lgsRAAN);
lgsvecs = R2*(R1*lgsIP);
lgsx = lgsvecs(1,:);
lgsy = lgsvecs(2,:);
lgsz = lgsvecs(3,:);
% plot3(lgsx,lgsy,lgsz)
% dvs = abs(deg2rad(lgslat)*Vgeo);
dx = lgsx-scopex;
dy = lgsy-scopey;
dz = lgsz-scopez;
```

```
decs = rad2deg(atan2(dz, sqrt(dx.^2+dy.^2))); % declination
rtas = rad2deg(atan2(dy,dx)); % Right ascension
[decs_mat,starlats_mat] = ndgrid(decs,starlats);
[rtas_mat,starlons_mat] = ndgrid(rtas,starlons);
[seps,sep_azs] = distance(decs_mat,rtas_mat,starlats_mat,starlons_mat);
[closest_to_each_tgt,idx_to_each_tgt] = min(seps,[],2);
close_candidates = starids(unique(idx_to_each_tgt(closest_to_each_tgt<0.5)));</pre>
figureMap = figure;
axesm('MapProjection', 'robinson', 'Grid', 'on', 'GLineWidth',2, 'MeridianLabel', 'on','
    MLabelParallel', 'equator', 'ParallelLabel', 'on', 'PLabelMeridian', 'prime')
title(sprintf('Line of sight from %s through inclined GEO LGS', scopename))
p1 = scatterm(starlats,starlons,'*', 'linewidth', 2,'DisplayName','Stark 2015 targets
    ');
p2 = scatterm(deeplats,deeplons,'rv', 'linewidth', 2);
p3 = scatterm(brightlats, brightlons, 'g+', 'linewidth', 2);
p4 = plotm(decs,rtas,'linewidth',2);
legend([p1 p3 p2 p4],{'Stark 2015 targets','Magnitude 2 stars','Hubble/Chandra deep
    fields','LGS orbit trace'})
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
saveas(figureMap,sprintf('SkyMap_offGE0_track %s.png',scopename))
```

## B.6.4 SkyCalcsHEO

Calculates the line-of-sight from a ground telescope through a satellite in a highly elliptical orbit.

```
% Geographic calculations -- requires Mapping toolbox and Phased Array?!?!
% (for rotx/roty/rotz)
close all;
% Constants
Re = 6371000;
Rgeo = 42164000;
Ageo = 35786000;
Mu = 3.986e14;
Vgeo = sqrt(Mu/Rgeo);
E = wgs84Ellipsoid('meter');
```

```
Tgeo = 2*pi*sqrt(Rgeo^3/Mu);
[earthx,earthy,earthz] = sphere;
earthx = E.MeanRadius*earthx;
earthy = E.MeanRadius*earthy;
earthz = E.MeanRadius*earthz;
% Locations
% scopelat = dms2degrees([19 49 35]); % Keck, HI
% scopelon = dms2degrees([-155 28 27]);
% scopealt = 4145;
% scopename = 'Keck';
scopelat = dms2degrees([0 0 0]); % Geostationary telescope testbed
scopelon = dms2degrees([-120 0 0]);
scopealt = Ageo;
scopename = 'GeoTT';
% scopelat = dms2degrees([31 41 18]); % MMT, AZ
% scopelon = dms2degrees([-110 53 6]);
% scopealt = 2616;
% scopename = 'MMT';
% scopelat = -30.24073; % Giant Magellan, Chile
\% scopelon = -70.73659;
% scopealt = 2722;
% scopename = 'GMT';
simtime = 0:10:14*24*60*60; % 6 days, every 10 seconds
% simtime = 2.2*daysec:10:2.4*daysec; % 10 seconds, from 2.2 to 2.4 days after epoch
% simtime = 53*3600:10:55.5*3600;
scopelons = scopelon + 360*(simtime/Tgeo);
scopelats = scopelat*ones(size(simtime));
scopealts = scopealt*ones(size(simtime));
[scopex,scopey,scopez] = geodetic2ecef(E,scopelats,scopelons,scopealts);
% LGS orbit, minimum sidereal case
% lgs_ap = rSIDmin*1000;
% lgs_pe = rLEO*1000;
```
```
% sidereal, periapsis at GEO
% lgs_ap = rSIDopt*1000;
% lgs_pe = Rgeo;
\% the above sidereal orbits are attempting to match the motion of Earth *at
% the Equator*, let's try something a little slower
lgs_ap = rSIDopt*1000;
lgs_pe = Rgeo/2;
lgs_sma = (lgs_ap+lgs_pe)/2;
lgs_mam = sqrt(Mu/lgs_sma^3); % mean angular motion
lgs_ecc = (lgs_ap-lgs_pe)/(lgs_ap+lgs_pe);
lgsV0 = deg2rad(68.5); % true anomaly at epoch (i.e. start of simulation)
lgsE0 = atan2(sqrt(1-lgs_ecc^2)*sin(lgsV0),lgs_ecc+cos(lgsV0));
lgsM0 = lgsE0-lgs_ecc*sin(lgsE0);
lgsMs = lgsM0+lgs_mam*simtime;
lgsEs = ecc_from_mean(lgsMs,lgs_ecc);
lgsVs = atan2(sqrt(1-lgs_ecc^2)*sin(lgsEs), cos(lgsEs)-lgs_ecc);
lgsRs = lgs_sma*(1-lgs_ecc^2)./(1+lgs_ecc*cos(lgsVs));
lgsIP = [lgsRs.*cos(lgsVs);...
   lgsRs.*sin(lgsVs);...
    zeros(size(simtime))]; % Column vectors representing in-plane coordinates of LGS.
lgsAPE = 90; % argument of periapsis, degrees
lgsinc = 60; % inclination in degrees
lgsRAAN = 32.1; % lgs RAAN, degrees
R0 = rotz(lgsAPE);
R1 = rotx(lgsinc);
R2 = rotz(lgsRAAN);
lgsvecs = R2*(R1*(R0*lgsIP));
lgsx = lgsvecs(1,:);
lgsy = lgsvecs(2,:);
lgsz = lgsvecs(3,:);
```

```
dx = lgsx-scopex;
dy = lgsy-scopey;
dz = lgsz-scopez;
dists = sqrt(dx.^{2}+dy.^{2}+dz.^{2});
decs = rad2deg(atan2(dz, sqrt(dx.^2+dy.^2))); % declination
rtas = rad2deg(atan2(dy,dx)); % Right ascension
ddecs = diff(decs)./diff(simtime); % degrees-per-second difference from one moment to
    the next
drtas = diff(rtas)./diff(simtime);
driftrate = sqrt(ddecs.^2+drtas.^2); % this is only valid near the equator, TODO
    improve
%%
figureDrift = figure;
plot(simtime(1:end-1)/daysec,driftrate*3600*1000,'linewidth',2);
ylim([0 35])
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
xlabel('Time (days)')
ylabel('Drift rate (mas/sec)')
saveas(figureDrift,sprintf('SkyMap_HEO_track_stability_total %s.png',scopename))
%%
figureStab = figure;
hold on
plot(drtas*3600*1000,ddecs*3600*1000,'linewidth',2);
plot([35,35,-35,-35,35],[35,-35,-35,35,35],'linewidth',2);
xlim([-500,500])
ylim([-500,500])
daspect([1 1 1])
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
hold off
saveas(figureStab,sprintf('SkyMap_HE0_track_stability_2d %s.png',scopename))
%%
obs_idx_start = find(simtime==53.3*3600);
obs_idx_end = find(simtime==55.1*3600);
obsx = [scopex(obs_idx_start) lgsx(obs_idx_start) lgsx(obs_idx_end) scopex(
   obs_idx_end)];
obsy = [scopey(obs_idx_start) lgsy(obs_idx_start) lgsy(obs_idx_end) scopey(
```

```
obs_idx_end)];
obsz = [scopez(obs_idx_start) lgsz(obs_idx_start) lgsz(obs_idx_end) scopez(
    obs_idx_end)];
figureXYZ = figure;
hold on
plot3(lgsx,lgsy,lgsz,'linewidth',2)
plot3(scopex,scopey,scopez,'linewidth',2)
plot3(obsx,obsy,obsz,'linewidth',2)
surf(earthx,earthy,earthz)
legend('LGS orbit','Telescope latitude','Best observation vector','location','
    southeast')
daspect([1 1 1])
view(45,30)
hold off
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
saveas(figureXYZ, sprintf('SkyMap_HEO_orbit %s.png', scopename))
%%
rtas_obs = rtas(simtime>53.3*3600 & simtime < 55.1*3600);</pre>
decs_obs = decs(simtime>53.3*3600 & simtime < 55.1*3600);</pre>
simtime_obs = simtime(simtime > 53.3 * 3600 \& simtime < 55.1 * 3600);
seps_obs = sqrt((rtas_obs-mean(rtas_obs)).^2+(decs_obs-mean(decs_obs)).^2);
figureXY = figure;
hold on
thetas = 0:0.01:2*pi;
plot((rtas_obs-mean(rtas_obs))*3600-10,(decs_obs-mean(decs_obs))*3600-10,'linewidth'
    .2)
plot(60*cos(thetas),60*sin(thetas),'-.','linewidth',2)
plot(25*cos(thetas),25*sin(thetas),'--','linewidth',2)
title('Angular sep. of HEO LGS from target star')
legend ('HEO LGS separation', 'Keck max distance to ground LGS', 'Keck max distance to
   NGS')
xlabel('Delta-Dec (arcsec)')
ylabel('Delta-RA (arcsec)')
xlim([-80 80])
ylim([-80 80])
daspect([1 1 1])
hold off
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
saveas(figureXY, sprintf('SkyMap_HEO_track_zoom %s.png', scopename))
%%
figureMap = figure;
```

```
183
```

```
axesm('MapProjection', 'robinson', 'Grid', 'on', 'GLineWidth', 2, 'MeridianLabel', 'on', '
MLabelParallel', 'equator', 'ParallelLabel', 'on', 'PLabelMeridian', 'prime')
title(sprintf('Line of sight from %s through HEO LGS', scopename))
p1 = scatterm(starlats, starlons, '*', 'linewidth', 2, 'DisplayName', 'Stark 2015 targets
    ');
p2 = scatterm(deeplats, deeplons, 'rv', 'linewidth', 2);
p3 = scatterm(brightlats, brightlons, 'g+', 'linewidth', 2);
p4 = plotm(decs, rtas, 'linewidth', 2);
legend([p1 p3 p2 p4], {'Stark 2015 targets', 'Magnitude 2 stars', 'Hubble/Chandra deep
    fields', 'LGS orbit trace'})
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14, 'linewidth', 2)
saveas(figureMap, sprintf('SkyMap_HEO_track %s.png', scopename))
```

#### B.6.5 SkyCalcsHE02

Calculates the line-of-sight from a telescope in geostationary orbit through a satellite in a super-geostationary orbit.

```
% Geographic calculations -- requires Mapping toolbox and Phased Array?!?!
% (for rotx/roty/rotz)
close all;
% Constants
Re = 6371000:
Rgeo = 42164000;
Ageo = 35786000;
Mu = 3.986e14;
Vgeo = sqrt(Mu/Rgeo);
E = wgs84Ellipsoid('meter');
Tgeo = 2*pi*sqrt(Rgeo^3/Mu);
[earthx,earthy,earthz] = sphere;
earthx = E.MeanRadius*earthx;
earthy = E.MeanRadius*earthy;
earthz = E.MeanRadius*earthz;
scopelat = dms2degrees([0 0 0]); % Geostationary telescope testbed
scopelon = dms2degrees([-120 0 0]);
scopealt = Ageo;
scopename = 'GeoTT';
```

```
simtime = 0:10:15*24*60*60; % 6 days, every 10 seconds
% simtime = 2.2*daysec:10:2.4*daysec; % 10 seconds, from 2.2 to 2.4 days after epoch
% simtime = 53*3600:10:55.5*3600;
scopelons = scopelon + 360*(simtime/Tgeo);
scopelats = scopelat*ones(size(simtime));
scopealts = scopealt*ones(size(simtime));
[scopex,scopey,scopez] = geodetic2ecef(E,scopelats,scopelons,scopealts);
\% LGS super-sync 7/6 resonant orbit, with intervals of matching geo motion
lgs_sma = ((7/6)^(2/3))*Rgeo;
lgs_mean_vel = sqrt(Mu/lgs_sma);
lgs_pe = 2/(Vgeo^2/(Mu)+1/lgs_sma);
lgs_ap = 2*lgs_sma-lgs_pe;
lgs_mam = sqrt(Mu/lgs_sma^3); % mean angular motion
lgs_ecc = (lgs_ap-lgs_pe)/(lgs_ap+lgs_pe);
lgsV0 = deg2rad(-120); % true anomaly at epoch (i.e. start of simulation)
lgsE0 = atan2(sqrt(1-lgs_ecc^2)*sin(lgsV0),lgs_ecc+cos(lgsV0));
lgsM0 = lgsE0-lgs_ecc*sin(lgsE0);
lgsMs = lgsM0+lgs_mam*simtime;
lgsEs = ecc_from_mean(lgsMs,lgs_ecc);
lgsVs = atan2(sqrt(1-lgs_ecc^2)*sin(lgsEs), cos(lgsEs)-lgs_ecc);
lgsRs = lgs_sma*(1-lgs_ecc^2)./(1+lgs_ecc*cos(lgsVs));
lgsIP = [lgsRs.*cos(lgsVs);...
   lgsRs.*sin(lgsVs);...
   zeros(size(simtime))]; % Column vectors representing in-plane coordinates of LGS.
lgsAPE = 0; % argument of periapsis, degrees
lgsinc = 0; % inclination in degrees
lgsRAAN = 0; % lgs RAAN, degrees
R0 = rotz(lgsAPE);
R1 = rotx(lgsinc);
R2 = rotz(lgsRAAN);
```

```
lgsvecs = R2*(R1*(R0*lgsIP));
lgsx = lgsvecs(1,:);
lgsy = lgsvecs(2,:);
lgsz = lgsvecs(3,:);
dx = lgsx-scopex;
dy = lgsy-scopey;
dz = lgsz-scopez;
dists = sqrt(dx.^{2}+dy.^{2}+dz.^{2});
decs = rad2deg(atan2(dz, sqrt(dx.^2+dy.^2))); % declination
rtas = rad2deg(atan2(dy,dx)); % Right ascension
ddecs = diff(decs)./diff(simtime); % degrees-per-second difference from one moment to
    the next
drtas = diff(rtas)./diff(simtime);
driftrate = sqrt(ddecs.^2+drtas.^2); % this is only valid near the equator, TODO
    improve
%%
figureDrift = figure;
plot(simtime(1:end-1)/daysec,driftrate*3600*1000,'linewidth',2);
ylim([0 35])
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
xlabel('Time (days)')
ylabel('Drift rate (mas/sec)')
saveas(figureDrift,sprintf('SkyMap_HEO_track_stability_total %s.png',scopename))
%%
figureStab = figure;
hold on
plot(drtas*3600*1000,ddecs*3600*1000,'linewidth',2);
plot([35,35,-35,-35,35],[35,-35,-35,35,35],'linewidth',2);
xlim([-500,500])
ylim([-500,500])
daspect([1 1 1])
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
hold off
saveas(figureStab,sprintf('SkyMap_HEO_track_stability_2d %s.png',scopename))
```

```
%%
```

```
obs_idx_start = 800; % Should be possible to calculate and not hard-code
obs_idx_end = 870;
obsx = [scopex(obs_idx_start) lgsx(obs_idx_start) lgsx(obs_idx_end) scopex(
    obs_idx_end)];
obsy = [scopey(obs_idx_start) lgsy(obs_idx_start) lgsy(obs_idx_end) scopey(
   obs_idx_end)];
obsz = [scopez(obs_idx_start) lgsz(obs_idx_start) lgsz(obs_idx_end) scopez(
    obs_idx_end)];
figureXYZ = figure;
hold on
plot3(lgsx,lgsy,lgsz,'linewidth',2)
plot3(scopex,scopey,scopez,'linewidth',2)
plot3(obsx,obsy,obsz,'linewidth',2)
surf(earthx,earthy,earthz)
legend('LGS orbit', 'Telescope orbit', 'Best observation vector', 'location', 'southeast'
    )
daspect([1 1 1])
view(45,30)
hold off
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
saveas(figureXYZ, sprintf('SkyMap_HE0_orbit %s.png', scopename))
%%
rtas_obs = rtas(obs_idx_start:obs_idx_end);
decs_obs = decs(obs_idx_start:obs_idx_end);
simtime_obs = simtime(obs_idx_start:obs_idx_end);
seps_obs = sqrt((rtas_obs-mean(rtas_obs)).^2+(decs_obs-mean(decs_obs)).^2);
figureXY = figure;
hold on
thetas = 0:0.01:2*pi;
plot((rtas_obs-mean(rtas_obs))*3600,(decs_obs-mean(decs_obs))*3600,'linewidth',2)
plot(60*cos(thetas),60*sin(thetas),'-.','linewidth',2)
plot(25*cos(thetas),25*sin(thetas),'--','linewidth',2)
title('Angular sep. of HEO LGS from target star')
legend('HEO LGS separation', 'Keck max distance to ground LGS', 'Keck max distance to
   NGS')
xlabel('Delta-Dec (arcsec)')
ylabel('Delta-RA (arcsec)')
xlim([-80 80])
ylim([-80 80])
daspect([1 1 1])
```

```
hold off
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
saveas(figureXY, sprintf('SkyMap_HEO_track_zoom %s.png', scopename))
%%
figureMap = figure;
axesm('MapProjection', 'robinson', 'Grid', 'on', 'GLineWidth', 2, 'MeridianLabel', 'on', '
    MLabelParallel', 'equator', 'ParallelLabel', 'on', 'PLabelMeridian', 'prime')
title(sprintf('Line of sight from %s through HEO LGS',scopename))
p1 = scatterm(starlats,starlons,'*', 'linewidth', 2,'DisplayName','Stark 2015 targets
    ');
p2 = scatterm(deeplats,deeplons,'rv', 'linewidth', 2);
p3 = scatterm(brightlats, brightlons, 'g+', 'linewidth', 2);
p4 = plotm(decs,rtas,'linewidth',2);
legend([p1 p3 p2 p4],{'Stark 2015 targets','Magnitude 2 stars','Hubble/Chandra deep
    fields','LGS orbit trace'})
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
saveas(figureMap,sprintf('SkyMap_HEO_track %s.png',scopename))
```

#### B.6.6 ecc\_from\_mean

A helper function for calculating the eccentric anomaly from the mean anomaly.

```
function [E] = ecc_from_mean(M,e)
format long
E = M;
k = 1;
err = 1e-10;
while (max(k)>err)
    y = E - e*sin(E) - M;
    dy = 1 - e*cos(E);
    k = abs(y./dy);
    E = E-(y./dy);
end
end
```

#### B.6.7 HEO\_LGS

Calculations of highly-elliptical "sidereal" orbits for Earth-orbiting laser guide stars.

close all

```
mu = 398600;
RE = 6378;
rLE0 = RE+400;
rGEO = RE + 35786;
aLUN = 384400;
rLUN1 = 362600;
rLUN2 = 405400;
bLUN = sqrt(rLUN1*rLUN2);
vLEO = sqrt(mu/rLEO);
vESC = sqrt(2*mu/rLE0);
vGEO = sqrt(mu/rGEO);
tGEO = 2*pi*sqrt(rGEO^3/mu); % 1 sidereal day
rVABi1 = (1+1)*RE; % inner Van Allen Belt starts at ~1,000 km altitude (i.e. 1.17 RE
   from center), but it peaks at 1-3 RE altitude = 2-4 from center.
rVABi2 = (1+3) * RE;
rVABo1 = (1+4)*RE; % outer Van Allen Belt peaks 4-6 RE altitude
rVABo2 = (1+6) * RE;
vGT01 = sqrt(2*mu*(1/rLE0-1/(rLE0+rGE0)));
vGT02 = sqrt(2*mu*(1/rGE0-1/(rLE0+rGE0)));
aGTO = (rLEO + rGEO)/2;
bGTO = sqrt(rLEO*rGEO);
dV_leo_geo = (vGT01-vLE0)+(vGE0-vGT02);
vSID = 2*pi*RE/tGE0;
rSIDcirc = mu/vSID^2;
%minimum sidereal-apogee orbit, so 400 x 150,000 km orbit
rSIDmins = roots([1 rLE0 -2*mu*rLE0/vSID^2]);
rSIDmin = max(rSIDmins);
tSIDmin = 2*pi*sqrt(((rSIDmin+rLEO)/2)^3/mu);
```

```
tGTO = 2*pi*sqrt(aGTO^3/mu);
vSIDminP = sqrt(2*mu*(1/rLE0-1/(rLE0+rSIDmin)));
dv_leo_sid_min = vSIDminP-vLE0;
dv_gto_sid_min = vSIDminP-vGT01;
aSIDmin = (rLEO+rSIDmin)/2;
bSIDmin = sqrt(rLEO*rSIDmin);
max_burn_d = 2*RE/vSIDminP; % Assume spacecraft moves at a constant v at periapsis,
   how long does it take to move across the Earth (i.e. "time close enough to
   periapsis")
num_burns = (dv_gto_sid_min*1000*sc_mass_opt_tot/sc_max_thrust_nom)/max_burn_d; %
   Need to multiply by 1000 to get from km/s to m/s
approx_depl_duration = num_burns*(tGTO+tSIDmin)/2;
%%
% Let's calculate the deployment duration more exactly
test_sc_vel = vGT01;
depl_duration = 0;
burn_count = 0;
while test_sc_vel < vSIDminP</pre>
    burn_count = burn_count + 1;
    burn_d = 2*RE/test_sc_vel;% Assume spacecraft moves at a constant v at periapsis,
    how long does it take to move across the Earth (i.e. "time close enough to
   periapsis")
   test_sc_vel = test_sc_vel + burn_d*sc_max_thrust_nom/(sc_mass_opt_tot*1000); %
   need to divide by 1000 to get from m/s to km/s
    test_sc_sma = (mu)/((2*mu/rLEO)-test_sc_vel^2);
   test_sc_pd = 2*pi*sqrt(test_sc_sma^3/mu);
    depl_duration = depl_duration+test_sc_pd;
end
\% End result turns out to be 5168 burns (vs. 5300 preducted above), total
\% duration 14.6 years vs. 20 predicted. Not bad, but good to know to feed
% SPENVIS.
mass_shield = 0.001*(2*20*20+4*20*30)*0.7*2.7; % aluminum 0.7 cm (!) thick
```

```
\% What if we wanted to get there in, say, six months?
% There should be a way to solve for this directly...
test_sc_vel = vGT01;
depl_duration_ht = 0;
burn_count_ht = 0;
thrust_factor = 30; % 30 turns out to be about right, total duration 181 days
while test_sc_vel < vSIDminP</pre>
    burn_count_ht = burn_count_ht + 1;
    burn_d = 2*RE/test_sc_vel;% Assume spacecraft moves at a constant v at periapsis,
    how long does it take to move across the Earth (i.e. "time close enough to
   periapsis")
    test_sc_vel = test_sc_vel + thrust_factor*burn_d*sc_max_thrust_nom/(
    sc_mass_opt_tot*1000); % need to divide by 1000 to get from m/s to km/s
    test_sc_sma = (mu)/((2*mu/rLEO)-test_sc_vel^2);
    test_sc_pd = 2*pi*sqrt(test_sc_sma^3/mu);
   depl_duration_ht = depl_duration_ht+test_sc_pd;
end
%%
rad_count = 0.05*(RE/vSIDminP)*2*num_burns; % Assume Van Allen Belt's dose is
    concentrated at 0.05 rad/sec over a 1 RE thickness, per: https://spacemath.gsfc.
    nasa.gov/Algebra1/3Page7.pdf
% Verifying radiation dosage information
vGTOvab = sqrt(mu*(2/(2*RE)-(1/aGTO))); % velocity of spacecraft in GTO at van Allen
   belt (i.e. 1 RE altitude, 2 RE radius)
rad_gto_yr = 0.05*2*(RE/vGT0vab)*(yrsec/tGT0); % 2 passes through the belts per orbit
    , times ~800 orbits per year, comes out to 100 krad per yer -- but other sources
    suggest more like 2.5 per year?
vSIDminvab = sqrt(mu*(2/(2*RE)-(1/aSIDmin))); % velocity of spacecraft on minimal
    sidereal orbit at van Allen belt (i.e. 1 RE altitude, 2 RE radius)
rad_gto_smad_noshld_yr = (3e6/yrsec)*2*(RE/vGTOvab)*(yrsec/tGTO); % 150 krad/yr,
    using SMAD fig 7-11 p 135.
rad_gto_smad_3mm_yr = (3e4/yrsec)*2*(RE/vGTOvab)*(yrsec/tGTO); % 1.5 krad/yr w/ 0.8 g
    /cm^2 of aluminum everywhere (so 3 mm thick -- that's 2.5 kg to cover a whole 12U
    satellite)
rad_sid_smad_noshld = (3e6/yrsec)*2*(RE/vSIDminvab)*num_burns; % 800 krad!!!
rad_sid_smad_maxshld = (1e4/yrsec)*2*(RE/vSIDminvab)*num_burns; % 2 krad, even after
    cladding the spacecraft in 8 kg of aluminum (that's 1-cm-thick aluminum, and also
```

```
1/3rd the mass budget)
%What if we wanted to keep the periapsis at GEO?
rSIDopts = roots([1 rGE0 -2*mu*rGE0/vSID^2]);
rSIDopt = max(rSIDopts); % turns out to be ~lunar distance
aSIDopt = (rGEO+rSIDopt)/2;
bSIDopt = sqrt(rSIDopt*rGE0);
tSIDopt = 2*pi*sqrt(((rSIDopt+rGEO)/2)^3/mu);
vSIDoptP = sqrt(2*mu*(1/rGE0-1/(rGE0+rSIDmin)));
% going from GEO to SID-OPT orbit
dv_geo_sid_opt = vSIDoptP-vGEO;
vST01 = sqrt(2*mu*(1/rLE0-1/(rLE0+rSIDopt)));
vST02 = sqrt(2*mu*(1/rSIDopt-1/(rLE0+rSIDopt)));
dv_gto_sid_opt = (vST01-vGT01) + (vSID-vST02);
dv_{inc} = (pi/2) * vGEO * deg2rad(15);
time_inc = (dv_inc*1000*sc_mass_opt_tot/sc_max_thrust_nom);
% Make inner and outer boundaries of each belt
t = linspace(0,2*pi,100);
xi1 = rVABi1*cos(t);
xi2 = rVABi2 * cos(t);
yi1 = rVABi1*sin(t);
yi2 = rVABi2 * sin(t);
xo1 = rVABo1*cos(t);
xo2 = rVABo2*cos(t);
yo1 = rVABo1*sin(t);
yo2 = rVABo2 * sin(t);
%%
figureOrbits = figure;
hold on
```

```
axis equal
rectangle('Position', [-RE -RE 2*RE 2*RE], 'Curvature', [1 1], 'FaceColor', [0 .5 .5],'
    LineStyle', 'none'); % Earth
% rectangle('Position',[-rLE0 -rLE0 2*rLE0 2*rLE0],'Curvature',[1 1],'LineWidth',2);
rectangle('Position',[-rLE0 -bGT0 2*bGT0],'Curvature',[1 1],'LineWidth',2,'
    LineStyle',':'); % GTO
rectangle('Position',[-rGE0 -rGE0 2*rGE0 2*rGE0],'Curvature',[1 1],'LineWidth',2,'
   LineStyle','--'); % GEO
title('Comparison of different LGS orbits')
xlabel('Distance from Earth center (km)')
ylabel('Distance from Earth center (km)')
plot([(aGTO-rLEO) 1.3e5],[-bGTO -1.85e5],'k:','linewidth',1.5)
plot([0 -0.7e5],[rGE0 1.35e5],'k--','linewidth',1.5)
plot([-3*RE -0.9e5 -6*RE],[0 -1e5 0],'k')
text(-1e5,1.5e5,'GE0','FontSize',14)
text(-1e5,-1e5,'Van Allen belts','FontSize',14,'HorizontalAlignment','right')
text(1e5,-2e5,'GTO','FontSize',14)
text(3e5,2.3e5,'Moon','FontSize',14)
text(1e5,0.5e5,'Sidereal orbit 1','FontSize',14)
text(1e5,1.5e5,'Sidereal orbit 2','FontSize',14)
set(gca, 'fontsize', 14,'linewidth',2)
rectangle ('Position', [-rLE0 -bSIDmin 2*aSIDmin 2*bSIDmin], 'Curvature', [1 1], '
   LineWidth',2);
rectangle('Position',[-rGEO -bSIDopt 2*aSIDopt 2*bSIDopt],'Curvature',[1 1],'
    LineWidth',2);
rectangle('Position',[-rLUN2 -bLUN 2*aLUN 2*bLUN],'Curvature',[1 1],'LineWidth',2,'
    EdgeColor', [0.5 0.5 0.5]);
hp1 = patch([xi2,xi1],[yi2,yi1],'r','linestyle','none','facealpha',0.3);
hp2 = patch([xo2,xo1],[yo2,yo1],'g','linestyle','none','facealpha',0.3);
hold off
saveas(figureOrbits,'lgs-orbit-comparison.png')
```

### B.7 hamiltonian

Code for computing a Hamiltonian path from a graph, by Pramit Biswas. [8] Used according to the terms of the 2-clause BSD license.

```
%%
% Let us create the following graph
%
       (1) - (2) - (3) - (4)
%
        | / \rangle |
                        1
%
        1 / 1
                        1
%
        1
       (5) -----(6)
%
                        Т
%
               1
    1
%
    1
                1
%
                Т
    1
%
   (7) -----(8)
%
% g=[0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0;
%
    1 0 1 0 1 1 0 0;
%
  0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0;
%
  0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1;
%
    1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0;
% 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 0;
% 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1;
% 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0]
% s=5; % Source
% d=1; % Destination
%
% P = hamiltonianPath(g,s,d);
%
\% P will be an array mentioning the path/cycle, if path/cycle found; or a
% string: 'No Path Found', if path/cycle not found
%
\% #Note: This code can be used for finding Hamiltonian cycle also. For
% that, make sure Source and Destination are same.
%%
%{
   Main Function
%}
function hamPath = hamiltonian(Graph, Source, Destination)
% Input Checking
if ~isreal(Graph)
    error('Graph must be in real form');
elseif ~isnumeric(Graph)
```

```
error('Matrix must be numeric');
elseif ~ismatrix(Graph)
    error('Check Matrix Dimensions');
else
   [r, c] = size (Graph);
   if r~=c
        error('Matrix must be square matrix');
    end
end
if ~(isreal(Source)||isreal(Destination)||(Source>0 && Source<=r) || (Destination>0
   && Destination <=r))
    error('improper Source/Destination');
end
clear c;
% Function call
hamPath = findHam(Graph, Source, Destination, r);
end
%%
%{
    This functions sets some initial parameters, and calls the actual
   function.
%}
function hamPath = findHam(Graph, Source, Destination, totalNodes)
hamPath = zeros(size(Graph(1,:)));
hamPath(1) = Source;
[Status, hamPath] = hamRec(Graph, hamPath, Source, Destination, totalNodes, 1);
if Status == 0
   if Source ~= Destination
        hamPath = 'No Path Found';
   else
       hamPath = 'No Cycle Found';
    end
   return;
end
{\tt end}
```

```
%%
%{
   This function recursively call itself, hence finding the solution
%}
function [Status, hamPath] = hamRec(Graph, hamPath, Source, Destination, totalNodes,
   nodesFound)
% Ending Condition check
if ( (nodesFound == totalNodes-1 && Source~=Destination) || (nodesFound == totalNodes
    && Source==Destination) )
    if ( Graph(hamPath(nodesFound), Destination) ~= 0)
        hamPath(nodesFound+1) = Destination;
       Status = 1;
       return;
    else
       Status = 0;
       return;
    end
end
for i=1:totalNodes
   if i==Destination
        continue;
    end
   if isSafe(Graph, hamPath, nodesFound, i)
       hamPath(nodesFound+1) = i;
        [Status, hamPath] = hamRec(Graph, hamPath, Source, Destination, totalNodes,
   nodesFound+1);
        if Status
           return;
        end
       hamPath(nodesFound+1) = 0;
    end
end
Status = 0;
end
%%
%{
```

```
This function is used to check whether the current node can be added
   or not for making the path/cycle.
%}
function Flag = isSafe(Graph, hamPath, nodesFound, i)
if Graph(hamPath(nodesFound),i) == 0
   Flag = 0;
   return;
end
for ii=1:nodesFound
   if hamPath(ii) == i
       Flag = 0;
       return;
   end
end
Flag = 1;
end
```

Copyright (c) 2015, Pramit Biswas All rights reserved.

Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or without modification, are permitted provided that the following conditions are met:

- Redistributions of source code must retain the above copyright notice, this list of conditions and the following disclaimer.
- \* Redistributions in binary form must reproduce the above copyright notice, this list of conditions and the following disclaimer in the documentation and/or other materials provided with the distribution

THIS SOFTWARE IS PROVIDED BY THE COPYRIGHT HOLDERS AND CONTRIBUTORS "AS IS" AND ANY EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, THE IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE ARE DISCLAIMED. IN NO EVENT SHALL THE COPYRIGHT OWNER OR CONTRIBUTORS BE LIABLE FOR ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT, INCIDENTAL, SPECIAL, EXEMPLARY, OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES (INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, PROCUREMENT OF SUBSTITUTE GOODS OR SERVICES; LOSS OF USE, DATA, OR PROFITS; OR BUSINESS INTERRUPTION) HOWEVER CAUSED AND ON ANY THEORY OF LIABILITY, WHETHER IN CONTRACT, STRICT LIABILITY, OR TORT (INCLUDING NEGLIGENCE OR OTHERWISE) ARISING IN ANY WAY OUT OF THE USE OF THIS SOFTWARE, EVEN IF ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGE.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

# Appendix C

## LGS Data Files

These are the CSV files that are ingested by StarkSkymap.m to provide the locations of the target stars and the list of observations.

### C.1 Targets

The list of stars which are baselined to be observed by LUVOIR, per Stark *et al.* 2015 [57], received from Chris Stark via personal communication. Each row consists of the star ID (Hipparcos Catalogue), followed by the hour angle and declination, as sourced from SIMBAD.

simbad-trim.csv

ł									1 1111	1101
ļ	HIP	439	;00	05	24.4278018131	-37	21	26.504223709	HIP	7978
	HIP	544	;00	06	36.7840943605	+29	01	17.410390108	HIP	7981
	HIP	910	;00	11	15.8508998160	-15	28	04.740699873	HIP	8102
	HIP	950	;00	11	44.0207940487	-35	07	59.231973741	HIP	8362
	HIP	1292	;00	16	12.6791488703	-79	51	04.244738563	HIP	10138
	HIP	1475	;00	18	22.8849667932	+44	01	22.637271919	HTP	10644
	HIP	1599	;00	20	04.2599713 -64	4 52	29.	254760		10798
I	HIP	1803	;00	22	51.7883318927	-12	12	33.972374893		12114
ĺ	HIP	3093	;00	39	21.8055114029	+21	15	01.716052732		12111
İ	HIP	3583	;00	45	45.5929656213	-47	33	07.146506536		10652
İ	HIP	3765	;00	48	22.9763438736	+05	16	50.209562003		12000
İ	HIP	3821	;00	49	06.2907161 +57	7 48	54.	675740		10040
İ	HIP	3909	;00	50	07.5885892159	-10	38	39.584811061		12043
İ	HIP	4151	:00	53	04.1958850619	+61	07	26.301822158	n1P	13402
	HIP	5336	:01	08	16.39470 +54	55 13	3.22	64	HIP	14632
	нтр	5862	· 01	15	11 1225892742	_45	31	54 007631265	HIP	15330
	нтр	7330	.01	3/	33 2643888977	+68	56	53 288116227	HIP	15371
I	UTD	7540	,01		33.2043000911	.00		10.200110221	HIP	15457
	нть	1513	;01	36	47.84216 +41	24 19	1.64	43	II UTD	15510

```
HIP 7751
            ;01 39 47.53953 -56 11 47.0997
             ;01 42 29.3148822519 -53 44 26.991165270
             ;01 42 29.7634932653 +20 16 06.660242064
             ;01 44 04.0834226 -15 56 14.926552
             ;01 47 44.8336250528 +63 51 09.007331430
             ;02 10 25.9190575041 -50 49 25.467227759
             ;02 17 03.2353876410 +34 13 27.243444846
             ;02 18 58.5046943868 -25 56 44.473476858
             ;02 36 04.9023844802 +06 53 12.431588708
             ;02 40 12.4221262303 -09 27 10.336039665
             ;02 42 33.4664838826 -50 48 01.056222150
             ;02 44 11.9870420 +49 13 42.411120
             ;02 45 06.2034405658 -18 34 21.478629972
             ;02 52 32.1281853696 -12 46 10.970649463
             ;03 09 04.0198629 +49 36 47.799638
             ;03 17 46.1632605674 -62 34 31.154247481
             ;03 18 12.8185412558 -62 30 22.917300282
             ;03 19 21.6963205 +03 22 12.715139
HIP 15510 ;03 19 55.6509352 -43 04 11.217495
```

HIP 16537 :03 32 55.8449634 -09 27 29.731165 HIP 16852 :03 36 52.1448022536 +00 23 58.536917738 HIP 17378 :03 43 14.9008787 -09 45 48.208444 HIP 17651 :03 46 50.8881911 -23 14 59.004585 HIP 18859 ;04 02 36.7451443573 -00 16 08.118566607 HIP 19076 ;04 05 20.2584363613 +22 00 32.055953585 HIP 19335 ;04 08 36.6168168411 +38 02 23.055834828 HIP 19849 ;04 15 16.3197260 -07 39 10.338087 HIP 19859 ;04 15 28.8004956278 +06 11 12.699896698 HIP 21770 ;04 40 33.71305 -41 51 49.5075 HIP 22263 ;04 47 36.2917607568 -16 56 04.041927355 HIP 22449 ;04 49 50.4109057 +06 57 40.588294 HIP 23311 ;05 00 48.9991346145 -05 45 13.220341956 HIP 23693 ;05 05 30.6561782324 -57 28 21.728931803 HIP 24186 :05 11 40.5893175460 -45 01 06.353955874 HIP 24813 :05 19 08.4754630747 +40 05 56.589643877 HIP 25110 :05 22 33.5290089287 +79 13 52.142657543 HIP 25278 :05 24 25.4629773342 +17 23 00.729080928 HIP 25878 :05 31 27.3958475290 -03 40 38.021551815 HIP 26394 :05 37 09.8851202601 -80 28 08.831347245 HIP 26779 :05 41 20.3357283721 +53 28 51.810629854 HIP 27072 :05 44 27.7908940 -22 26 54.180763 HIP 27435 :05 48 34.9401453172 -04 05 40.719529406 HIP 28103 ;05 56 24.2930042 -14 10 03.718884 ;06 10 14.4741194137 -74 45 10.963587125 HIP 29271 HIP 29295 ;06 10 34.6152510171 -21 51 52.658021185 HIP 29525 ;06 13 12.5027477683 +10 37 37.713412362 HIP 29568 ;06 13 45.2955618090 -23 51 42.968779940 HIP 29650 ;06 14 50.8755168504 +19 09 23.210642162 HIP 29800 ;06 16 26.6187785712 +12 16 19.790924635 HIP 30503 ;06 24 43.8797456693 -28 46 48.416253741 HIP 32439 ;06 46 14.1490160697 +79 33 53.316713406 HIP 32480 ;06 46 44.3375552599 +43 34 38.742949290 HIP 32984 ;06 52 18.0504546991 -05 10 25.366165190 HIP 33226 :06 54 48.9577150656 +33 16 05.438570309 HIP 33277 ;06 55 18.6667052671 +25 22 32.503802377 HIP 33817 ;07 01 13.7244998356 -25 56 55.467043102 HIP 34017 ;07 03 30.4588797095 +29 20 13.496987787 HIP 34065 :07 03 57.3152423334 -43 36 28.921620874 HIP 35136 :07 15 50.1392154188 +47 14 23.875808075 HIP 36208 :07 27 24.49975 +05 13 32.8332 HIP 36366 :07 29 06.71887 +31 47 04.3773 HIP 36439 :07 29 55.9568245403 +49 40 20.861583932 HIP 38784 :07 56 17.2280848677 +80 15 55.954609278 HIP 38908 ;07 57 46.9145300342 -60 18 11.051586167 HIP 39903 ;08 09 00.5695810134 -61 18 08.583553860 HIP 40035 ;08 10 39.8257869468 -13 47 57.138604864 HIP 40693 ;08 18 23.9469692487 -12 37 55.810202572 HIP 40843 ;08 20 03.8606964664 +27 13 03.746404123 HIP 41926 ;08 32 51.4958311521 -31 30 03.062906467 HIP 42438 ;08 39 11.7043265307 +65 01 15.268273190 HIP 42808 ;08 43 18.0304005386 -38 52 56.570025600 HIP 43587 :08 52 35.8113282132 +28 19 50.956901366 HIP 43726 :08 54 17.9469808897 -05 26 04.046487788 HIP 43797 :08 55 11.7820589468 -54 57 56.762642209 HIP 44075 ;08 58 43.9328634171 -16 07 57.805389219 HIP 44897 ;09 08 51.0705427688 +33 52 55.988119240 HIP 45333 ;09 14 20.5373862843 +61 25 23.953809117 HIP 45343 ;09 14 22.7754519714 +52 41 11.792840757 HIP 120005 ;09 14 24.6830609365 +52 41 10.906120364 HIP 46509 ;09 29 08.9408976854 -02 46 08.208984804 HIP 47080 :09 35 39.5018053382 +35 48 36.484070234 HIP 47592 :09 42 14.4165254340 -23 54 56.054079074 HIP 48113 :09 48 35.3713005275 +46 01 15.633773390 HIP 49081 :10 01 00.6567799273 +31 55 25.216848499 HIP 49908 ;10 11 22.1400209197 +49 27 15.249158750 HIP 49986 ;10 12 17.6684359061 -03 44 44.393843397 HIP 50384 ;10 17 14.53796 +23 06 22.3876 HIP 50564 ;10 19 44.1668778 +19 28 15.294314 HIP 50954 ;10 24 23.7059714 -74 01 53.803578 HIP 51317 ;10 28 55.5513010331 +00 50 27.601817738 HIP 51459 ;10 30 37.5803678549 +55 58 49.936416690 HIP 51502 ;10 31 04.5420225146 +82 33 31.255563167 HIP 51523 ;10 31 21.8213012849 -53 42 55.737315449 HIP 53721 ;10 59 27.9738644892 +40 25 48.922388918 HIP 54035 :11 03 20.19400 +35 58 11.5682 HIP 54211 :11 05 28.57798 +43 31 36.3914 HIP 55846 :11 26 45.3217209044 +03 00 47.158549988 HIP 56452 ;11 34 29.4862840828 -32 49 52.819893272 HIP 56997 :11 41 03.0159358291 +34 12 05.882438337 HIP 57443 :11 46 31.0719919710 -40 30 01.279976346 HIP 57507 :11 47 15.8077490164 -30 17 11.437355211 HIP 57548 ;11 47 44.3968668170 +00 48 16.404931305 HIP 57757 :11 50 41.7182390 +01 45 52.991019 HIP 57939 ;11 52 58.7683801554 +37 43 07.240082865 HIP 58345 ;11 57 56.2063624042 -27 42 25.364242481 HIP 58576 ;12 00 44.4611593182 -10 26 46.055023587 HIP 59199 ;12 08 24.8165241 -24 43 43.950354 HIP 61174 ;12 32 04.2265302 -16 11 45.616473 HIP 61317 ;12 33 44.5448195 +41 21 26.924857 HIP 62207 ;12 44 59.4050673140 +39 16 44.098316643 HIP 62523 ;12 48 47.0482457374 +24 50 24.820252955 HIP 62951 ;12 53 58.8003153880 -18 02 05.677093667 HIP 63721 ;13 03 29.0648355662 +25 47 47.866025476 HIP 64394 ;13 11 52.3937856 +27 52 41.453553 HIP 64583 :13 14 15.1459391643 -59 06 11.652757891 HIP 64792 ;13 16 46.5161591991 +09 25 26.967205938 HIP 64797 ;13 16 51.05313 +17 01 01.8441 HIP 64924 ;13 18 24.3142756 -18 18 40.304648 HIP 65721 ;13 28 25.8086 +13 46 43.637 HIP 65859 :13 29 59.7856884759 +10 22 37.784822214 HIP 67155 :13 45 43.7754528655 +14 53 29.473195472 HIP 67275 :13 47 15.74340 +17 27 24.8552 HTP 68184 :13 57 32.0591733968 +61 29 34.299358045 HIP 68682 :14 03 32.3512374876 +10 47 12.439942066 HIP 69671 ;14 15 38.6854180858 -45 00 02.728009204 HIP 69965 ;14 19 00.8956424822 -25 48 55.531887272 HIP 69972 ;14 19 04.8341367419 -59 22 44.535027477 HIP 70319 ;14 23 15.2847534665 +01 14 29.641784590 HIP 70497 ;14 25 11.7970287 +51 51 02.676894 HIP 70890 ;14 29 42.9451234609 -62 40 46.170818907 HIP 71284 ;14 34 40.8171808483 +29 44 42.463685978 HIP 72567 ;14 50 15.8107686483 +23 54 42.633203114 HIP 72603 :14 50 41.1746746757 -15 59 50.028744741 HIP 72659 :14 51 23.37993 +19 06 01.6994 HIP 72848 :14 53 23.7667403 +19 09 10.081308 HIP 73184 ;14 57 28.0008928545 -21 24 55.726897561 HIP 73996 ;15 07 18.0658711040 +24 52 09.095241798 HIP 75181 ;15 21 48.1511171112 -48 19 03.462483973 HIP 76074 ;15 32 12.9326267337 -41 16 32.131578815 HIP 76829 ;15 41 11.3769921 -44 39 40.342813 HIP 77052 ;15 44 01.8189306784 +02 30 54.600773948

HIP 77257 :15 46 26.6144291449 +07 21 11.041647444 HIP 77358 :15 47 29.1006767984 -37 54 58.722306957 HIP 77760 :15 52 40.5411372117 +42 27 05.451105113 HIP 77801 :15 53 12.0969759597 +13 11 47.842699872 HIP 78072 ;15 56 27.1826948 +15 39 41.820500 HIP 78459 ;16 01 02.6608052290 +33 18 12.642245533 HIP 78775 ;16 04 56.7938239883 +39 09 23.434791067 HIP 79248 ;16 10 24.3152754550 +43 49 03.498734567 HIP 79537 ;16 13 48.5584718157 -57 34 13.843908786 HIP 79672 ;16 15 37.2703721200 -08 22 09.981989277 HIP 80337 ;16 24 01.2905970257 -39 11 34.734611237 HIP 80459 ;16 25 24.6233091302 +54 18 14.765751243 HIP 80686 ;16 28 28.1396465547 -70 05 03.822057572 HIP 80824 ;16 30 18.0582010683 -12 39 45.323235188 HIP 81300 :16 36 21.4492997704 -02 19 28.512485729 HIP 82588 :16 52 58.8025427362 -00 01 35.116299669 HIP 82860 :16 56 01.6892483 +65 08 05.263139 HIP 83541 :17 04 27.8432064954 -28 34 57.639798036 HIP 83601 :17 05 16.8186294192 +00 42 09.217571716 HIP 83609 :17 05 20.7263053042 -33 46 00.025833174 HIP 84478 :17 16 13.3627374963 -26 32 46.133091527 HIP 84720 :17 19 03.83574 -46 38 10.4467 HIP 84862 :17 20 39.5675395123 +32 28 03.877348066 HIP 84893 ;17 21 00.3752009 -21 06 46.566283 HIP 85042 ;17 22 51.2877095327 -02 23 17.439826176 HIP 85235 ;17 25 00.0982708577 +67 18 24.150141561 HIP 85295 ;17 25 45.2323043669 +02 06 41.123668100 HIP 85523 ;17 28 39.9455601300 -46 53 42.693246243 HIP 86162 ;17 36 25.8991699744 +68 20 20.904135942 HIP 86400 ;17 39 16.9163262 +03 33 18.875718 HIP 86486 ;17 40 23.8255179884 -49 24 56.104103575 HIP 86620 ;17 41 58.1041581273 +72 09 24.836647212 HIP 86736 ;17 43 25.7937012 -21 40 59.497954 ;17 44 08.7036342277 -51 50 02.591049123 HIP 86796 HIP 86974 ;17 46 27.5266778 +27 43 14.437984 HIP 88574 ;18 05 07.5787546501 -03 01 52.753216025 HIP 88601 ;18 05 27.28518 +02 30 00.3558 HIP 88694 ;18 06 23.7191233831 -36 01 11.229463639 HIP 88745 ;18 07 01.53971 +30 33 43.6896 HIP 88972 :18 09 37.4162810870 +38 27 27.995921559 HIP 89042 :18 10 26.1511772984 -62 00 08.059294747 HIP 89348 :18 13 53.8327884671 +64 23 50.225272101 HTP 89805 :18 19 40.13138 -63 53 11.6282 HIP 90790 :18 31 18,9612203327 -18 54 31,732564617 HIP 91768 ;18 42 46.7048533410 +59 37 49.411785651 HIP 91772 ;18 42 46.8942178098 +59 37 36.723092516 HIP 93858 ;19 06 52.4643138830 -37 48 38.372801262 HIP 94761 ;19 16 55.2565250393 +05 10 08.038856129 HIP 95149 ;19 21 29.7277723051 -34 59 00.356331012 HIP 95319 ;19 23 34.0133626629 +33 13 19.074920892 HIP 95447 ;19 24 58.2002406780 +11 56 39.882312617

HIP 96100 :19 32 21.5902990 +69 39 40.234737 HIP 96441 :19 36 26.5343563 +50 13 15.964573 HIP 96895 :19 41 48.9539315338 +50 31 30.218780803 HIP 97295 :19 46 25.5997765489 +33 43 39.342745085 HIP 97675 ;19 51 01.6437560393 +10 24 56.595177367 HIP 97944 ;19 54 17.7452778997 -23 56 27.862976419 HIP 98036 ;19 55 18.7925630 +06 24 24.342501 HIP 98470 ;20 00 20.2490835420 -33 42 12.427710024 HIP 98767 ;20 03 37.4049065078 +29 53 48.495330996 HIP 98959 ;20 05 32.7652367983 -67 19 15.228862402 HIP 99240 ;20 08 43.6094697 -66 10 55.443275 HIP 99461 ;20 11 11.9384853308 -36 06 04.353559455 HIP 99701 ;20 13 53.3963903475 -45 09 50.473460317 HIP 99825 ;20 15 17.3916558603 -27 01 58.713584596 HIP 100017 ;20 17 31.3282807419 +66 51 13.281593047 HIP 100925 :20 27 44.2428093744 -30 52 04.246660714 HIP 101997 :20 40 11.7546336973 -23 46 25.924674471 HIP 102040 ;20 40 45.1407864181 +19 56 07.928511642 HIP 102485 :20 46 05.7326265 -25 16 15.231155 HIP 103096 :20 53 19.7890710784 +62 09 15.813717444 HIP 103389 ;20 56 47.3303687041 -26 17 46.969399809 HIP 104214 :21 06 53.9396100677 +38 44 57.897024357 HIP 104217 ;21 06 55.2640651855 +38 44 31.362140913 HIP 105090 ;21 17 15.2688576495 -38 52 02.510022611 HIP 105858 ;21 26 26.6048372 -65 21 58.314484 HIP 106440 ;21 33 33.9749932664 -49 00 32.403471949 HIP 107350 ;21 44 31.3299733695 +14 46 18.982331039 HIP 107649 ;21 48 15.7510673466 -47 18 13.020109072 HIP 108870 ;22 03 21.6542294981 -56 47 09.537018193 HIP 109176 ;22 07 00.6620572743 +25 20 42.376138495 HIP 109422 ;22 10 08.7803395485 -32 32 54.275502381 HIP 110649 ;22 24 56.3720716646 -57 47 50.824747845 HIP 111449 ;22 34 41.6367033191 -20 42 29.574530725 HIP 112447 ;22 46 41.5811758 +12 10 22.385447 HIP 112460 ;22 46 49.7311740821 +44 20 02.372223230 HIP 113020 ;22 53 16.7323107416 -14 15 49.303409936 HIP 113283 ;22 56 24.0532946025 -31 33 56.035064606 HIP 113357 ;22 57 27.9804167474 +20 46 07.782240714 HIP 113421 ;22 58 15.5411942071 -02 23 43.387117210 HIP 113576 ;23 00 16.1224771183 -22 31 27.651428500 HIP 114046 :23 05 52.0354545522 -35 51 11.058757520 HIP 114622 :23 13 16.9747821012 +57 10 06.076520993 HIP 114924 ;23 16 42.3028134294 +53 12 48.514282989 HIP 114948 :23 16 57.6873978547 -62 00 04.318777045 HIP 116085 ;23 31 22.2087185647 +59 09 55.866485371 HIP 116745 ;23 39 37.3871312596 -72 43 19.757339908 HIP 116771 ;23 39 57.0413764 +05 37 34.647529 HIP 117473 ;23 49 12.5251391270 +02 24 04.403044891 HIP 117712 ;23 52 25.4066877164 +75 32 40.368591630

## C.2 Bright Stars

1

The celestial coordinates (hour angle and declination) of the stars of apparent magnitude 2 and brighter, as sourced from SIMBAD.

bright\_stars\_simbad\_trim.csv

05	23	34.6 -69 45 22
05	40	45.527 -01 56 33.26
01	37	42.84548 -57 14 12.3101
12	54	01.7495922 +55 57 35.362645
12	47	43.26877 -59 41 19.5792
07	08	23.4840514 -26 23 35.518484
14	39	35.06311 -60 50 15.0992
05	40	45.52666 -01 56 33.2649
08	09	31.95013 -47 20 11.7108
05	25	07.86325 +06 20 58.9318
09	13	11.97746 -69 43 01.9473
07	12	36.0 -27 40 00
07	46	53.081 +39 00 52.52
06	37	42.71050 +16 23 57.4095
05	14	32.27210 -08 12 05.8981
14	39	36.49400 -60 50 02.3737
12	26	35.896 -63 05 56.73
17	33	36.52012 -37 06 13.7648
20	41	25.91514 +45 16 49.2197
07	46	54.220 +39 00 21.25
10	19	58.354462 +19 50 29.35920
12	31	09.95961 -57 06 47.5684
07	46	58.210 +39 00 51.24
05	59	31.7229284 +44 56 50.757259
19	50	46.99855 +08 52 05.9563
14	39	36.204 -60 50 08.23
18	36	56.33635 +38 47 01.2802
22	57	39.04625 -29 37 20.0533
13	47	32.43776 +49 18 47.7602
17	23	41.71 +30 29 50.6
08	22	30.83526 -59 30 34.1431
07	46	53.320 +39 00 18.25
04	35	55.23907 +16 30 33.4885

06	45	08.91728 -16 42 58.0171
17	37	19.12985 -42 59 52.1808
07	45	18.94987 +28 01 34.3160
10	08	22.31099 +11 58 01.9516
12	26	36.442 -63 05 58.28
14	03	49.404440 -60 22 22.94186
05	16	41.35871 +45 59 52.7693
16	29	24.45970 -26 25 55.2094
20	25	38.85705 -56 44 06.3230
22	08	13.98473 -46 57 39.5078
05	36	12.81335 -01 12 06.9089
06	45	08.917 -16 42 58.02
07	34	35.863 +31 53 17.79
03	24	19.3700924 +49 51 40.245455
14	15	39.67207 +19 10 56.6730
06	58	37.54876 -28 58 19.5102
05	55	10.30536 +07 24 25.4304
06	22	41.9853527 -17 57 21.307352
07	34	35.87319 +31 53 17.8160
05	26	17.51312 +28 36 26.8262
07	46	54.220 +39 01 18.25
13	25	11.57937 -11 09 40.7501
22	47	27.89448 +58 07 23.6820
17	37	19.131 -42 59 52.17
80	44	42.22658 -54 42 31.7493
07	46	53.970 +39 01 06.25
16	48	39.89508 -69 01 39.7626
14	03	49.40535 -60 22 22.9266
09	27	35.24270 -08 39 30.9583
07	39	18.11950 +05 13 29.9552
11	03	43.67152 +61 45 03.7249
06	23	57.10988 -52 41 44.3810
18	24	10.31840 -34 23 04.6193

### C.3 Observations

This is the list of observations baselined for LUVOIR, per Stark *et al.* 2015 [57], received from Chris Stark via personal communication. Each row consists of the star ID (Hipparcos Catalogue), the count of how many times the star has been observed (including the current one, *i.e.* starting at 1), the desired number of years since the first observation of that star, and the duration of the observation in days.

LUVOIR-Architecture\_A-NOMINAL\_OCCRATES-observations-trim.csv

	1	1
	91772,1,0,0.352881	47080,1,0,0.4362
108870,1,0,0.287309	29271,1,0,0.353868	64797,1,0,0.396933
104214,1,0,0.283274	86162,1,0,0.337981	15371,1,0,0.445264
19849,1,0,0.281086	10644,1,0,0.323017	42808,1,0,0.38504
54035,1,0,0.287174	56997,1,0,0.383947	41926,1,0,0.389346
15510,1,0,0.272661	70890,1,0,0.379192	78072,1,0,0.251129
104217,1,0,0.307915	8102,1,0,0.238586	86400,1,0,0.41743
114046,1,0,0.296112	88601,1,0,0.309483	40693,1,0,0.430989
96100,1,0,0.294242	23311,1,0,0.38509	80337,1,0,0.455107
105090,1,0,0.308159	81300,1,0,0.396736	10798,1,0,0.40478
73184,1,0,0.332606	8362,1,0,0.395069	26779,1,0,0.432715
49908,1,0,0.335085	23693,1,0,0.308712	25878,1,0,0.397967
61317,1,0,0.269823	32984,1,0,0.377723	120005,1,0,0.402678
1599,1,0,0.268259	14632,1,0,0.261069	94761,1,0,0.360449
84478,1,0,0.331173	72659.1.0.0.404621	22263.1.0.0.450719
1475,1,0,0.32297	80686.1.0.0.333923	43587.1.0.0.45974
99461,1,0,0.334955	13402.1.0.0.398152	117473.1.0.0.355723
114622,1,0,0.344392	12777.1.0.0.265108	58345.1.0.0.411353
64394,1,0,0.270016	27072.1.0.0.241134	85235.1.0.0.415489
105858,1,0,0.267811	3821.1.0.0.235953	58576.1.0.0.4824
3765,1,0,0.348172	85523.1.0.0.375158	69972.1.0.0.418291
64924,1,0,0.31245	68184 . 1 . 0 . 0 . 395846	82860.1.0.0.330239
12114,1,0,0.350594	57939 1 0 0 361294	10138 1 0 0 445339
7751,1,0,0.353935	99240 1 0 0 241339	
16537,1,0,0.248323	77257 1 0 0 289611	47592 1 0 0 347382
15457,1,0,0.322745	15330 1 0 0 41951	12843 1 0 0 28986
57443,1,0,0.327535	36208 1 0 0 395047	544 1 0 0 454649
7981,1,0,0.350556	3093 1 0 0 423569	5862 1 0 0 354734
113283,1,0,0.360968	72848 1 0 0 412738	
84720,1,0,0.375995	AE242 1 0 0 285140	
63721,1,0,0.307463	43343,1,0,0.305149	24192 1 0 0 245254
99825,1,0,0.376448	60312, 1, 0, 0.405110	24100,1,0,0.345354
56452,1,0,0.366809	51459,1,0,0.528894	
	24813,1,0,0.316676	1599,2,0.935237,0.260386

76074,1,0,0.388955
64394,2,1.00688,0.265323
42438,1,0,0.497364
84862,1,0,0.494575
61317,2,0.911963,0.26078
57757,1,0,0.242968
16852,1,0,0.276378
80824,1,0,0.443085
7513,1,0,0.26447
99701,1,0,0.38925
116771,1,0,0.267416
75181,1,0,0.521747
27072,2,1.23515,0.23919
53721,1,0,0.445572
3583,1,0,0.500943
85295,1,0,0.430794
25278,1,0,0.437287
14632,2,1.33144,0.260536
27435.1.0.0.504808
12777.2.1.3581.0.262945
117712.1.0.0.49157
3909,1,0,0.468201
101997,1,0,0.459937
77358,1,0,0.500423
71284,1,0,0.285117
49081,1,0,0.527914
107649,1,0,0.499384
88745,1,0,0.450312
78072,2,1.41411,0.249056
106440,1,0,0.372038
38908,1,0,0.513021
77760,1,0,0.305009
62951,1,0,0.417408
77052,1,0,0.5304
102485,1,0,0.265403
86974,1,0,0.248049
113357,1,0,0.536784
77257,2,1.28747,0.283427
15510,2,0.686794,0.244463
83609,1,0,0.398241
98767,1,0,0.508383
86796,1,0,0.462937
23693,2,1.0239,0.302723
95447,1,0,0.47914
35136,1,0,0.49761

95319,1,0,0.476392 439.1.0.0.316517 113020,1,0,0.470363 3821,2,0.923223,0.231018 7981,2,0.494539,0.263352 63721,2,0.0205623,0.289695 97944,1,0,0.538366 22449,1,0,0.230071 64924,2,0.799815,0.279279 109176,2,1.54814,0.246244 88601,2,0.245413,0.270366 34065,1,0,0.521634 70497,1,0,0.260704 99240,2,0.952997,0.234232 32480,1,0,0.501204 78775,1,0,0.446782 10644,2,0.883751,0.300433 99461,2,0.403789,0.246728 7978,1,0,0.521441 57757,2,1.41536,0.241918 62207,1,0,0.492487 19076,1,0,0.530805 33277,1,0,0.51482 80686,2,0.992291,0.321531 24813,2,1.16942,0.312446 59199,1,0,0.258692 100017,1,0,0.515059 12653,1,0,0.526788 78459,1,0,0.519206 7751,2,0.45474,0.270132 56997,2,0.612957,0.297468 57443,2,0.28758,0.296207 43726,1,0,0.493179 12114,2,0.392664,0.25893 51459,2,1.08223,0.313439 107350,1,0,0.48514 114622,2,0.421855,0.260216 91772,2,0.0224414,0.309339 3765,2,0.416885,0.263602 32439,1,0,0.517121 84720,2,0.511269,0.276939 33226,1,0,0.466903 93858,1,0,0.50626 86162,2,0.0337295,0.318728 77801,1,0,0.496697

91768,1,0,0.299609 99825.2.0.519176.0.296893 84478,2,0.287978,0.254505 15457,2,0.293851,0.306016 16537,2,0.126501,0.248036 89042,1,0,0.537312 29568,1,0,0.493956 76829,1,0,0.302581 56452,2,0.479852,0.295789 88694,1,0,0.524859 17378,1,0,0.243648 12843,2,1.47677,0.288203 98959,1,0,0.488198 46509,1,0,0.301578 64792,1,0,0.484355 70319,1,0,0.511524 73184,2,0.343498,0.246195 8362,2,0.614296,0.312373 62523,1,0,0.522658 81300,2,0.549817,0.301627 69965,1,0,0.544422 7513,2,1.55401,0.264789 23311,2,0.429375,0.29373 8102,2,0.576285,0.230802 68682,1,0,0.515639 16852,2,1.63184,0.275947 116771,2,1.54647,0.265467 113283,2,0.325525,0.275452 96100,2,0.241784,0.256374 29271,2,0.821086,0.31638 26394,1,0,0.542257 72659,2,0.669996,0.312252 40843,1,0,0.464369 1475,2,0.0563663,0.295632 114046,2,0.056646,0.254391 19849,2,0.51282,0.229 57939,2,0.124324,0.3334 13402,2,0.470668,0.314424 104214,2,0.0921989,0.24943 54035,2,0.0417176,0.243678 49908,2,0.226519,0.243881 36208,2,0.0239883,0.336401 105090,2,0.186514,0.240245 82860,2,1.27898,0.326647 86736,1,0,0.412895

45343,2,0.0812126,0.333691 85523,2,0.0861027,0.330459 15330,2,0.687956,0.354485 32984,2,0.12973,0.319837 18859,1,0,0.53028 47592,2,1.23524,0.335897 65721,1,0,0.364877 72567,1,0,0.512848 4151,1,0,0.33037 33817,1,0,0.504598 72848,2,0.543319,0.348585 3093,2,0.557556,0.349506 15371,2,0.805188,0.365335 42808,2,0.157121,0.342445 109422,1,0,0.436412 5862,2,1.22773,0.342462 112447,1,0,0.272542 117473,2,0.096795,0.321111 47080,2,0.801144,0.364216 94761,2,0.0409875,0.34642 25878,2,0.075789,0.365196 104217,2,0.202454,0.226041 120005,2,0.0885721,0.360153 71284,2,1.65225,0.28552 102485,2,1.6634,0.263533 41926,2,0.183966,0.347469 70890,2,0.0104425,0.310202 64797,2,0.164738,0.348478 88972,2,0.169857,0.335572 68184,2,0.15904,0.330091 48113,1,0,0.472037 29800,1,0,0.434222 38784,1,0,0.485325 24186,2,0.0462832,0.330924 86400,2,0.162925,0.350314 44897,1,0,0.559038 34017,1,0,0.555441 70497,2,1.5473,0.260565 40693,2,0.594656,0.374474 77760,2,1.60274,0.301685 22263,2,0.794425,0.378825 58345,2,0.168735,0.367252 80337,2,0.810337,0.396304 29525,1,0,0.465457 67155,1,0,0.345049

108870,2,0.159081,0.243134 29295.2.0.0733788.0.369499 97675,1,0,0.472063 57507,1,0,0.478183 10798,2,0.19389,0.374255 86974,2,1.33981,0.247633 26779,2,0.52205,0.364666 59199,2,1.57807,0.258431 22449,2,1.36707,0.229572 116745,1,0,0.45707 910,1,0,0.428435 85235,2,0.186144,0.368298 36439,1,0,0.524608 43587,2,0.614463,0.388867 99701,2,0.076072,0.354604 45333,1,0,0.472097 53721,2,0.990708,0.383971 58576,2,0.770102,0.394286 113576,1,0,0.445019 69972,2,0.172865,0.38432 65859,1,0,0.346812 25278,2,1.01774,0.399058 114948,1,0,0.556759 10138,2,0.48612,0.395822 73996,1,0,0.438534 114924,1,0,0.52862 82588,1,0,0.481385 76074,2,0.101221,0.362143 84862,2,0.925033,0.390939 79672,2,0.841008,0.399025 50954,1,0,0.256836 90790,1,0,0.453855 544,2,0.625035,0.407895 17378,2,1.17426,0.24244 29650,1,0,0.472944 79248,1,0,0.475815 88745,2,1.2121,0.413688 42438,2,0.809544,0.420043 76829,2,1.71169,0.302158 85042,1,0,0.509825 46509,2,1.74712,0.298422 106440,2,0.0528322,0.349613 28103,1,0,0.244978 55846,1,0,0.515275 75181,2,0.81841,0.380223

51502,1,0,0.479891 3909,2,1.13869,0.42832 950,1,0,0.480503 96441,1,0,0.31353 439,2,0.0460831,0.307986 85295,2,0.11101,0.413505 83601,1,0,0.573866 44075,1,0,0.561584 110649,1,0,0.497046 113421,1,0,0.493946 86796,2,1.07913,0.414218 95447,2,1.1695,0.401376 25110,1,0,0.445736 95149,1,0,0.464092 80824,2,0.0212749,0.410272 57548,1,0,0.509294 49081,2,1.00063,0.425583 116085,1,0,0.492402 112447,2,1.62782,0.270548 17651,1,0,0.271671 12444,1,0,0.505504 19335,1,0,0.579666 27435,2,0.718265,0.402312 77358,2,0.723751,0.385808 3583,2,0.784805,0.453885 107649,2,0.946343,0.44749 77052,2,0.737362,0.385226 91768,2,0.0333147,0.284724 117712,2,0.493981,0.420537 83541,1,0,0.488139 38908,2,0.948802,0.441634 61174,1,0,0.279366 103389,1,0,0.514069 83609,2,0.0203872,0.393578 62951,2,0.0357359,0.392856 98470,1,0,0.522834 54211,1,0,0.326936 98767,2,0.907949,0.429769 4151,2,1.74206,0.324739 97295,1,0,0.446683 32480,2,1.19373,0.452713 113357,2,0.996879,0.471112 34065,2,1.0181,0.418652 39903,1,0,0.393675 28103,2,1.63483,0.244851

48113,2,1.33144,0.430705 51317,1,0,0.440805 103096,1,0,0.433797 69965,2,0.908226,0.433419 33226,2,0.0830554,0.422152 102040,1,0,0.498666 88574,1,0,0.365602 17651,2,1.75542,0.271497 50384,1,0,0.52862 68682,2,0.788128,0.416177 98036,2,1.70761,0.251157 72603,1,0,0.471069 73996,2,1.33906,0.400997 18859,2,1.25833,0.479392 67155,2,0.0694365,0.323158 96441,2,1.77375,0.311287 79537,1,0,0.307262 97675,2,1.35591,0.443778 29568,2,0.265219,0.421971 72567,2,0.934133,0.442445 100925,1,0,0.490093 1803,1,0,0.499305 61174,2,1.74327,0.277071 1292,1,0,0.485848 45333,2,1.35524,0.458058 70319,2,0.284431,0.420341 33817,2,0.267202,0.406141 30503,1,0,0.47761 50564,1,0,0.407686 3821,3,1.20019,0.228939 21770,1,0,0.286311 65859,2,0.140357,0.316912 7339,1,0,0.519697 67275,1,0,0.542536 62523,2,0.278984,0.465474 86486,1,0,0.403112 89805,1,0,0.498628 89348,1,0,0.443271 99240,3,1.2389,0.23303 51523,1,0,0.42797 44897,2,0.950103,0.446347 36439,2,1.38321,0.495221 39903,2,1.33671,0.38931 36366,2,1.60764,0.270571 43797,1,0,0.531987

116745,2,0.159274,0.424565 34017,2,0.959621,0.488094 29650,2,1.39746,0.469423 113576,2,0.101204,0.413181 114924,2,1.26074,0.457136 38784,2,0.307961,0.469719 25110,2,1.51447,0.441355 114948,2,1.21053,0.440794 51502,2,1.40856,0.466118 950,2,1.40469,0.470458 57507,2,0.328952,0.4229 29525,2,0.272803,0.464771 97295,2,1.42775,0.446251 64583,2,1.56894,0.341275 110649,2,1.35551,0.480724 27072,3,2.19582,0.238647 90790,2,0.205027,0.426551 82588,2,0.28597,0.487804 79248,2,0.739098,0.4543 113421,2,0.92681,0.471817 57443,3,1.07843,0.276402 54211,2,0.0578372,0.312249 84893,2,1.75421,0.379272 85042,2,0.837287,0.414341 15457,3,1.10194,0.275594 44075,2,1.16264,0.498911 10644,3,1.23725,0.301787 17378,3,1.67752,0.242958 83601,2,1.01003,0.429614 19335,2,1.34951,0.473705 12444,2,1.19495,0.423343 8102,3,0.733453,0.229955 94761,3,0.081975,0.334639 103389,2,1.26564,0.495983 98470,2,1.31072,0.506115 50564,2,1.41934,0.397226 86486,2,1.44116,0.396398 21770,2,1.79765,0.282917 22449,3,2.27844,0.229568 45343,3,0.162425,0.306485 68184,3,0.556641,0.319894 23693,3,1.43346,0.298457 95149,2,0.348955,0.46072 40035,2,1.42677,0.495584 116085,2,0.282084,0.431768

55846,2,0.282856,0.456163 49986,2,0.12591,0.361804 32984,3,0.486488,0.296452 88972,3,0.594498,0.32044 64797,3,0.617769,0.335019 57757,3,2.6538,0.241335 41926,3,0.689874,0.374448 29271,3,1.11966,0.287333 113283,3,0.443897,0.269617 86162,3,0.060713,0.290768 51459,3,1.51512,0.320256 111449,2,1.54187,0.46284 57939,3,0.279729,0.310105 117473,3,0.123194,0.317088 51523,2,1.40515,0.422844 58345.3.0.303723.0.336619 5336,2,0.490042,0.501293 72603,2,1.61553,0.470688 64924,3,1.09066,0.274288 86974,3,1.78642,0.247545 89348,2,1.56926,0.446288 96895,2,1.06601,0.444748 42808,3,0.314243,0.320954 85523,3,0.114804,0.32666 86400,3,0.61097,0.336894 86620,2,1.25818,0.452902 79537,2,0.277545,0.292678 16537,3,0.506005,0.214274 29295,3,0.146758,0.336989 10798,3,0.727089,0.357188 63721,3,0.0308434,0.25804 83541,2,0.334429,0.451836 91772,3,0.0403945,0.282026 112460,2,0.0332761,0.425258 57548,2,0.0219921,0.458526 120005,3,0.177144,0.319399 69671,2,0.880143,0.428332 88574,2,0.127174,0.359845 103096,2,0.0878813,0.402694 19859,2,0.964353,0.430998 105858,3,1.42413,0.259018 50384,2,1.24338,0.457297 25878,3,0.13642,0.313495 36208,3,0.043179,0.317769 109176,3,2.75224,0.246223

80686,3,1.38921,0.323569 85295.3.0.222019.0.365955 80459,2,0.0413867,0.417976 85235,3,0.372289,0.341528 64394,3,1.40964,0.262003 1599,3,1.30933,0.26074 78072,3,2.51397,0.248998 99701,3,0.152144,0.330407 67275,2,1.63648,0.46239 61317,3,1.27675,0.259858 51317,2,0.0439498,0.421851 69972,3,0.388946,0.343035 30503,2,0,983024,0,458623 24813,3,1.63718,0.303805 43797,2,1.44331,0.531565 1803,2,0.941629,0.446038 102040,2,0.895662,0.405468 89805,2,1.18019,0.49761 62951,3,0.0643246,0.380482 76074,3,0.147231,0.38037 56452,3,0.654343,0.290134 23311,3,0.585511,0.287712 80824,3,0.0850996,0.38159 77257,3,1.67371,0.285895 13402,3,0.658936,0.332321 26779,3,0.73087,0.363401 70497,3,2.90118,0.262431 14632,3,2.66288,0.258637 59199,3,2.95888,0.256283 1292,2,0.250672,0.458953 100925,2,0.345438,0.43389 106440,3,0.116231,0.321235 7513,3,3.2807,0.264872 101997,3,0.856269,0.391398 116771,3,3.26478,0.265607 24186,3,0.0848525,0.276725 12777,3,1.90134,0.261882 47592,3,1.72934,0.335153 3821,4,1.93877,0.226617 7339,2,0.309436,0.499051 99240,4,1.90599,0.229755 12843.3.1.9198.0.285836 16852,3,3.26368,0.276162 5862,3,1.71882,0.340101 102485,3,2.95716,0.264993

82860,3,1.66268,0.32414 95319,3,0.946945,0.436193 84478,3,0.392697,0.248631 83609,3,0.0407745,0.356995 78775,3,0.470544,0.339473 71284,3,3.30449,0.289635 113020,3,0.0611873,0.428897 1475,3,0.101459,0.257468 86974,4,2.82849,0.247772 33817,3,0.534405,0.391058 22449,4,3.34172,0.228958 112447,3,2.23825,0.275339 72848,3,0.760646,0.333359 33226,3,0.113257,0.466612 29568,3,0.530439,0.383932 70319,3,0.995509,0.417368 12114,3,0.53545,0.267374 439,3,0.0614441,0.299982 96100,3,0.483567,0.238135 72659,3,0.91363,0.308496 7981,3,0.692355,0.263498 7751,3,0.6201,0.264363 81300,3,0.749751,0.287638 49908,3,0.30889,0.236516 40693,3,0.832518,0.365537 77760,3,3.20548,0.298847 50954,3,3.11903,0.260499 46509,3,3.49424,0.297441 76829,3,3.42337,0.302113 28103,3,3.26966,0.244941 10138,3,0.680568,0.382473 57507,3,0.592113,0.387325 3765,3,0.56848,0.256915 544,3,0.875049,0.394372 91768,3,0.0555244,0.28069 62523,3,1.04619,0.417073 99825,3,0.707967,0.294515 3093,3,0.780578,0.338168 67155,3,0.138873,0.304061 99461,3,0.58733,0.245119 38784,3,0.615922,0.361656 90790.3.0.410054.0.384186 8362,3,0.837677,0.301517 17378,4,2.68403,0.241058 15510,3,0.936537,0.233849

17651,3,3.29142,0.271517 114046,3,0.113292,0.242014 84720,3,0.715777,0.272926 61174,3,2.397,0.278451 16537,4,0.632507,0.2199 19849,3,0.6993,0.224063 29525,3,0.613807,0.379087 8102,4,1.10018,0.212649 95149,3,0.628119,0.460597 113576,3,0.222648,0.387534 116745,3,0.318549,0.378604 43587,3,0.860248,0.38081 82588,3,0.571939,0.374321 77358,3,0.940876,0.426786 56997,3,0.85814,0.298746 55846,3,0.636426,0.457522 15330,3,0.963139,0.354122 83541,3,0.601973,0.441826 4151,3,3.48411,0.321023 105090,3,0.254337,0.228985 36366,3,3.21528,0.271037 117712,3,0.673611,0.342884 27435,3,0.933745,0.388644 68682,3,1.07472,0.370357 65859,3,0.191396,0.311309 439,4,0.0921661,0.275197 116085,3,0.564167,0.384214 96441,3,2.36499,0.318085 54211,3,0.115674,0.317745 98036,3,3.41522,0.250287 93858,3,0.983529,0.431506 80459,3,0.0827734,0.426398 8102,5,1.46691,0.21249 114622,3,0.575256,0.260495 21770,3,2.47178,0.280786 77052,3,0.95857,0.403787 22263,3,1.11219,0.391746 73184,3,0.468406,0.234354 62207,3,1.18808,0.45239 49986,3,0.171696,0.376941 65721,3,3.22528,0.349514 75181.3.1.06393.0.427394 98959,3,1.15721,0.408397 63721,4,0.0411245,0.259395 79248,3,1.00786,0.381964

47080,3,1.09247,0.355254 54035.3.0.0834351.0.231917 25278,3,2.03547,0.416723 88694,3,1.12427,0.525908 43726,3,1.16052,0.423071 53721,3,1.98142,0.38425 42438,3,1.13336,0.419374 77801,3,1.05521,0.376521 58576,3,1.07814,0.447351 3583,3,1.09873,0.399256 57548,3,0.0408426,0.45852 100017,3,1.22818,0.43535 15371,3,1.12726,0.354763 91768,4,0.0721818,0.259435 64583,3,3.13789,0.341326 39903,3,2.67342,0.389442 910,3,2.45269,0.411936 86736,3,2.4651,0.418776 104217,3,0.294479,0.225255 79672,3,1.17741,0.399195 88574,3,0.173419,0.365141 107350,3,1.12786,0.389275 94761,4,0.122962,0.339275 12843,4,3.10121,0.288872 84862,3,1.29505,0.439614 112447,4,3.66259,0.272418 19076,3,1.08612,0.38669 80337,3,1.13447,0.362927 33277,3,1.21785,0.479713 51317,3,0.0966896,0.402177 3821,5,2.58502,0.224858 99240,5,2.66839,0.228605 103096,3,0.175763,0.374201 1292,3,0.940022,0.441202 57939,4,0.404053,0.306919 112460,3,0.0617985,0.413087 69965,3,1.18069,0.389865 106440,4,0.17963,0.34013 100925,3,0.621789,0.390852 78459,3,1.37963,0.411565 79537,3,0.360808,0.291788 73996,3,2.51074,0.381102 88745,3,2.303,0.404591 84893,3,3.70334,0.373348 86796,3,1.43884,0.41715

70890,3,0.0301671,0.281937 38908,3,1.23344,0.42685 109422,3,2.77635,0.423741 34065,3,1.32352,0.459997 99701,4,0.228216,0.321522 98767,3,1.18033,0.412074 45343,4,0.243638,0.306539 97944,3,0.963268,0.367586 95447,3,1.6373,0.4585 85042,3,1.1722,0.360765 50564,3,2.83867,0.407455 120005,4,0.265716,0.317317 61174,4,3.92236,0.277727 22449,5,3.64551,0.228404 7978,3,1.37431,0.538242 35136,3,1.4313,0.494268 89042,3,1.56988,0.554827 32439,3,1.6028,0.528984 29800,3,2.77932,0.411077 72567,3,1.21437,0.396177 3909,3,1.59416,0.444327 67155,4,0.19839,0.309332 77257,4,2.70369,0.284972 107649,3,1.32488,0.399896 97295,3,2.67703,0.446405 42808,4,0.471364,0.32114 86486,3,2.88232,0.384824 69972,4,0.561811,0.345699 51523,3,2.81029,0.423837 117473,4,0.158392,0.317203 48113,3,2.36701,0.463272 25110,3,2.6924,0.459304 26394,3,1.37884,0.442316 85295,4,0.333029,0.349556 69671,3,1.05617,0.465941 40843,3,1.66296,0.442008 102040,3,1.22136,0.386754 12777,4,2.85202,0.264699 96441,4,3.74458,0.309812 88601,4,1.10436,0.249726 19859,3,1.31503,0.377962 64792.3.2.60936.0.45622 49081,3,1.40088,0.425502 86974,5,3.27509,0.247471 85235,4,0.558433,0.35619

1803,3,1.28404,0.405533 54211,4,0.165249,0.309959 104214,3,0.414895,0.218724 27072,4,3.1565,0.23878 113421,3,1.29753,0.397672 29295,4,0.220136,0.330077 108870,3,0.318163,0.226836 21770,4,4.04472,0.287624 97675,3,2.56116,0.453826 89348,3,2.94236,0.435016 83609,4,0.0611617,0.344778 62951,4,0.0857661,0.385671 12653,3,1.59705,0.447844 57757,4,3.89224,0.242101 78775,4,0.705816,0.36883 17378,5,3.01953,0.240611 102485,4,3.51163,0.26673 113357,3,1.39563,0.416187 113020,4,0.0945623,0.431057 86620,3,1.76145,0.575255 45333,3,2.5599,0.461759 59199,4,3.55065,0.260057 29650,3,1.86328,0.469269 109176,4,3.95635,0.24773 82860,4,2.68586,0.326039 58345,4,0.472457,0.330468 22449,6,4.55689,0.228764 114948,3,1.57368,0.440844 83601,3,1.41404,0.380333 32480,3,1.7906,0.473805 51502,3,2.5041,0.495294 70497,4,3.48142,0.260698 44897,3,1.23513,0.345372 111449,3,3.25505,0.491079 950,3,1.87292,0.461767 50384,3,1.61639,0.507075 78072,4,2.98533,0.249098 72603,3,3.41057,0.470679 7339,3,0.618872,0.367998 114924,3,1.63896,0.452318 110649,3,2.71102,0.481669 33817,4,0.748167,0.391039 113576,4,0.323851,0.38911 65859,4,0.242434,0.320953 71284,4,4.95674,0.28966

103389,3,1.89846,0.630629 29525,4,0.886611,0.379125 16537,5,0.801175,0.214509 30503,3,1.42985,0.403232 116745,4,0.477823,0.400583 80824,4,0.101056,0.373195 57507,4,0.921065,0.408731 46509,4,5.24136,0.301318 25878,4,0.212209,0.310437 76829,4,5.13506,0.300688 51459,4,1.94801,0.320205 116771,4,4.98308,0.268967 24186,4,0.123422,0.27082 17651,4,3.94971,0.272278 77760,4,4.9685,0.306114 49986,4,0.228927,0.390478 19335,3,1.88931,0.538318 38784,4,0.86229,0.379258 16852,4,4.89552,0.285128 40035,3,2.85354,0.491558 12444,3,1.55343,0.381707 7513,4,5.00738,0.265885 29568,4,0.861963,0.393554 96895,3,1.38581,0.391046 79537,4,0.555089,0.291809 88972,4,0.721891,0.307198 98470,3,1.83501,0.48807 50954,4,3.78739,0.256788 24813,4,2.10495,0.313277 23693,4,1.84302,0.293846 67275,3,2.12742,0.462379 18859,3,2.13916,0.544064 86162,4,0.0944425,0.278519 8102,6,1.57169,0.212781 14632,4,3.99433,0.260537 41926,4,0.873841,0.328951 36366,4,3.90427,0.273579 34017,3,1.34347,0.331168 76074,4,0.19324,0.366872 103096,4,0.263644,0.376584 5862,4,2.20991,0.344928 47592,4,2,22343,0,337956 36439,3,1.79818,0.435216 68184,4,0.675921,0.304176 10644,4,1.59075,0.295138

4151,4,5.22617,0.325801 33226.4.0.143459.0.466662 64797,4,0.782507,0.335106 36208,4,0.0623697,0.303067 80459,4,0.12416,0.426449 43797,3,1.87631,0.489779 44075,3,1.51144,0.367412 101997,4,1.02752,0.445637 105858,4,2.23792,0.263627 28103,4,3.9703,0.247855 82588,4,0.800715,0.380706 86400,4,0.773895,0.324454 117473,5,0.184791,0.314671 95149,4,0.7677,0.486398 65721,4,4.99919,0.375534 51317,4,0.131849,0.40238 89805,3,1.50206,0.37441 64394,4,2.21515,0.264225 65859,5,0.280713,0.316645 88574,4,0.219663,0.373408 83541,4,0.936402,0.405961 10798,4,0.920979,0.318362 64583,4,4.86373,0.341406 86974,6,4.1683,0.249003 89805,4,1.82393,0.543609 12444,4,2.2704,0.492625 80686,4,1.78612,0.316697 68682,4,1.50461,0.537335 40843,4,2.7716,0.49524 99240,6,2.85899,0.229722 950,4,3.12153,0.508177 62951,5,0.10006,0.415685 57443,4,1.36601,0.269766 72567,4,2.05509,0.550832 112460,4,0.0903209,0.393193 57548,4,0.0628347,0.429493 36439,4,2.90475,0.49954 3821,6,2.76967,0.227973 91772,4,0.0628359,0.273233 102485,5,4.99021,0.268419 32984,4,0.583786,0.284449 85523,4,0.143504,0.308903 70319,4,1.27994,0.395211 27072,5,3.97993,0.241373 67275,4,2.61836,0.543669

98036,4,4.87888,0.255607
116085,4,0.902668,0.392055
15457,4,1.39579,0.270355
78072,5,4.39944,0.251156
70890,4,0.051052,0.286495
17378,6,4.02604,0.241039
7339,4,0.77359,0.397471
24186,5,0.161991,0.277302
44897,4,1.33014,0.512915
88574,5,0.254347,0.398963
1599,4,2.05752,0.26071
7981,4,1.08799,0.253447
84893,4,5.65247,0.381801
90790,4,0.574076,0.31915
69965.4.1.36234.0.509898
10138.4.0.875016.0.394868
86796.4.2.15826.0.417203
50954.5.5.3469.0.262325
59199.5.4.93146.0.256784
26779.4.0.939689.0.356175
69671.4.1.32021.0.342548
5336.3.0.686059.0.337244
117712.4.0.808333.0.439279
70497.5.4.8353.0.264713
96441,5,4.33582,0.325391
38784,5,0.923882,0.55654
17651,5,5.4857,0.273931
78459.4.1.72454.0.497363
100925.4.0.829052.0.384492
100925.5.0.89814.0.609397
34017 . 4 . 1 . 63136 . 0 . 446116
61317.4.2.00632.0.259963
106440 5 0 221895 0 318787
28103.5.5.60513.0.249559
77052 4 1 17978 0 421262
43797 4 3 03096 0 477543
107649 4 2 08196 0 602246
25878 5 0 27284 0 327589
63721 5 0 0514057 0 257301
44075 4 2 20902 0 477282
109176 5 5 33247 0 248514
20205 5 0 203515 0 335366
72848 4 0 077073 0 261420
7513 5 6 21606 0 262215
76000 E E 640E6 0 201070
10023,5,5.04050,0.3019/2

29650,4,2.79491,0.453412 29525,5,1.02301,0.505803 49986,5,0.25182,0.382774 36366,5,5.51191,0.274611 116771,5,6.18589,0.268267 50384,4,2.61109,0.420872 71284,5,5.45241,0.280444 46509,5,7.1632,0.302562 83609,5,0.0713553,0.355133 77760,5,6.73151,0.305888 95447,4,2.57291,0.387097 79537,5,0.638352,0.295552 47592,5,2.59401,0.337369 12444,5,2.74838,0.609279 91768,5,0.0943915,0.245564 73996,4,3.18026,0.432496 12843,5,3.54424,0.285974 114948,4,2.5421,0.372261 86736,4,3.54358,0.423395 12653,4,2.39557,0.519411 100017,4,1.40363,0.559406 38908,4,1.61296,0.512353 57757,5,5.13067,0.247919 910,4,3.59729,0.436131 39903,4,3.24629,0.402272 98767,4,1.63431,0.522015 77801,4,1.70456,0.307771 5862,5,2.57822,0.333706 64583,5,6.58957,0.341454 21770,5,4.71884,0.293945 27435,4,1.0774,0.406183 16852,5,5.38507,0.27853 64792,4,4.0445,0.495419 79248,4,1.41101,0.362355 88601,6,2.02466,0.252479 544,4,1.06256,0.406485 93858,4,1.2105,0.339859 112447,5,4.27302,0.280597 25278,4,3.27937,0.465654 82860,5,3.06956,0.329933 88745,4,3.5151,0.452276 55846,4,0.919282,0.308538 61174,5,4.57608,0.283691 24813,5,2.45577,0.307677 439,5,0.115208,0.277233

99701,5,0.304288,0.318233 54211.5.0.181774.0.315847 51459,5,2.3809,0.313586 34065,4,1.62895,0.455661 29271,4,1.41824,0.299062 3583,4,1.49113,0.488603 98959,4,1.48784,0.35641 98959,5,2.1491,0.650785 43587,4,1.10603,0.392513 78775,5,0.941088,0.372436 86486,4,3.49997,0.4179 40693,4,1.07038,0.346581 51502,4,2.97362,0.467367 32439,4,2.4042,0.489254 113283,4,0.591863,0.259345 114924,4,2.77363,0.402083 14632,5,5.05948,0.260569 89042,4,1.90628,0.578118 29800,4,3.24253,0.450605 16537,6,0.927676,0.234769 50564,4,4.46077,0.426854 85295,5,0.421836,0.392427 77358,4,1.01325,0.584889 25110,4,3.3655,0.487242 94761,5,0.16395,0.328847 113357,4,2.09345,0.545263 75181,4,1.47314,0.474772 4151,5,7.14243,0.325348 13402,4,0.847203,0.308044 23693,5,2.25258,0.300767 42808,5,0.667765,0.329122 69671,5,1.49624,0.465973 58345,5,0.641192,0.357764 53721,4,2.31165,0.464496 51523,4,3.61324,0.450031 77257,5,3.08993,0.288954 67155,5,0.257907,0.302224 76074,5,0.294461,0.389395 48113,4,2.81083,0.467803 12777,5,3.25945,0.265991 65721,5,6.61183,0.375534 3093,4,1.05936,0.338722 33226,5,0.166111,0.466684 79672,4,1.51382,0.442027 109422,4,3.23907,0.473358

97295,4,3.21243,0.431379 3909,4,2.27738,0.455874 23311,4,0.741647,0.294597 80337,4,1.45861,0.405043 96895,4,2.13202,0.34467 96895,5,2.66502,0.560809 22263,4,1.42996,0.407744 49081,4,1.80113,0.5154 1803,4,2.56808,0.405734 64924,4,1.3815,0.27424 89348,4,4.31547,0.453628 111449,4,4.79692,0.521805 34017,5,3.07079,0.647386 97675,4,3.01313,0.429163 83609,6,0.0815489,0.333635 76829,6,7.01791,0.310486 49986,6,0.286159,0.40573 1475,4,0.157826,0.248981 7339,5,1.00567,0.356882 54211,6,0.223086,0.315846 53721,5,3.30236,0.466313 71284,6,6.77421,0.284387 98470,4,2.88358,0.413064 16852,6,6.85373,0.282477 56452,4,0.828835,0.288037 40035,4,3.7096,0.646362 102040,4,1.62848,0.328835 102040,5,2.0356,0.426157 84893,5,7.79651,0.379355 95319,4,1.13633,0.296332 82588,5,0.972297,0.435692 57939,5,0.559459,0.310354 32480,4,2.62621,0.503631 72603,4,5.2056,0.490299 15330,4,1.23832,0.347676 98036,5,7.31832,0.255338 69972,5,0.734676,0.377644 81300,4,0.899701,0.292715 19335,4,2.15921,0.576716 19076,4,1.75449,0.297374 67275,5,3.10931,0.56933 85235,5,0.744577,0.345484 84862,4,2.12758,0.533069 15371,4,1.77141,0.396454 56997,4,1.34851,0.294341

110649,4,4.06654,0.509618 12444,6,3.70433,0.682271 35136,4,1.73801,0.462275 34017,6,3.93445,0.647374 42438,4,1.781,0.437621 93858,5,1.74009,0.551047 29800,5,4.47779,0.49096 96895,6,3.09143,0.625456 79537,6,0.832634,0.31948 98470,5,3.40787,0.654302 103389,4,2.53128,0.49634 97944,4,1.51371,0.280321 80686,5,2.18304,0.328579 114948,5,3.02631,0.610144 120005,5,0.354288,0.312694 33277,4,1.31153,0.637283 51317,5,0.184589,0.453196 102040,6,2.44272,0.431823 84720,4,1.12479,0.281422 45333,4,3.16223,0.450159 109422,5,4.47301,0.473358 8362,4,1.06106,0.304527 86620,4,2.1389,0.373429 86620,5,2.39054,0.579977 113020,5,0.122375,0.394719 57507,5,1.18423,0.347163 57507,6,1.84213,0.610484 88574,6,0.300592,0.402829 47080,4,1.31096,0.356299 114924,5,3.15185,0.593232 86486,5,4.94113,0.431115 103389,5,3.1641,0.630717 10644,5,1.94425,0.313431 97675,5,4.36904,0.518899 44897,5,1.99522,0.359242 44897,6,2.37526,0.631723 67155,6,0.297585,0.305136 116771,6,6.70138,0.268734 26394,4,2.22736,0.300053 58576,4,1.38618,0.419827 95149,5,1.5354,0.414767 72659.4.1.21817.0.302987 7513,6,6.73406,0.266473 73996,5,4.68671,0.486595 46509,6,7.68733,0.301319

27072,6,5.35232,0.246106 82588,6,2.00179,0.488152 18859,4,2.39083,0.438841 65859,6,0.370031,0.378748 84478,4,0.523596,0.279322 113576,5,0.384573,0.387708 93858,6,1.96706,0.505589 70890,5,0.0707766,0.342009 439,6,0.15361,0.27067 39903,5,4.583,0.393437 95319,5,1.32572,0.480437 45343,5,0.32485,0.285906 59199,6,5.52323,0.260542 62523,4,1.25543,0.288171 544,5,1.31257,0.453995 98767,5,2.08828,0.683394 62523,5,2.02263,0.429223 102485,6,5.54468,0.268643 86796,5,2.51797,0.534439 57757,6,5.66143,0.24791 27435,5,1.29288,0.411214 114924,6,4.16044,0.593267 107350,4,1.82192,0.280984 107350,5,1.90868,0.439963 50384,5,2.73543,0.596879 70497,6,5.22213,0.272352 26394,5,2.65162,0.506124 80459,5,0.182102,0.470009 88745,5,4.84842,0.484957 89805,5,2.25309,0.37211 49908,4,0.411853,0.241534 7751,4,0.78546,0.27808 116085,5,1.6925,0.438464 116085,6,1.97459,0.438464 95447,5,3.50851,0.51612 97944,5,2.20175,0.529359 26779,5,1.14851,0.363988 25110,5,4.71169,0.473977 109176,6,6.02053,0.253577 29568,5,0.928268,0.507565 1803,5,2.91049,0.40569 1803.6.3.76652.0.400043 18859,5,3.27166,0.513667 43797,5,4.04128,0.668414 91768,6,0.111049,0.252339

103096,5,0.307585,0.37415	62207,5,2.37615,0.539645	106440,6,0.243028,0.305253
116745,5,0.637098,0.305804	62207,6,3.05505,0.586677	83541,5,1.27083,0.345677
86736,5,4.77613,0.454274	65721,6,7.09562,0.375536	83541,6,2.07346,0.47374
98767,6,2.99623,0.60746	44075,5,3.13914,0.557169	78459,6,3.44908,0.640425
1292,4,1.31603,0.378541	44075,6,4.53431,0.635478	84862,5,3.05261,0.527028
1292,5,1.3787,0.590748	36366,6,6.2009,0.27897	43726,4,1.65788,0.282236
34065,5,2.138,0.582961	78459,5,2.06945,0.54814	43726,5,1.90656,0.334823
99825,4,0.896758,0.337865	21770,6,6.06708,0.295668	43726,6,2.48682,0.51994
35136,5,2.55589,0.586062	62951,6,0.142944,0.43321	29271,5,1.71682,0.274861
17651,6,5.92456,0.273957	67275,6,4.41849,0.593932	78072,6,4.71369,0.258066
64792,5,4.95778,0.575381	98036,6,10.0017,0.257388	112447,6,5.69736,0.282511
33817,5,1.01537,0.367934	12114,4,0.713934,0.249947	30503,4,1.69795,0.296335
77760,6,7.21233,0.306423	32439,5,2.74766,0.55672	30503,5,1.96605,0.493799
107649,5,3.0283,0.619969	40035,5,4.70834,0.56589	43797,6,4.47428,0.654595
4151,6,7.66504,0.333179	64583,6,8.31541,0.348779	47592,6,3.70572,0.350676
51523,5,4.81765,0.457602	7978,5,1.90289,0.554591	36439,5,3.31972,0.562502
49081,5,2.90182,0.547146	88694,4,1.4702,0.290792	103096,6,0.336878,0.317441
25278,5,4.29711,0.434288	88601,5,1.22707,0.246474	32480,5,3.58119,0.505924
910,5,5.23241,0.442599	19335,5,2.69902,0.56537	80824,5,0.122331,0.335209
51502,5,4.22567,0.467402	14632,6,6.25778,0.260935	5862,6,3.5604,0.354247
19849,4,0.9324,0.239952	110649,5,5.12082,0.537055	34065,6,3.25791,0.620201
72603,5,6.64163,0.528653	96100,4,0.676994,0.23067	114622,4,0.88206,0.242216
48113,5,3.8464,0.463345	64394,5,2.51721,0.261591	113283,5,0.680643,0.265046
7978,4,1.58574,0.493939	84893,6,9.94055,0.381847	13402,5,1.03547,0.299685
117473,6,0.263986,0.422989	68682,5,1.7912,0.31027	47080,5,2.11211,0.395032
111449,5,5.99615,0.529437	68682,6,2.36438,0.558428	98470,6,3.93216,0.654312
3765,4,0.720075,0.273992	114948,6,4.11579,0.610218	110649,6,6.17511,0.580806
45333,5,4.06573,0.492145	89348,5,6.27704,0.453638	76074,6,0.322067,0.380366
77358,5,1.23038,0.366334	36208,5,0.0815604,0.329289	5336,4,1.42112,0.337374
96441,6,5.7154,0.318136	99461,4,0.807578,0.250429	5336,5,1.66614,0.504768
38784,6,1.78617,0.556594	38908,5,2.08736,0.57033	77358,6,1.37513,0.487943
101997,5,1.19878,0.447543	12843,6,4.57798,0.291205	117712,5,1.12268,0.423621
105090,4,0.339116,0.24753	12777,6,4.21012,0.270979	117712,6,1.30231,0.47583
97295,5,4.46171,0.453819	28103,6,6.07223,0.246787	33226,6,0.226515,0.466657
29650,5,3.26073,0.458846	24813,6,3.3913,0.330728	86620,6,2.64217,0.5238
85523,5,0.172205,0.312147	55846,5,1.76785,0.428554	40843,5,3.18733,0.508894
51317,6,0.202169,0.520363	116745,6,0.764517,0.449676	3093,5,1.22662,0.348122
75181,5,1.71866,0.424509	73184,4,0.718223,0.234039	35136,6,2.8626,0.636865
77052,5,1.54846,0.484032	40693,5,1.30824,0.342728	950,5,3.74584,0.567413
107350,6,2.68951,0.485064	15510,4,1.31115,0.23598	89042,5,2.69123,0.507832
50564,5,6.08287,0.4311	61174,6,6.10144,0.284165	51459,6,2.81379,0.329834
105858,5,2.54309,0.256885	72848,5,1.1953,0.392587	24186,6,0.262271,0.27229
50954,6,5.79248,0.264517	40035,6,5.27905,0.646408	19859,4,1.92871,0.287283
26394,6,3.39407,0.554268	53721,6,4.07291,0.477283	19859,5,2.45472,0.371984
62207,4,1.78211,0.283678	3909,5,3.52994,0.575425	12653,5,3.19409,0.545407
	1	

83601,4,2.42406,0.309088 83601.5.3.9391.0.669328 85042,4,1.67457,0.288214 85042,5,1.7583,0.367582 85042,6,3.01423,0.402456 1599,5,2.33809,0.259767 42438,5,2.02386,0.50429 95447,6,4.91191,0.524535 19076,5,2.17223,0.375521 19076,6,2.58997,0.550375 72567,5,2.70898,0.499374 72567,6,3.17605,0.624358 45333,6,4.66806,0.518783 79672,5,1.76612,0.437345 29525,6,1.43222,0.526889 22263,5,1.74773,0.425143 18859,6,3.77499,0.513696 99701,6,0.349931,0.312515 10798,5,1.11487,0.348992 23693,6,3.0717,0.31296 77052,6,1.76967,0.577275 95149,6,2.44268,0.486443 56452,5,1.00333,0.298368 3583,5,1.88353,0.507587 29800,6,4.941,0.464215 41926,5,1.05781,0.343518 107649,6,3.5961,0.619976 12653,6,4.33484,0.545414 63721,6,0.0616868,0.302491 82860,6,4.09275,0.341283 78775,6,1.0352,0.432845 111449,6,7.02406,0.573428 32439,6,3.66354,0.556736 86796,6,3.47719,0.532622 79672,6,2.52303,0.499189 104217,4,0.404908,0.221729 80459,6,0.206934,0.426484 89042,6,3.02763,0.520561 61317,5,2.27991,0.257251 36439,6,4.42629,0.566662 15330,5,1.5135,0.374602 41926.6.1.47173.0.350622 72603,6,8.25716,0.567589 86162,5,0.121426,0.273169 7978,6,2.74862,0.549335

50564,6,8.31326,0.431107 80337,5,1.70171,0.426505 94761,6,0.204937,0.357678 109422,6,4.93573,0.473362 77257,6,3.99116,0.295146 86486,6,6.17641,0.444048 25878,6,0.333472,0.305445 73996,6,5.85838,0.486596 114046,4,0.169938,0.223151 39903,6,5.53779,0.423944 10138,5,1.02085,0.273101 70319,5,1.49326,0.320957 70319,6,2.13323,0.510627 113357,5,2.69157,0.602051 95319,6,1.45198,0.475725 23311,5,0.85875,0.337464 72659,5,1.4009,0.290535 25110,6,5.21652,0.502755 97295,6,4.99712,0.490598 38908,6,2.56177,0.580271 80824,6,0.132968,0.36989 51523,6,5.82132,0.450032 98959,6,2.23176,0.745535 32480,6,4.77492,0.581666 950,6,4.83837,0.567414 88972,5,0.806819,0.321793 48113,6,4.43815,0.473748 86736,6,5.23833,0.445195 84862,6,3.88514,0.524353 64797,5,0.947245,0.329411 64797,6,1.35909,0.345076 51502,6,4.53869,0.505019 64924,5,1.67234,0.254132 75181,6,2.53707,0.4748 64792,6,5.34918,0.575381 29295,6,0.352218,0.324267 89348,6,7.65015,0.493596 42808,6,0.864167,0.322693 22263,6,2.54216,0.463226 81300,5,1.04965,0.326733 19335,6,3.37377,0.576707 105858.6.3.35688.0.268163 88694,5,1.72965,0.266474 88694,6,2.24854,0.525907 49081,6,4.00251,0.602003

29650,6,4.34764,0.526884 85235,6,0.977258,0.356164 69671,6,1.76029,0.325706 33277,5,1.87361,0.282531 33277,6,2.24833,0.609656 80686,6,2.97687,0.328612 910,6,7.35808,0.475042 85523,6,0.215257,0.316388 58345,6,0.77618,0.338935 3583,6,2.74682,0.511409 10138,6,1.16669,0.409872 97675,6,5.8756,0.523407 3909,6,4.78249,0.541516 25278,6,5.42793,0.504247 68184,5,0.755441,0.314767 99461,5,1.13795,0.239414 86400,5,0.977552,0.331179 86400,6,1.50706,0.364929 113357,6,3.09033,0.624068 64394,6,3.32272,0.267467 101997,6,1.31295,0.442534 544,6,1.8751,0.426843 8362,5,1.28444,0.278224 12114,5,0.821024,0.257898 42438,6,2.67149,0.518445 70890,6,0.0905013,0.287871 40843,6,4.01881,0.542609 58576,5,1.77123,0.577126 27435,6,1.3647,0.469164 88745,6,6.90899,0.484956 77801,5,1.94807,0.267755 77801,6,2.92211,0.610644 57939,6,0.683783,0.314343 69965,5,1.63481,0.307385 69965,6,2.17974,0.70337 32984,5,0.648651,0.265788 7751,5,0.950819,0.268721 69972,6,0.821109,0.368378 49908,5,0.473631,0.23691 79248,5,2.08291,0.276944 79248,6,2.35168,0.552757 7981,5,1.53307,0.266068 3765,5,0.871669,0.251614 58576,6,2.61835,0.479283 15371,5,2.5766,0.438399

113421,4,1.85362,0.27038
113421,5,2.68775,0.318111
84720,5,1.27817,0.262151
91772,5,0.080789,0.331659
104214,4,0.484044,0.232483
15371,6,3.30127,0.415649
10644,6,2.828,0.321871
7981,6,2.22543,0.274665
113020,6,0.161312,0.400632
40693,6,1.78397,0.383858
72848,6,1.73862,0.390552
56997,5,1.53239,0.280372
99825,5,1.08555,0.267259
120005,6,0.425146,0.314119
84478,5,0.628316,0.247248
36208,6,0.100751,0.397195
88972,6,0.934211,0.330106
43587,5,1.35182,0.358851
43587,6,1.96628,0.435262
10798,6,1.4057,0.352988
73184,5,0.811904,0.229945
15330,6,2.13266,0.448282
3093,6,1.72842,0.368402
29568,6,1.12718,0.260404
1599,6,2.99276,0.268114
81300,6,1.54949,0.293324
26779,6,1.30512,0.375865
8362,6,1.84289,0.365685
68184,6,0.874722,0.335308
45343,6,0.373578,0.346033
86162,6,0.141664,0.31106

72659,6,1.94908,0.364736 54035,4,0.116809,0.220275 114622,5,0.997111,0.236998 15510,5,1.49846,0.243336 85295,6,0.444038,0.239176 13402,6,1.45907,0.356272 61317,6,2.91828,0.260953 57548,5,0.0659764,0.458816 57443,5,1.65359,0.298359 32984,6,0.745949,0.374893 57443,6,2.58822,0.290483 23311,6,1.24909,0.324483 100017,5,1.75454,0.260951 100017,6,2.19317,0.486756 80337,6,2.18791,0.51219 105090,5,0.406939,0.22638 15457,5,1.68964,0.292513 15457,6,2.64466,0.30024 56997,6,2.63572,0.375795 62523,6,2.23187,0.261458 47080,6,2.3306,0.436509 29271,6,2.38862,0.323216 84720,6,1.99395,0.375739 19849,5,1.07226,0.221861 90790,5,0.615082,0.233273 90790,6,0.697092,0.40204 1475,5,0.191645,0.247594 99825,6,1.46313,0.316808 108870,4,0.445428,0.214609 104214,5,0.645392,0.29391 103389,6,3.92349,0.32802

113576,6,0.445296,0.232633 64924,6,2.32674,0.291262 91772,6,0.10323,0.312294 99461,6,1.21137,0.248953 104217,5,0.478528,0.233807 113283,6,0.739829,0.259757 112460,5,0.0950746,0.405367 112460,6,0.152119,0.390091 33817,6,1.12225,0.23776 56452,6,1.26506,0.474161 104214,6,0.783691,0.214492 104217,6,0.607363,0.221299 7751,6,1.28154,0.292951 3765,6,1.21276,0.272645 12114,6,1.21369,0.298775 84478,6,0.863934,0.306483 114622,6,1.22721,0.262038 105090,6,0.542585,0.229679 96100,5,1.06385,0.220416 49908,6,0.535409,0.225733 1475,6,0.214192,0.235886 73184,6,0.936813,0.637053 114046,5,0.260572,0.223553 15510,6,1.7482,0.211906 19849,6,1.21212,0.21533 96100,6,1.40235,0.211269 114046,6,0.385193,0.211304 108870,5,0.50906,0.212473 54035,5,0.208588,0.219265

## Bibliography

- [1] Accion Systems Inc. TILE, 2017.
- [2] Aerojet. Monopropellant Data Sheets, July 2009.
- [3] Sonja Alexander. NASA Awards Launch Services Contract for TESS, June 2016. Library Catalog: www.nasa.gov.
- [4] Alluxa. ULTRA Series Notch Filters, June 2016. Section: Products.
- [5] Inc Apollo Fusion. Apollo Constellation Engine (ACE).
- [6] Gomspace A/S. GOMspace | NanoPower P60 System.
- [7] Alessandra Babuscia, Craig Hardgrove, Kar-Ming Cheung, Paul Scowen, and Jim Crowell. Telecommunication system design for interplanetary CubeSat missions: LunaH-Map. In 2017 IEEE Aerospace Conference, pages 1–9, March 2017.
- [8] Pramit Biswas. hamiltonian(Graph, Source, Destination), June 2015.
- [9] Matthew R. Bolcar. The Large UV/Optical/Infrared Surveyor (LUVOIR): Decadal Mission concept technology development overview. In UV/Optical/IR Space Telescopes and Instruments: Innovative Technologies and Concepts VIII, volume 10398, page 103980A. International Society for Optics and Photonics, September 2017.
- [10] Matthew R. Bolcar, Steve Aloezos, Vincent T. Bly, Christine Collins, Julie Crooke, Courtney D. Dressing, Lou Fantano, Lee D. Feinberg, Kevin France, Gene Gochar, Qian Gong, Jason E. Hylan, Andrew Jones, Irving Linares, Marc Postman, Laurent Pueyo, Aki Roberge, Lia Sacks, Steven Tompkins, and Garrett West. The Large UV/Optical/Infrared Surveyor (LUVOIR): Decadal Mission concept design update. In UV/Optical/IR Space Telescopes and Instruments: Innovative Technologies and Concepts VIII, volume 10398, page 1039809. International Society for Optics and Photonics, September 2017.
- [11] X. Bonfils, N. Astudillo-Defru, R. Díaz, J.-M. Almenara, T. Forveille, F. Bouchy, X. Delfosse, C. Lovis, M. Mayor, F. Murgas, F. Pepe, N. C. Santos, D. Ségransan, S. Udry, and A. Wünsche. A temperate exo-Earth around a quiet M dwarf at 3.4 parsec,. Astronomy & Astrophysics, 613:A25, May 2018. Publisher: EDP Sciences.

- [12] Natasha Bosanac, Andrew D. Cox, Kathleen C. Howell, and David C. Folta. Trajectory design for a cislunar CubeSat leveraging dynamical systems techniques: The Lunar IceCube mission. Acta Astronautica, 144:283–296, March 2018.
- [13] Busek Co. Inc. BIT-3 RF Ion Thruster, 2017.
- [14] Kerri Cahoy, Peter Grenfell, Angela Crews, Michael Long, Paul Serra, Anh Nguyen, Riley Fitzgerald, Christian Haughwout, Rodrigo Diez, Alexa Aguilar, John Conklin, Cadence Payne, Joseph Kusters, Chloe Sackier, Mia LaRocca, and Laura Yenchesky. The CubeSat Laser Infrared Crosslink Mission (CLICK). In *International Conference on Space Optics — ICSO 2018*, volume 11180, page 111800Y. International Society for Optics and Photonics, July 2019.
- [15] Richard Capek. Which is the best projection for the world map? In Proceedings of the 20th international Cartographic Conference, volume 5, pages 3084–3093, 2001.
- [16] James R. Clark and Kerri Cahoy. Optical Detection of Lasers with Near-term Technology at Interstellar Distances. The Astrophysical Journal, 867(2):97, 2018.
- [17] Darren Rowen, Rick Dolphus, Patrick Doyle, and Addison Faler. OCSD-A / AeroCube 7-A Status Update. In Cal Poly CubeSat Developer's Workshop, 2016.
- [18] David Le Mignant. Keck LGS AO Performance, 2007.
- [19] E. S. Douglas, J. R. Males, J. Clark, O. Guyon, J. Lumbres, W. Marlow, and K. L. Cahoy. Laser Guide Star for Large Segmented-aperture Space Telescopes.
  I. Implications for Terrestrial Exoplanet Detection and Observatory Stability. *The Astronomical Journal*, 157(1):36, January 2019.
- [20] Ewan S. Douglas, Gregory Allan, Derek Barnes, Joseph S. Figura, Christian A. Haughwout, Jennifer N. Gubner, Alex A. Knoedler, Sarah LeClair, Thomas J. Murphy, and Nikolaos Skouloudis. Design of the deformable mirror demonstration CubeSat (DeMi). In *Techniques and Instrumentation for Detection of Exoplanets VIII*, volume 10400, page 1040013. International Society for Optics and Photonics, 2017.
- [21] Bernard Edwards and Andrew Fletcher. NASA's LCRD, Laser Communications Relay Demonstration, October 2013. Library Catalog: NASA NTRS.
- [22] Enpulsion GmbH. IFM Nano Thruster, 2018.
- [23] Lee Feinberg, Matthew Bolcar, Scott Knight, and David Redding. Ultra-stable segmented telescope sensing and control architecture. In UV/Optical/IR Space Telescopes and Instruments: Innovative Technologies and Concepts VIII, volume 10398, page 103980E. International Society for Optics and Photonics, September 2017.
- [24] David C. Folta, Natasha Bosanac, Andrew Cox, and Kathleen C. Howell. The Lunar IceCube Mission Design: Construction of Feasible Transfer Trajectories with a Constrained Departure. In 26th AAS/AIAA Spaceflight Mechanics Meeting, Napa, CA, United States, February 2016.
- [25] Gabriel Soto, Amlan Sinha, Dmitry Savransky, Daniel Garrett, and Christian Delacroix. Starshade Orbital Maneuver Study for WFIRST, January 2017.
- [26] Alain H. Greenaway and Stuart E. Clark. PHAROS: an agile satellite-borne laser guidestar. In *Laser Beam Propagation and Control*, volume 2120, pages 206–211. International Society for Optics and Photonics, June 1994.
- [27] Craig Hardgrove, Joe DuBois, Lena Heffern, Ernest Cisneros, James Bell, Teri Crain, Richard Star, Thomas Prettyman, Igor Lazbin, Bob Roebuck, Nathaniel Struebel, Ethan Clark, Derek Nelson, Jeremy Bauman, Bobby Williams, Michael Tsay, Joshua Model, Pete Hruby, Alessandra Babuscia, Steve Stem, Devon Sanders, Elliot Hegel, Mitchell Wiens, Sean Parlapiano, Patrick Hailey, Tyler O'Brien, Katherine Mesick, and Dan Coupland. The Lunar Polar Hydrogen Mapper (LunaH-Map) Mission. Small Satellite Conference, August 2019.
- [28] J. D. Myers. LUVOIR Design, July 2019.
- [29] Kevin Burns. Ancient Aliens, 2010.
- [30] Joseph Kirk. Traveling Salesman Problem (TSP) Genetic Algorithm Toolbox, June 2020.
- [31] Andrew Klesh, Brian Clement, Cody Colley, John Essmiller, Daniel Forgette, Joel Krajewski, Anne Marinan, and Tomas Martin-Mur. MarCO: Early Operations of the First CubeSats to Mars. Small Satellite Conference, August 2018.
- [32] Marcus T. Knopp, Dirk Giggenbach, Ramon Mata Calvo, Christian Fuchs, Karen Saucke, Frank Heine, Florian Sellmaier, and Felix Huber. Connectivity services based on optical ground-to-space links. Acta Astronautica, 148:369–375, July 2018.
- [33] David Krejci, Alexander Reissner, Bernhard Seifert, David Jelem, Thomas Hörbe, Florin Plesescu, Pete Friedhoff, and Steve Lai. Demonstration of the ifm nano feep thruster in low earth orbit. In *The 4S Symposium 2018, Sorrento*, *Italy*, 2018.
- [34] Paul A. Lightsey, Allison A. Barto, and James Contreras. Optical performance for the James Webb Space Telescope. In Optical, Infrared, and Millimeter Space Telescopes, volume 5487, pages 825–832. International Society for Optics and Photonics, October 2004.
- [35] LISA Pathfinder Collaboration, M. Armano, H. Audley, J. Baird, P. Binetruy, M. Born, D. Bortoluzzi, E. Castelli, A. Cavalleri, A. Cesarini, A.M. Cruise,

K. Danzmann, M. de Deus Silva, I. Diepholz, G. Dixon, R. Dolesi, L. Ferraioli, V. Ferroni, E. D. Fitzsimons, M. Freschi, L. Gesa, F. Gibert, D. Giardini, R. Giusteri, C. Grimani, J. Grzymisch, I. Harrison, G. Heinzel, M. Hewitson, D. Hollington, D. Hoyland, M. Hueller, H. Inchauspé, O. Jennrich, P. Jetzer, N. Karnesis, B. Kaune, N. Korsakova, C. J. Killow, J. A. Lobo, I. Lloro, L. Liu, J. P. López-Zaragoza, R. Maarschalkerweerd, D. Mance, N. Meshksar, V. Martín, L. Martin-Polo, J. Martino, F. Martin-Porqueras, I. Mateos, P. W. McNamara, J. Mendes, L. Mendes, M. Nofrarias, S. Paczkowski, M. Perreur-Lloyd, A. Petiteau, P. Pivato, E. Plagnol, J. Ramos-Castro, J. Reiche, D. I. Robertson, F. Rivas, G. Russano, J. Slutsky, C. F. Sopuerta, T. Sumner, D. Texier, J. I. Thorpe, D. Vetrugno, S. Vitale, G. Wanner, H. Ward, P. J. Wass, W. J. Weber, L. Wissel, A. Wittchen, and P. Zweifel. LISA Pathfinder platform stability and drag-free performance. *Physical Review D*, 99(8):082001, April 2019. Publisher: American Physical Society.

- [36] Philip Lubin. A Roadmap to Interstellar Flight. arXiv:1604.01356 [astro-ph, physics:physics], April 2016. arXiv: 1604.01356.
- [37] Marcos van Dam. W.M. Keck Observatory Natural Guide Star Adaptive Optics, 2007.
- [38] Weston Marlow, Ashley Carlton, Yoon Hyosang, James Clark, Christian Haughwout, Kerri Cahoy, Jared Males, Laird Close, and Katie Morzinski. Laser-Guide-Star Satellite for Ground-Based Adaptive Optics Imaging of Geosynchronous Satellites. Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets, pages 1–18, May 2017.
- [39] James Mason, Matthew Baumgart, Thomas Woods, Daniel Hegel, Bryan Rogler, George Stafford, Stanley Solomon, and Phillip Chamberlin. MinXSS CubeSat On-Orbit Performance and the First Flight of the Blue Canyon Technologies XACT 3- axis ADCS. Small Satellite Conference, August 2016.
- [40] Colin R. McInnes. Low-Thrust Orbit Raising with Coupled Plane Change and J Precession. Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics, 20(3):607–609, 1997. Publisher: American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics \_eprint: https://doi.org/10.2514/2.4084.
- [41] National Geographic Maps. World Classic Map [Enlarged], 2017.
- [42] Mamadou N'Diaye, Rémi Soummer, Laurent Pueyo, Alexis Carlotti, Christopher C. Stark, and Marshall D. Perrin. APODIZED PUPIL LYOT CORON-AGRAPHS FOR ARBITRARY APERTURES. V. HYBRID SHAPED PUPIL DESIGNS FOR IMAGING EARTH-LIKE PLANETS WITH FUTURE SPACE OBSERVATORIES. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 818(2):163, February 2016. Publisher: American Astronomical Society.
- [43] Dennis Overbye. NASA Again Delays Launch of Troubled Webb Telescope; Cost Estimate Rises to \$9.7 Billion. *The New York Times*, June 2018.

- [44] Sang Park, Michael J. Eisenhower, Matthew R. Bolcar, Marcel Bluth, Julie Crooke, Lee D. Feinberg, Jason E. Hylan, William Hayden, J. Scott Knight, Bryan Matonak, and Kan Yang. LUVOIR Thermal Architecture Overview and Enabling Technologies for Picometer-Scale WFE Stability. In 2019 IEEE Aerospace Conference, pages 1–13, March 2019. ISSN: 1095-323X.
- [45] Eliad Peretz, John Mather, Richard Slonaker, John O'Meara, Sara Seager, Randy Campbell, Tiffany Hoerbelt, and Isabel Kain. Orbiting Configurable Artificial Star (ORCAS) for Visible Adaptive Optics from the Ground. Bulletin of the American Astronomical Society, 51(7):284, September 2019. Conference Name: Bulletin of the American Astronomical Society.
- [46] Phase Four, Inc. Phase Four Radio Frequency Thruster, May 2017.
- [47] L. Pueyo, N. Zimmerman, M. Bolcar, T. Groff, C. Stark, G. Ruane, J. Jewell, R. Soummer, K. St Laurent, J. Wang, D. Redding, J. Mazoyer, K. Fogarty, Roser Juanola-Parramon, S. Domagal-Goldman, A. Roberge, O. Guyon, and A. Mandell. The LUVOIR architecture "A" coronagraph instrument. In UV/Optical/IR Space Telescopes and Instruments: Innovative Technologies and Concepts VIII, volume 10398, page 103980F. International Society for Optics and Photonics, September 2017.
- [48] Jordi Puig-Suari, Guy Zohar, and Kyle Leveque. Deployment of CubeSat Constellations Utilizing Current Launch Opportunities. Small Satellite Conference, August 2013.
- [49] Aki Roberge, Maxime J. Rizzo, Andrew P. Lincowski, Giada N. Arney, Christopher C. Stark, Tyler D. Robinson, Gregory F. Snyder, Laurent Pueyo, Neil T. Zimmerman, Tiffany Jansen, Erika R. Nesvold, Victoria S. Meadows, and Margaret C. Turnbull. Finding the Needles in the Haystacks: High-fidelity Models of the Modern and Archean Solar System for Simulating Exoplanet Observations. *Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific*, 129(982):124401, 2017.
- [50] Garreth Ruane, Dimitri Mawet, Jeffrey Jewell, and Stuart Shaklan. Performance and sensitivity of vortex coronagraphs on segmented space telescopes. In *Techniques and Instrumentation for Detection of Exoplanets VIII*, volume 10400, page 104000J. International Society for Optics and Photonics, 2017.
- [51] Thomas S. Schwarze, Germán Fernández Barranco, Daniel Penkert, Marina Kaufer, Oliver Gerberding, and Gerhard Heinzel. Picometer-Stable Hexagonal Optical Bench to Verify LISA Phase Extraction Linearity and Precision. *Physical Review Letters*, 122(8):081104, February 2019. Publisher: American Physical Society.
- [52] Sara Seager, Margaret Turnbull, William Sparks, Mark Thomson, Stuart B. Shaklan, Aki Roberge, Marc Kuchner, N. Jeremy Kasdin, Shawn Domagal-Goldman, Webster Cash, Keith Warfield, Doug Lisman, Dan Scharf, David

Webb, Rachel Trabert, Stefan Martin, Eric Cady, and Cate Heneghan. The Exo-S probe class starshade mission. In *Techniques and Instrumentation for Detection of Exoplanets VII*, volume 9605, page 96050W. International Society for Optics and Photonics, September 2015. tex.ids: seager\_exo-s\_2015-1.

- [53] Seth Shostak. Signals from A Nearby Star System?, April 2018.
- [54] Garrett Shea. NASA Systems Engineering Handbook Revision 2, June 2017.
- [55] Doug Sinclair and Jonathan Dyer. Radiation Effects and COTS Parts in SmallSats. *Small Satellite Conference*, August 2013. tex.ids: sinclair\_radiation\_nodate.
- [56] H. Philip Stahl. Survey of cost models for space telescopes. Optical Engineering, 49(5):053005, May 2010. Publisher: International Society for Optics and Photonics.
- [57] Christopher C. Stark, Aki Roberge, Avi Mandell, Mark Clampin, Shawn D. Domagal-Goldman, Michael W. McElwain, and Karl R. Stapelfeldt. LOWER LIMITS ON APERTURE SIZE FOR AN EXOEARTH DETECTING CORO-NAGRAPHIC MISSION. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 808(2):149, July 2015.
- [58] John Steeves, Hyeong Jae Lee, Evan Hilgemann, Dylan McKeithen, Christine Bradley, David Webb, Stuart Shaklan, Stefan Martin, and Douglas Lisman. Development of low-scatter optical edges for starshades. In Advances in Optical and Mechanical Technologies for Telescopes and Instrumentation III, volume 10706, page 107065K. International Society for Optics and Photonics, July 2018.
- [59] David Sternberg, John Essmiller, Cody Colley, Andrew Klesh, and Joel Krajewski. Attitude Control System for the Mars Cube One Spacecraft. In 2019 IEEE Aerospace Conference, pages 1–10, March 2019. tex.ids: sternberg\_attitude\_2019-1 ISSN: 1095-323X.
- [60] Stuart Shaklan and Nick Siegler. Starshade Technology: Current Status at JPL, November 2015.
- [61] Richard Swinbank and R. James Purser. Fibonacci grids: А to global modelling. Quarterly Journal of the novel approach 132(619):1769-1793,\_eprint: Royal Meteorological Society, 2006.https://rmets.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1256/qj.05.227.
- [62] John T. Trauger and Wesley A. Traub. A laboratory demonstration of the capability to image an Earth-like extrasolar planet. *Nature*, 446(7137):771–773, April 2007. Number: 7137 Publisher: Nature Publishing Group.
- [63] Robert K. Tyson and Benjamin W. Frazier. Focal Anisoplanatism (the "Cone Effect"). *Field Guide to Adaptive Optics, Second Edition*, pages 41–42, April 2012. Publisher: International Society for Optics and Photonics.

- [64] United States Air Force. Airborne Laser (YAL-1), March 2007.
- [65] Ezinne Uzo-Okoro, Christian Haughwout, Emily Kiley, Mary Dahl, and Kerri Cahoy. Ground-Based 1U CubeSat Robotic Assembly Demonstration. *Small Satellite Conference*, August 2020.
- [66] VACCO Industries. JPL MarCO Micro CubeSat Propulsion System, November 2015.
- [67] VACCO Industries. Green Propulsion System, August 2019. Library Catalog: www.cubesat-propulsion.com.
- [68] Xu Wang, Fang Shi, and J. Kent Wallace. Zernike wavefront sensor (ZWFS) development for Low Order Wavefront Sensing (LOWFS). In Space Telescopes and Instrumentation 2016: Optical, Infrared, and Millimeter Wave, volume 9904, page 990463. International Society for Optics and Photonics, July 2016.
- [69] John Noble Wilford. The Impossible Quest for the Perfect Map. The New York Times, October 1988.
- [70] Laura Yenchesky, Ondrej Cierny, Peter Grenfell, William Kammerer, Paula Periera, Tao Sevigny, and Kerri Cahoy. Optomechanical Design and Analysis for Nanosatellite Laser Communications. *Small Satellite Conference*, August 2019.
- [71] H. Zech, F. Heine, D. Tröndle, S. Seel, M. Motzigemba, R. Meyer, and S. Philipp-May. LCT for EDRS: LEO to GEO optical communications at 1,8 Gbps between Alphasat and Sentinel 1a. In Unmanned/Unattended Sensors and Sensor Networks XI; and Advanced Free-Space Optical Communication Techniques and Applications, volume 9647, page 96470J. International Society for Optics and Photonics, October 2015.
- [72] Ondrej Cierny and Kerri L. Cahoy. On-orbit beam pointing calibration for nanosatellite laser communications. *Optical Engineering*, 58(4):041605, November 2018.